

Guidelines for a Palliative Approach in Residential Aged Care

Enhanced Version — May 2006



Approved by the National Health and Medical Research Council on 8 December 2005



Australian Government

National Health and Medical Research Council

NHMRC APPROVAL

These guidelines were approved by the National Health and Medical Research Council at its 159th Session on 8 December 2005, under section 14A of the *National Health and Medical Research Council Act 1992*. Approval for the guidelines by the NHMRC is granted for a period not exceeding five years, at which date the approval expires. The NHMRC expects that all guidelines will be reviewed no less than once every five years. Readers should check with the Department of Health and Ageing for any reviews or updates of these guidelines.

DISCLAIMER

This document is a general guide to appropriate practice, to be followed subject to the clinician's judgement and the patient's preference in each individual case.

The guidelines are designed to provide information to assist decision-making and are based on the best evidence available at the time of compilation.

These guidelines can be downloaded from the National Health and Medical Research Council website: www.nhmrc.gov.au or the Department of Health and Ageing website: www.health.gov.au/palliativecare

2004 First edition

2006 Enhanced version

This version of the guidelines was approved by the NHMRC on 8 December 2005.

The main changes to the document since the first edition in 2004 are the inclusion of the development process (now chapter 1); a significant revision of chapter 6 (physical symptom assessment and management) to ensure the information is stronger than previously, particularly for the complementary and alternative therapies; a revision of the guideline recommendations to reflect NHMRC's requirement for process and outcome to be included; and a revision of the main body of the literature review document to ensure that the guidelines and the literature review are more compatible.

© Commonwealth of Australia 2006

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Commonwealth. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Commonwealth Copyright Administration, Attorney General's Department, Robert Garran Offices, National Circuit, Canberra ACT 2600 or posted at <http://www.ag.gov.au/cca>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF FUNDING

The Guidelines for a palliative approach in residential aged care have been developed by the Australian Palliative Residential Aged Care (APRAC) Project funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing.

ISBN: 0 642 82939 X

Publications Approval Number: 3845

Contents

LIST OF TABLES	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	VII
LIST OF VIGNETTES	VIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	IX
FOREWORD	1
INTRODUCTION	3
SUMMARY OF GUIDELINES	7
1 GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	15
1.1 Systematic literature review	15
1.2 Guideline formulation	26
1.3 Stakeholder involvement	27
1.4 Guideline development and refinement	28
1.5 Guideline implementation and evaluation	34
2 A PALLIATIVE APPROACH	37
2.1 Three forms of palliative care	37
2.2 When should a palliative approach be implemented?	39
2.3 Where can a palliative approach be provided?	41
2.4 Who can provide a palliative approach?	43
3 DIGNITY AND QUALITY OF LIFE	47
3.1 Dignity	47
3.2 Quality of life	50
3.3 'Desire to die' statements	51
3.4 Privacy and confidentiality	52

4	ADVANCE CARE PLANNING	55
4.1	Implementing advance care planning	55
4.2	Advance care planning for residents with advanced dementia	57
4.3	Legal considerations and documentation	57
4.4	The right to refuse food	59
5	ADVANCED DEMENTIA	61
5.1	How prevalent is dementia?	61
5.2	Advanced dementia and a palliative approach	61
5.3	Assessment	62
5.4	Management	65
5.5	Family support	66
6	PHYSICAL SYMPTOM ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT	69
6.1	Symptom assessment	69
6.2	Pain management	71
6.3	Management of fatigue	85
6.4	Nutrition and hydration	87
6.5	Anorexia	96
6.6	Cachexia	96
6.7	Nausea and vomiting	98
6.8	Dysphagia	99
6.9	Mouth care	101
6.10	Skin integrity	104
6.11	Bowel care	106
6.12	Dyspnoea	109
6.13	Complementary therapies	111
7	PSYCHOLOGICAL SUPPORT	117
7.1	Depression	118
7.2	Anxiety	122
7.3	Confusion	123
7.4	Delirium	124
7.5	Dementia (psychological aspects)	126
8	FAMILY SUPPORT	129
8.1	What is a family?	129
8.2	Families and a palliative approach	129
8.3	Documenting family relationships	131
8.4	Family involvement	132

9	SOCIAL SUPPORT, INTIMACY AND SEXUALITY	135
9.1	Social isolation and social support	135
9.2	Intimacy and sexuality	136
9.3	Confidentiality	140
10	ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER ISSUES	143
10.1	Background	143
10.2	Providing appropriate support	144
11	CULTURAL ISSUES	147
11.1	Transcultural communication	148
11.2	Assessment	149
11.3	Management	149
11.4	Interpreter services	150
12	SPIRITUAL SUPPORT	151
12.1	Assessment	151
12.2	Management	153
12.3	Chaplains and pastoral care workers	154
13	VOLUNTEER SUPPORT	157
14	END-OF-LIFE (TERMINAL) CARE	161
14.1	What is optimal end-of-life care?	161
14.2	Recognising when a resident is dying	162
14.3	Medication issues	164
14.4	Management of end-of-life care	165
14.5	Preparing for imminent death — practical considerations	169
14.6	Signs of death	169
14.7	Care after the resident has died	170
14.8	Summary	170
15	BEREAVEMENT SUPPORT	173
15.1	Characteristics of grief, loss and bereavement	173
15.2	Extreme grief	175
15.3	Assessment	176
15.4	Management	176

16 MANAGEMENT’S ROLE IN IMPLEMENTING A PALLIATIVE APPROACH	183
REFERENCES	185
GLOSSARY	215
APPENDICES	
A Working party of the Australian Palliative Residential Aged Care Project	225
B The Australian Government Project Reference Group — Terms of reference and membership	229
C An evaluation tool for quantitative studies	231
D An evaluation tool for qualitative studies	233
E Summary of the stakeholder participant groups	235
F Summary of the frequency with which stakeholder groups participated in the guideline refinement process	237
G An example of how the Guidelines were presented to focus group participants	239
H Useability trial — participant questions	241
I Resource list	243
J Examples of advance directive documents currently used in various states	247
K An example of a Genogram	251
L An example of an Ecomap	253
LIST OF TABLES	
1 Search terms	18
2 Quantitative levels of evidence	20
3 Quality criteria for quantitative levels of evidence	21
4 Qualitative levels of evidence	22
5 A practical model of dignity-maintaining interventions regarding illness and ageing considerations	48
6 A practical model of dignity-conserving interventions regarding psychological and spiritual considerations	49
7 A practical model of social dignity-conserving interventions regarding social considerations	50
8 Common myths and counter-facts regarding pain management	73
9 Pathophysiological classifications of pain, classification characteristics and examples and recommendations for referral	75
10 Common myths regarding the use of opioids	83
11 Meals on Wheels: Treatable causes of malnutrition in RACF residents	89
12 Tube feeding decision aid	92

13	Principles to guide discussions and decisions regarding hydration	95
14	The D-E-N-T-A-L self-report questionnaire	102
15	Symptoms of depression — adaptation from DSM-IV	119
16	Mental Health Inventory (MHI) (1-item version)	120
17	Distinguishing delirium from dementia	125
18	The PLISSIT Model	138
19	How to assess spiritual needs	152
20	Spiritual interventions to support residents	153
21	Grief, loss and bereavement indicators	174
22	Psychological characteristics in grief	174
23	Common grief reactions	175

LIST OF FIGURES

1	Guideline development decision making process	29
2	Algorithm for treatment of breakthrough (episodic) pain	76
3	The WHO analgesic ladder for pharmaceutical treatment of pain	81
4	General trajectories of function and wellbeing over time in eventually fatal chronic illnesses	163

LIST OF VIGNETTES

1	James	Quality of life	51
2	Robert	Desire to die statements	52
3	Mrs Adams	Advance care planning	56
4	Mr Jones	Family involvement in advance care planning	59
5	Anna	Family involvement for residents with dementia	67
6	Mr Johnson	Benefits of a palliative approach for management of residents with pain	72
7	Mrs Harris	The need for comprehensive assessment of pain	80
8	Mr Poulton	Assessing a resident's psychological distress	118
9	Joan	Caring and supporting family members	131
10	Doreen	Initiating family involvement in their relative's care	132
11	The Masquerade Ball	Providing various opportunities for social contact	139
12	Cultural understandings	Providing care that is culturally appropriate	148
13	Jack	Regular assessment of the need for spiritual support	153
14	Alison	Providing appropriate spiritual support	154
15	Joyce and Miss Walker	The benefits of volunteer involvement	157
16	Frank and Andrew	Appropriate involvement of volunteers	158

17	Ann and Mr Wells' family	Helping families to face the imminent death of their relative	164
18	Reflections	An example of a memorial service	178
19	Mollie	Providing appropriate bereavement support	180

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AIHW	Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
CAM	Complementary and alternative medicine
EN	Enrolled Nurse
EO	Expert opinion
GP	General Practitioner
NCISN	National Consultative Information-Sharing Network
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
QE	Qualitative evidence
QOL	Quality of life
RACF	Residential aged care facility
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
RN	Registered Nurse
SSRI	Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors
TENS	Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation
WHO	World Health Organization



IMPORTANT NOTICE

On 8 December 2005, the National Health and Medical Research Council approved these guidelines. This means that the NHMRC considers that the information contained in this second edition has undergone vigorous scientific scrutiny and is based on the best scientific evidence available at the time of compilation.

There have been some minor amendments made to the first edition, however, these are not dramatic changes and do not affect practice.

Your feedback on this edition would be appreciated.
A form for your use is at the back of this document.

Foreword



Palliative care as a specialty continues to evolve in Australia and this has resulted in community expectations that people with a life-limiting illness can live until they die in an atmosphere of care and support. These guidelines, approved by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), are already making a difference to care across Australia.

As health professionals continually strive to improve the delivery of palliative care, there is a widespread recognition that the benefits of palliative care are not limited to the final days and weeks before dying. In response to this, there has been a shift in the provision of palliative care as health providers' move towards providing a palliative approach. A palliative approach can help reduce the suffering of many people and encompasses a positive and open attitude towards living in the shadow of death and dying. Increasingly, residents of aged care facilities are older and frailer, with complex co-morbid conditions. Staff are constantly faced with the challenge of meeting the complex care needs of this diverse group of people.

A palliative approach has much to offer to residents, their families and staff in our aged care facilities.

These evidence-based guidelines, developed by the Australian Palliative Residential Aged Care (APRAC) project team, aim to provide support and guidance for the delivery of a palliative approach in the 3,000 residential aged care facilities across Australia. Until the guidelines' production, evidence-based guidelines for the implementation of the palliative approach in the residential aged care facility did not exist anywhere in the world. The guidelines incorporate the best scientific evidence available regarding all facets of a palliative approach, including early identification and treatment of physical, cultural, psychological, social and spiritual needs. Importantly, these guidelines are consistent with Goal Two of the National Palliative Care Strategy — to support continuous improvement in the quality and effectiveness of all palliative care service delivery across Australia. The guidelines also represent an important contribution to Palliative Care Australia's mission to improve palliative care options available to ALL Australians through advocacy and setting high standards for practice, policy, research, and community development.

Developing the guidelines involved a broad consultation process that included both the palliative and aged care sectors. The thoroughness of this massive task is best recognised in the approval

achieved for these guidelines from the NHMRC. I would like to commend all of those involved in this project, especially from community organisations. I would also like to pay tribute to Professor Linda Kristjanson for her leadership and vision.

These guidelines are not prescriptive; rather, their intent is to provide guidance and support to all those caring for people with a life-limiting illness or condition in residential aged care facilities. I trust that they will offer a great deal to health care workers, families, carers and residents. I encourage you to become familiar with them, to make them readily accessible, and to refer to them when delivering a palliative approach.



Professor David Currow
President, Palliative Care Australia
May 2006

Introduction



What is palliative care?

The World Health Organization (2003)^[1] defines palliative care as:

“An approach that improves the quality of life of individuals and their families facing the problem associated with life-threatening illness, through the prevention and relief of suffering by means of early identification and impeccable assessment and treatment of pain and other problems, physical, psychosocial and spiritual”.

WHO (2003) further states that palliative care:^[1]

- provides relief from pain and other distressing symptoms;
- affirms life and regards dying as a normal process;
- intends neither to hasten nor postpone death;
- integrates the psychological and spiritual aspects of patient care;
- offers a support system to help patients live as actively as possible until death;
- offers a support system to help the family cope during the patient’s illness and in their own bereavement;
- uses a team approach to address the needs of patients and their families, including
- bereavement counselling, if indicated;
- will enhance quality of life and may also positively influence the course of illness; and
- is applicable early in the course of illness, in conjunction with other therapies that are intended to prolong life, such as chemotherapy or radiation therapy, and includes those investigations needed to better understand and manage distressing clinical complications.

This recent definition and description of palliative care asserts that, contrary to earlier definitions, individuals with diseases other than cancer that have a terminal phase and are progressive in nature would benefit from the philosophical underpinning of the palliative approach.^[2] These include chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), Alzheimer’s disease, and acute massive cerebrovascular accident, to name a few.^[3]

What is a palliative approach?

A palliative approach aims to improve the quality of life for individuals with a life-limiting illness and their families, by reducing their suffering through early identification, assessment and treatment of pain, physical, cultural, psychological, social, and spiritual needs.^[4] All these

facets of a palliative approach are considered in their relevant chapters in this document; however, the reader should also consider cultural issues as integral to care provision, particularly when implementing any part of these guidelines.

Underlying the philosophy of a palliative approach is a positive and open attitude towards death and dying. The promotion of a more open approach to discussions of death and dying between the aged care team, residents and their families facilitates identification of their wishes regarding end-of-life care.

A palliative approach is not confined to the end stages of an illness. Instead, a palliative approach provides a focus on active comfort care and a positive approach to reducing an individual's symptoms and distress, which facilitates residents' and their families' understanding that they are being actively supported through this process.^[4]

The need for a palliative approach

In Australia, over the last two decades, research has indicated that the proportion of people dying in RACFs has steadily increased.^[5] This has led to the recognition that a palliative approach enhances the care already provided to both residents and the families.^[6,7]

Due to the type of residents they support, RACFs face unique and significant difficulties in using a palliative approach. Not only do the majority of residents have dementia; they generally have co-morbidities (other diseases) that involve dealing with physical, psychological, emotional and social issues. The residents are generally highly dependent and require many medications, further complicating the provision of a palliative approach.^[3]

Residents in RACFs may also require a palliative approach when they are dying due to the ageing process, that is, not only as a consequence of an incurable disease. Older persons who are dying are considered to have different palliative needs to those people diagnosed with cancer.^[8] These differences may include, that:^[8]

- they have multiple clinical diagnoses that require a variety of treatments;
- they require end-of-life (terminal) care for a shorter length of time (an average time of two days of intense care prior to death);
- confusion, dementia, and/or communication difficulties may be present; and
- some lack family support.

Therefore, there is a special need for older persons with a life-limiting illness or who are dying as a consequence of the ageing process to receive a palliative approach.

The need for evidence-based guidelines for aged care facilities

Although separate Australian standards for aged care and standards for palliative care exist, these do not fully address the unique and complex issues associated with providing a palliative approach within an RACF. The aged care literature calls for the development of guidelines for appropriate care of older persons by non-specialist palliative teams, but to date no guidelines for implementing a palliative approach in aged care facilities have been created. As well, there

are no specifications for how members of an aged care team in an RACF should be trained to provide a palliative approach to these residents. Finally, there is no systematic approach to palliative education for this workforce.

The APRAC Project was established in response to recognition that there was a critical need for a palliative approach in residential aged care.

Using these guidelines

These evidence based guidelines are intended to help aged care team members in applying a palliative approach in a residential aged care facility (RACF). They cover all major aspects of providing a palliative approach for an RACF resident, from attending to physical symptoms (e.g. fatigue, dehydration) and spiritual needs to dealing with the reactions of family members.

Each chapter deals with a major issue, discussing the issue in detail then stating some guidelines that encapsulate the ‘message’ of that chapter (though Chapter 6, which devotes a section to each physical symptom, has guidelines at the end of each section). For quick reference there is a Summary of Guidelines at the front of the document, though the guidelines are much better read in conjunction with the detail given in the chapters.

The guidelines are not meant to be rigid, prescriptive pathways on ‘what must be done’ in an RACF. Rather, they aim to prompt creative solutions that will be appropriate to the particular RACF in which a palliative approach is being applied. They may also be used to identify strengths and weaknesses of the approach being taken, and provide a mechanism for change and evaluation over time.

The guidelines have been developed after a major search and study of the relevant literature (see Chapter 1), so that aged care team members can see at a glance whether there is research-based support for the practices and beliefs common in a palliative approach. Within the text of this document, each study referred to is given a number that corresponds with the numbers listed under the References at the end of this document. The level of evidence is stated beside the reference. This level of evidence is based upon the NHMRC (1999)^[9] evidence levels as follows:

<i>Level I</i>	A systematic review of all relevant randomised controlled trials (RCT).
<i>Level II</i>	At least one properly designed RCT.
<i>Level III-1</i>	Well-designed pseudo-RCTs.
<i>Level III-2</i>	Comparative studies with concurrent controls and allocation not randomised, case-control studies, or interrupted time series with a control group.
<i>Level III-3</i>	Comparative studies with historical control, two or more single-arm studies, or interrupted time series without a parallel control group.
<i>Level IV</i>	Case series, either post-test or pre-test and post-test.

Additional levels of evidence

The NHMRC (1999)^[9] levels of evidence are appropriate for quantitative studies. However, the research area of palliative care is relatively new and there is a notable lack of high level evidence. As well, in some instances use of a qualitative design is more appropriate given the study question and the vulnerability of the population. The review working party identified a number of studies that were not quantitative in design, but were useful for inclusion in this review. The review working party applied a modified version of the Cochrane Collaboration (2002)^[10] and Campbell Collaboration (2002)^[11] guidelines to provide an appropriate evaluation tool for use with qualitative studies in this review (see Chapter 1). Qualitative evidence that is referred to in this document may appear as “Level QE”, which is an abbreviation of the term.

‘Expert opinion’ articles that were neither quantitative nor qualitative, but instead contained an opinion from an expert or a panel of experts in a particular field, were included when there was consensus by the project working party. (Because expert opinion is generally the result of experiential knowledge, it was considered essential to the guidelines’ development and accordingly, has been included in the preamble for each chapter. However, as the expert opinion was not research based, it was not used as the basis for any guidelines.) Where expert opinion has been cited, the abbreviation “Level EO” is used.



Summary of Guidelines



The following table provides a summary of the guidelines with their corresponding level/s of evidence as presented in this document. To understand the context of the guidelines, readers are strongly urged to review the appropriate chapter.

Guidelines	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
A PALLIATIVE APPROACH		
When should a palliative approach be implemented?		
1. Methods used to identify survival time, such as physicians' clinical predictions, have limitations in accuracy and precision resulting in overestimating survival. Therefore, relying only on these methods to determine when to start a palliative approach is not recommended. Aged care team members need to be aware of this tendency to overestimate survival, to reduce residents' and families' potential distress.	38	IV
2. Attention to residents' transition from active curative care to palliative care (with comfort care and symptom management) requires that aged care team members provide the resident and their families with sufficient information about the transition process to facilitate decision making. This provision of information can reduce residents' and families' concerns and increase their satisfaction regarding the appropriateness of a palliative approach.	24, 35	QE
Where can a palliative approach be provided?		
3. A palliative approach can be provided in the resident's familiar surrounding if adequately skilled care is available, which reduces the need for transfer to an acute care setting; thereby, avoiding potential distress to the resident and his/her family.	6, 23	QE
Who can provide a palliative approach?		
4. A palliative approach is best provided by an effective multidisciplinary team.	50	III-2
DIGNITY AND QUALITY OF LIFE		
5. Factors that contribute to a person's sense of dignity are a sense of control, strengthened relationships with loved ones, the capacity to communicate, recognising friends and family members, being continent, adequate pain and symptom management, and avoiding inappropriate prolongation of dying. These factors are addressed by the aged care team member when a palliative approach is implemented, thus enhancing the resident's dignity and his / her quality of life.	58	III-3
	57	IV
	13	QE
6. The provision of dignity-maintaining care can facilitate a more hopeful outlook for the resident; thus reducing the resident's desire for a hastened death.	63 64	IV QE

Guidelines	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
ADVANCE CARE PLANNING		
7. Systematic implementation of advance care planning that involves communication between the resident, family, and doctor increases satisfaction with the care provided to the resident and his / her family.	68, 73	II
8. Regular education programs for the aged care team and residents and their families on issues about end-of-life care and advance care plans increases the frequency of these plans being completed and implemented, which increases the resident's and his / her family's satisfaction with end-of-life care.	68	II
9. Developing comprehensive advance care plans that include ongoing assessments responds to changes in the resident's health and increases the resident and his / her family's satisfaction with care.	68	II
ADVANCED DEMENTIA		
10. For residents with advanced dementia, the implementation of a palliative approach that incorporates ongoing assessment, attention to distressing symptoms, avoidance of hospitalisation and which emphasises and promotes the resident's quality of life and dignity will benefit the family as well as the resident.	86	QE
11. Remaining in their familiar surroundings is beneficial for residents with advanced dementia because this helps maintain their care plans and facilitates residents' feelings of orientation and security.	83	II
12. Assessment of residents with advanced dementia using dementia-specific pain assessment tools results in more attentive symptom relief for pain and discomfort.	93	IV
13. Aggressive medical treatment of infections is not recommended for residents with advanced dementia. Instead, a palliative approach is suggested for the resident's comfort, which might include short-term antibiotic therapy to ease symptoms and improve quality of life.	44, 50	III-2
14. The use of restraints within RACFs is associated with adverse outcomes for residents such as an increase in distress and the risk of injury. Developing a policy of restraint-free care that includes strategies and an education program to minimise restraint use can reduce residents' distress and the risk of falls-related injuries.	102 104	II
PHYSICAL SYMPTOMS — ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT		
Symptom Assessment		
15. The development of a treatment plan that is based on a comprehensive and ongoing assessment of a resident's needs and wishes, which includes early identification of the main symptoms, provides effective symptom management in accordance with the resident's goals for care and their specific treatment preferences.	112	IV
16. Implementation of a palliative approach that includes adequate relief of pain and effective symptom management increases residents and their families' satisfaction with the care that is provided.	110	IV

Guidelines	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
17. A simple method for regular assessment of symptom distress is to ask residents for their own determination of the intensity of their physical and psychological distress. Regular assessments will improve the effectiveness of symptom management and enhance residents' quality of life.	116	III-3
Pain Management		
18. A comprehensive assessment of the resident's pain and the use of evidence-based analgesic decision-making provide enhanced pain management, thereby improving the resident's quality of life.	145	II
19. For residents unable to verbalise their pain, accurate reporting based on observations by a skilled person using behavioural cues is particularly important in determining pain. The use of appropriate assessment tools will increase the frequency with which pain is diagnosed when compared with asking the resident "Do you have pain?"	137	III-3
Fatigue		
20. Fatigue is the most frequently reported physical concern by individuals nearing death. Therefore, careful assessment is required of factors that may indicate or bring about fatigue (e.g. depression, anxiety, pain, a reduction in intermediate activities of daily living, and number of medications), which will enhance early identification and management of fatigue.	164 170	IV QE
Nutrition and Hydration		
Nutrition		
21. Good nutritional care requires an individualised approach that includes early recognition of weight loss and the identification and management of likely causes (e.g. adverse medication effects, poor oral health or depression). This careful attention to assessment and management of residents' nutritional requirements improves quality of life.	176 181	II IV
22. Giving residents oral foods and fluid, even in small amounts, is preferable to using more invasive enteral (e.g. nasogastric or PEG) feeding methods. However, a dysphagia assessment is essential to provide direction for oral feeding.	176 104	II III-1
23. The aged care team member assisting with feeding should be seated at eye-level with the resident and take time to establish and maintain a relationship with the resident to create an atmosphere that is conducive to relaxing the resident. This approach to feeding enhances the resident's nutritional intake and improves his / her social well-being.	104	III-1
Hydration		
24. Recommendations regarding fluid therapy that are based on an ongoing assessment of each resident's circumstances, including the resident's and family's treatment preferences, improve the resident's and family's satisfaction with the care that is provided.	204	IV
25. Regular presentation of fluids that include strategies such as a colourful beverage cart, verbal prompting or complying with residents preferences will increase the amount of oral fluid intake for those residents able to have oral hydration.	202 209 208	II III-1 IV
26. Frequent small sips of fluids can reduce the resident's sensation of thirst and oral discomfort that is associated with dehydration.	185	IV

Guidelines	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
Cachexia		
27. A review of a resident's clinical history, the presence of substantial weight loss, laboratory tests and physical examination are required to make an accurate diagnosis of cachexia.	212	II
28. For frail residents with substantial weight loss who are unable to have sufficient oral calories, a trial of single nutrients or liquid meal replacements is an appropriate alternative.	176	II
Dysphagia		
29. A formalised multidisciplinary management program that includes input from a speech pathologist is beneficial in promoting early recognition, appropriate management and prevention of complications associated with dysphagia.	104	III-1
30. Safe feeding techniques that are recommended by a speech pathologist or physician, which include the method of feeding and the texture, consistency, and type of food and fluid, should be undertaken as prescribed to reduce the resident's risk of aspiration.	104	III-1
Mouth Care		
31. Good oral hygiene, regular assessment, cleansing of dentures and oral fluids can reduce oral complications.	221	IV
32. Oral health assessments that include the question, "How would you describe the health of your teeth and gums? Would you say it is excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?" for residents who are able to respond increases accuracy in identifying residents who require further evaluation and dental treatment.	222	IV
33. Rinsing the mouth with water and cleansing teeth with a soft toothbrush and toothpaste is an effective oral cleansing routine that is cost-efficient and reduces the resident's risk of oral mucositis (mouth ulcers).	225	II
Skin Integrity		
34. The use of high specification foam mattresses rather than standard foam mattresses can reduce the incidence of pressure ulcers.	226	I
35. The use of Australian Medical Sheepskins (natural not synthetic fibre) may be effective in reducing the incidence of pressure ulcers for those people who are at low to moderate risk of developing these ulcers.	226 227	I II
Bowel Care		
36. Eliciting information that includes a history of residents' bowel habits and their preferences for treatment, an awareness of complementary methods of bowel care (e.g. low-intensity exercise, abdominal massage and hot packs) and better documentation procedures, will improve bowel management and residents' well-being.	235, 238 234, 237	II QE
37. Discussion between the doctor and nursing staff about the most appropriate laxative for use with a resident will enhance management decisions regarding bowel care.	237	QE
38. The combined use of bulk laxatives and suppositories is associated with the lowest rates of faecal incontinence. The use of suppositories after bowel clearing can prevent recurrent constipation.	237	QE
39. Where a laxative is used, appropriate compensatory measures should be taken to avoid dehydration and electrolyte depletion.	235 237	II QE

Guidelines	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
Dyspnoea		
40. A comprehensive plan of care including ready access to appropriate medication, plus non-pharmacological interventions to reduce psychological distress, may prevent residents with gradually increasing dyspnoea being unnecessarily transferred to hospital.	240	IV
41. A physical examination and a complete history that covers factors that are likely to have influenced the severity of the symptom, including pre-existing illnesses and exacerbating factors will provide sufficient information to accurately determine a diagnosis of dyspnoea.	240	IV
42. Non-pharmacological interventions based on psychosocial support, controlled breathing and learned coping strategies can help residents cope with dyspnoea, which will reduce their physical and emotional distress.	239, 244	II
43. The use of sustained-release low-dose oral morphine administered orally or parenterally can benefit individuals with dyspnoea by reducing the severity of their symptoms and improving the quality of their sleep.	160	II
Complementary therapies		
44. Generally, those who are unable to tolerate or who refuse pain medications as part of a palliative approach may benefit from appropriate complementary therapies. These therapies may also fit better with culturally specific preferences and enhance resident's sense of control.	246	II
45. The combination of traditional analgesic treatments with acupuncture, TENS, relaxation and imagery, and hypnosis may be helpful for symptom management because they may reduce intractable pain, thereby improving quality of life.	246	II
46. The use of acupuncture, acupressure, and muscle relaxation with rebreathing training or rebreathing training combined with coping strategies may reduce dyspnoea and improve function (e.g. walking ability).	246	II
47. The use of aromatherapy massage for residents with advanced dementia may reduce the incidence of disturbed behaviour, the level of anxiety and improve quality of life.	247 249 248	II II III-1
48. A massage with essential oils is beneficial for reducing levels of anxiety and improving quality of life for people receiving a palliative approach.	247 250	II III-1
49. The use of Ginkgo for older persons with mild to moderate dementia or age-associated memory impairment is not recommended because it was found to have no beneficial effects.	258	II
PSYCHOLOGICAL SUPPORT		
Depression		
50. The use of the Geriatric Depression Scale to screen residents for depression can increase the frequency with which treatment is provided for this disorder by prescription or via referral to appropriate health care providers.	278	II
51. Suicide attempts or requests for a hastened death are often an indication of clinical depression. An active response that addresses depression, hopelessness, and social support can improve the resident's wellbeing and reduce the desire for a hastened death.	63	IV

Guidelines	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
Anxiety		
52. Gentle massage can reduce anxiety levels or agitated behaviours for residents with chronic pain and / or dementia.	290	IV
Delirium		
53. A thorough assessment of the symptoms of delirium is required, which includes consideration of the persistence of symptoms (e.g. inattention, disorientation, and impaired memory) to accurately and quickly detect delirium in older persons, which increases residents' frequency of treatment and referral.	291 294	III-2 IV
Dementia		
54. Many residents can answer questions regarding their quality of life even when significant symptoms of dementia are present. Therefore, a resident's preferences for quality of life concerns should still be sought and incorporated in decision-making to improve their satisfaction with the care they receive.	299	IV
Psychological distress		
55. Incorporating the use of a specialised palliative team who have some expertise in the assessment and care of those with depression, agitation, loss and / or anxiety is beneficial for residents who require a palliative approach.	271, 300	QE
FAMILY SUPPORT		
56. Involving the family to assist with symptom distress, communicating and assisting with the physical care needs of the resident can improve the aged care team members' relationship with the family.	322 313	III-2 QE
57. Health deterioration and the death of the resident may impact upon the physical and emotional health of family members. Family members' depression and health problems should, therefore, be informally monitored by the aged care team and, where appropriate, support provided to offset the effects of caregiving stress.	304 324 309	III-2 III-3 QE
58. Families appreciate good communication with the aged care team, affirmation that their input is valued, and permission to withdraw at times from the caregiving situation. When these needs are addressed families experience increased satisfaction with care.	306, 307, 325	QE
59. Family conferences can provide emotional support to family members and an opportunity to discuss concerns about the resident's illness / ageing process. Such discussion benefits families and ultimately improves the quality of life for the resident.	308, 322 107, 313	III-2 QE
SOCIAL SUPPORT, INTIMACY AND SEXUALITY		
Social Support		
60. A lack of social support may lead to deteriorating psychological wellbeing, depression, and diminished functional health. Therefore, a thorough assessment of the resident's social network is required, including the resident's perception, appraisal and interpretation of the contact that is most important to them. Appropriate interventions can then be undertaken with a view to arresting / improving the resident's condition.	332, 333	IV

Guidelines	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
Intimacy		
61. The use of comfort touch (e.g. massage, hand-holding) by the aged care team can enhance residents' sense of well-being and self-regard.	290, 338 336	IV
Sexuality		
62. Aged care teams who have received ongoing education regarding the importance of residents' intimacy and sexuality needs and who are discrete when sharing such information with their colleagues, provide residents with more holistic care; thereby improving residents' well-being.	336, 337, 341	QE
ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER ISSUES		
63. Respectful attention to the individual needs of Indigenous Australian residents, taking into account their beliefs regarding illness, healing, comfort, care practices, location of care, and death and dying is required to provide Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander residents with culturally appropriate and satisfactory care.	133	QE
64. Aboriginal health workers, liaison officers, other Indigenous Australian health care practitioners and community organisations have important knowledge about local cultural values and individual situations and should be involved when developing protocols and when working with Indigenous Australian residents to deliver the best possible care.	133	QE
65. Regular review of Indigenous Australian residents' needs is required because their needs may change over time. Communication in the resident's own language will enhance understanding and attention to his / her needs.	133	QE
CULTURAL ISSUES		
66. Education about cultural diversity is recommended for aged care teams to enhance understanding of care preferences of residents from varying cultural groups. Efforts to accommodate these preferences promote individualised care that benefits the residents' and their families' well-being.	356, 361	QE
67. Where possible, provide information about a palliative approach to residents from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds in their own language because this enhances cultural sensitivity for residents and their families and ensures adequate and appropriate care.	359 356	II QE
SPIRITUAL SUPPORT		
68. A multidisciplinary aged care team that responds in an open, non-judgmental manner to residents' questions regarding spiritual needs, and that involves a chaplain / pastoral care worker with experience and knowledge of spiritual issues, is likely to provide appropriate spiritual support to residents, which will enhance their quality of life.	371 367, 380	IV QE
69. A palliative approach supports residents and families to express their unique spirituality. Respecting their privacy and providing an opportunity for them to continue their spiritual practices enhances a resident's spiritual care and their quality of life, as does spiritual counselling.	371 367, 369	IV QE

Guidelines	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
70. Understanding the resident's current or desired practices, attitudes, experiences and beliefs by obtaining a comprehensive history, assists in meeting the spiritual needs of a resident, as does a regular review.	371 367, 373	IV QE
VOLUNTEER SUPPORT		
71. The integration of trained volunteers into multidisciplinary teams can enhance the provision of a palliative approach to residents.	384 383	IV QE
72. Ongoing support and education from a trained coordinator of volunteers will increase the effectiveness of volunteers as members of a multidisciplinary team providing a palliative approach.	384 383	IV QE
73. Suitably screened and matched volunteers may act as companions and confidants, which can help residents and their families to feel more supported.	384 383, 388	IV QE
END-OF-LIFE (TERMINAL) CARE		
74. To be actively "doing everything" for a dying resident, in terms of medication, positioning, and other medical and nursing interventions, together with talking to, and spending time or being present with the resident helps family members cope with the dying process.	241, 409	QE
75. Well planned family conferences, conducted in private and attended by the GP and other members of the aged care team, provide an opportunity for building trust and discussing end-of-life issues of concern, which improves the family's satisfaction with the care that is provided.	308 309	III-2 QE
BEREAVEMENT SUPPORT		
76. Members of the aged care team can experience loss following the deaths of residents with whom they have established meaningful relationships. Therefore, they may require opportunities to formally acknowledge their loss and access to adequate bereavement support to reduce their levels of death anxiety and their risk of complicated grief. Aged care team members who have experienced many deaths may still require access to these support services.	429, 433, 437	QE
77. A memorial service is a useful ritual to assist in bereavement support of residents, family members, the aged care team, and volunteers because it facilitates the grieving process and reduces levels of death anxiety and the risk of complicated grief.	433, 435	QE
78. The greater the level of social support that a family can access, the better their ability to cope with the bereavement of their family member; however, it is the quality of the support rather than the quantity that enhances this resilience.	414 399, 413	IV QE
MANAGEMENT'S ROLE IN IMPLEMENTING A PALLIATIVE APPROACH		
79. Implementation of formal management systems in RACFs that support the introduction and maintenance of a palliative approach through the allocation of appropriate resources will improve residents' and families' satisfaction and enhance the quality of care that the aged care team is able to provide.	6, 447, 450	QE

Guideline development process



Introduction

There is currently no evidence-based document to guide health care providers who are endeavouring to implement a palliative approach in RACFs. Standards for Aged Care and Standards for Palliative Care exist, but these do not specifically address the unique and complex issues related to palliative care provision that arise within RACFs. Australian RACFs have an exhaustive accreditation process in place, which is tied to the *Aged Care Act 1997* (CTH). However, palliative practices within RACFs are still in their infancy and there is little direction on the best practice for a palliative approach by non-specialist palliative care teams.

This chapter outlines the aim, methodology and processes that were used to complete Component I, the development of evidence-based national Guidelines for the provision of a palliative approach to residential aged care. (This chapter does not include the full details of the systematic review of the literature.)

Aims

The aims of Component I were to:

1. Conduct a systematic review of the literature to summarise the evidence for best practice relating to residential aged care practices and psychosocial, cultural, Indigenous, spiritual and physical issues, all factors that are relevant to a palliative approach. The systematic review would involve obtaining, synthesising, and evaluating the evidence.
2. Formulate guidelines for the provision of a palliative approach to residential aged care based upon the summarised and evaluated evidence that was gathered.
3. Identify gaps in the evidence with a view to suggesting future research directions.
4. Refine the Guidelines using consultative processes such as the NCISN members.
5. Format and present a penultimate version of the Guidelines to the DoHA.

The evidence gathered would be used to confirm or refute existing practices and make recommendations for practice change for residents in aged care facilities whose care needs to be managed by a palliative approach.

1.1 SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

This section outlines the processes used to organise, collect and evaluate the relevant literature including the search strategies, evaluation methods, collated results of the searches, and limitations of this review process.

1.1.1 Aims

The aims of the systematic literature review were to locate and summarise evidence relating to care practices and psychosocial, cultural, Indigenous, spiritual and physical issues relating to the provision of a palliative approach within RACFs.

A secondary aim was to identify gaps in the evidence with a view to suggesting future research directions.

1.1.2 Methodology

The systematic review of the literature was conducted by the review working party (South Australian members of the project working party); however, the guideline formulation, development and refinement was undertaken by all members of the project working party.

All available evidence pertaining to palliative care models, assessment and care practices for older persons requiring a palliative approach was summarised. Relevant evidence included studies that had populations with cancer and non-cancer diagnoses, multiple co-morbidities, dementia, and in which participants were aged 65 years and older. Studies that helped to identify the entry of an aged care resident into a phase where a palliative approach is warranted were also considered. Documentation related to the physical, psychological, social, cultural, Indigenous, and spiritual care needs for the person who was dying, as well as the care and support of the family, was also included.

Evidence based guideline development traditionally involves the search for evidence following the definition of a clinical question. Palliative care and aged care are large and complex academic fields and areas of clinical practice. Research in these fields crosses many disciplines and draws on a multitude of study designs and approaches.

Without a specific clinical question to guide the search strategies, the review working party developed a domain approach. Domains have been used elsewhere in the literature to describe areas of clinical or patient importance in providing care at the end-of-life ^[12-14]. Domains represented areas for guideline development or acknowledged areas of research.

Based upon the review working party's preliminary content analysis of the literature, the project working party identified 13 domains of literature that were relevant to a palliative approach in residential aged care, which were as follows:

1. a palliative approach, including care models and care practices,
2. assessment and management tools,
3. co-morbidities,
4. cognitive impairment,
5. physical care,
6. psychosocial support,
7. spiritual support,
8. family / carer support,

9. Indigenous support,
10. cultural support,
11. advance care plans,
12. dignity and quality of life, and
13. rural and remote issues.

1.1.3 Search Strategies

Preliminary work in searching showed that two search strategies would be necessary to capture all the relevant literature for the development of evidence-based practice Guidelines. The first sweep of the literature aimed to acquire relevant studies (both quantitative and qualitative) using the above outlined domains. This top-down approach elicited articles covering a broad range of issues. The second strategy used specific terms that emerged from articles found during the first search strategy. This refinement addressed the specific issues found in caring for older institutionalised persons with life-limiting conditions (e.g. Cachexia in terminal illness), or who were dying as a consequence of the process of ageing.

The literature was searched electronically for English language articles published in peer-review journals from 1990 to Week 3 September 2002 using the first search strategy. Additional electronic searches using the second strategy covered the period 1990 to Week 4 September 2003. (Further searches were required due to stakeholder feedback that recommended additional issues should have been included, such as post-bereavement support for family and friends, continuity of care, complementary therapies, and privacy and confidentiality).

Both search strategies were conducted on databases MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, Cochrane Library and Current Contents, APAIS, DRUG, PsychINFO, and Dissertation Abstracts International. (See Table 1 for search terms). Relevant secondary references were included in the review.

Searches also included a hard copy search of books and publications at Flinders University libraries (Sturt, Medical and Central) and Repatriation General Hospital libraries (Daw House and Medical) between July 2002 and September 2003. Searches on the World Wide Web for government and non-government publications, standards of care, existing guidelines and reports to government were also conducted between August 2002 and September 2003.

Table 1: Search terms

Terms	Words or variations of words that were used in searches
Aboriginal	Aboriginal; Indigenous; koori
Advance Care Planning	Advance directive/s; advance care plan/s/ning; decision making; end-of-life care; legislation;
Aged care	Aged care facility/ies; gerontological care; group homes; homes for the aged; residential facility/ies; residential care; residential aged care facility/ies; RACF; halfway houses; health services for the aged; high level care; long term care; long stay homes; nursing homes; hostels
Assessment tools	Symptom assessment; tools; indicators; instruments
Australian	Australia/n; Royal College of Nursing, Australia
Bereavement	bereavement; grie/f/ve/ving; death and dying; hospice; death rit/e/s/ual/s; occupational stress; staff stress; hospital programs; memorial service; life experiences; rit/e/s/ual/s (nonreligious); symboli/c/sm; ritual referral; unresolved grief; complicated grief; at-risk population/s; treatment/s; psychopathology/ies; pathological grie/f/ving; coping behaviour/s
Breast Cancer	
Cancer	Neoplasms; cancer; malignanc/y/ies; oncology
Care Givers	Famil/y/ies; care givers
Cardiac Care	heart
Clinical	Evidence; random/ised/ized controlled trials; guideline/s;
Complementary therapy	Complementary therap/y/ies; alternative therap/y/ies
Cultural	Multicultural; culture/al; diversity; cultural diversity
Dementia	Dementia care; cognitive impairment
Depression	Depress/ed/ion/ing; clinical depression
Education	Train/ing; educat/e/ion/ing; skill/s/ed; staff development; in-service training;
Hospital	Hospitals — special; teaching; urban; rural/remote; acute care setting/s
Nonclinical staff	Maintenance staff; non-clinical staff; cleaner/s/ing; gardener/s/ing; care team/s
Nonprofessional staff	Domestic; non-professional/s; ancillary; uneducated; volunteer/s/ing/ism; nurses' aides; aides; personal care assistants; nurses' assistants; unregistered; care assistants
Neurological	Neurologic/al
New Zealand	New Zealand; NZ
Nursing	Nursing role/s; terminal car/e/ing; end-of-life care; quality of nursing car/e/ing; registered nurse/s; Registration/s
Occupational Therapy	Occupational therap/y/ies/ist; OT
Pain	Pain/s; pain management; pain assessment

Palliative care	Hospice Car/e/ing; exp palliative care; end of life; dying; death; terminal care; palliative approach; palliation
Palliative symptomatology	Anorexia; oral care; bowel care; feeding; skin integrity; nutrition; hydration; dysphagia; swallowing; choking;
Pastoral	Spiritual; pastoral; religi/on/ous; existential/ism
Prognosis	Prognos/is/tication; treatment/s
Psychological factors	Psychosocial; quality of life; psychological; psychiatric; disorders; personality
Quality	Quality improvement; quality system/s
Renal	Kidney
Respiratory	Dyspnoea; breathlessness; breathing
Rural & Remote	Rural; Remote; nonmetropolitan; nonurban; country
Social Work	Social work/er/ers; counsel/lor/s; counsel/ling
Therapeutic Environment	Person–environment fit; home environment; environment/al; surrounding/s
Volunteer	Volunteer/s/ing/ism; non-clinical staff

Once the general framework for content was established, a process for identifying, assessing, evaluating and eliminating material in the literature review process was developed. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were established for initial decision-making on articles and abstracts.

Following a preliminary analysis of the evidence by the review working party, specific searches on identified gaps were conducted between July 2002 and September 2003. These searches involved specific word searches on the major electronic databases outlined above, searching of author publication lists, internet searching by author and key word, review of reference lists from related articles, searches of related websites and searches of journal title pages. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were established for initial decision-making on articles and abstracts.

1.1.4 Inclusion Criteria

Research studies (using qualitative, quasi-experimental or quantitative methodologies) published between January 1990 and September 2003 in English peer-review journals and systematic reviews from Cochrane Collaboration and Joanna Briggs Institute that appear on various electronic databases were included in this review. Studies from countries with comparable health systems and residential care facilities to Australia, with similar social or cultural values were included. The majority of articles were based in palliative care units and RACFs. Some studies based in the acute hospital, home-based or community-based studies, were deemed transferable to the RACF if there was consensus by the project working party that such articles were relevant to providing a palliative approach to residential aged care. Articles that were based on personal, expert opinion and literature reviews were also included if the project working party reached consensus regarding the relevance of these articles as background information for various domains reviewed. However, these articles were not used as the basis for guideline development, because these articles were not research based.

1.1.5 Exclusion Criteria

Although the academically accepted 5-year norm for the cut off date was considered, the review working party adopted 1990 as the cut-off date for exclusion of studies based on the significant seminal work completed in the early 1990s in palliative care. Hence, studies prior to 1990 have been excluded from this review unless they were considered by the project working party to be significant seminal work. Articles published in languages other than English were excluded as were unpublished studies.

1.1.6 Evaluation Strategies

No suitable tool was available to assess quantitative studies according to NHMRC (1999)^[9] criterion of quality, strength or relevance. To overcome this difficulty, the Cochrane^[10] and Campbell^[11] Guidelines were substantially modified by the review working party to provide an evaluation tool that was appropriate for intervention studies (quantitative) (See Appendix C).

Quantitative Evidence

The tool that was developed for use with intervention studies used the level of evidence categories outlined by the NHMRC^[9] directly as shown below:

Levels	Criteria
I	Systematic review of all relevant randomised controlled trials (RCTs)
II	At least one properly designed RCT
III-1	Well-designed pseudo-RCT
III-2	Comparative studies with concurrent controls and allocation not randomised, case-control studies or interrupted time series with a control group
III-3	Comparative studies with historical control, two or more single-arm studies, or interrupted time series without a parallel control group
IV	Case series, either post-test or pre-test and post-test

To measure the quality, strength and relevance of quantitative studies, additional criteria were developed by the review working party (these criteria were not part of the NHMRC [1999] levels of evidence), as shown in the following table.

TABLE 3: QUALITY CRITERIA FOR QUANTITATIVE LEVELS OF EVIDENCE	
	Explanation
Quality	This rating refers to the quality of the methods used in a study to minimise bias. (Score range 1 to 4 with 4 being high quality.)
Strength	This classification refers mainly to the magnitude of the intervention effect. (Score range 1 to 4 with 4 being highest strength.)
Relevance	This rating refers to the relevance of outcome measures and the applicability of the study results to the clinical question and the clinical setting. (Score range 1 to 4 with 4 being highest relevance.)

Qualitative Levels of Evidence

Qualitative studies are usually descriptive with the aim of providing a context for people's experience and behaviours through analysis that is detailed, 'rich' and integrative. Examples of qualitative studies include observational or case study methods that explore comparisons within a group to describe and explain a particular phenomenon (e.g. comparative case studies with multiple communities).

It has been suggested that RCTs are impracticable for studies regarding a palliative approach due to ethical and methodological difficulties, such as early deaths, difficulties in recruitment of participants, and a low compliance rate for completion.^[15] Many research questions about a palliative approach have been addressed using qualitative designs such as surveys, and audits; however, the NHMRC (1999)^[9] criteria does not have any provision for the inclusion of qualitative studies. Nonetheless, the project working party agreed that it was necessary to include and evaluate such evidence because a systematic review based only on data from RCTs can be problematic. For example, specific palliative care issues may not have been studied using these methods or are very difficult, if not impossible to locate, because they are not on the main search engines used for literature reviews.^[10] Additionally, there may be insufficient information from RCTs regarding rare side-effects or long-term benefits of an intervention (because RCTs are generally about short-term results from interventions).^[10]

The project working party included qualitative studies when reviewing the evidence, because of the important role that these types of study provide. According to the Campbell Collaboration (2002)^[11] incorporating relevant qualitative studies in a systematic review is beneficial because it can:

- (a) Contribute to the development of a more robust intervention by helping to define an intervention more precisely;
- (b) Assist in the choice of outcome measures and assist in the development of valid research questions; and
- (c) Help to understand heterogeneous results from studies of effect.

However, the inclusion of evidence from qualitative studies while resolving some of the short-comings of quantitative studies also raises some concerns, such as the potential for biases

in the methodology that may invalidate the conclusions.^[10] To overcome this problem, the project working party determined that qualitative evidence would need to be reviewed and examined by the review working party using criteria to measure the quality of these studies. No appropriately validated tool existed that could measure the quality of research studies that were not of an empirical design (qualitative studies). Therefore, the review working party substantially modified the Cochrane Collaboration (2002)^[10] and Campbell Collaboration (2002)^[11] guidelines to provide an appropriate evaluation tool (see Appendix D).

Because the level of evidence determines the quality for qualitative studies (designated as QE), a single category was created that contained eight questions regarding the aim of the study and the appropriateness of the methodology that was used (see Table 4). Each question in this category required the reviewer to answer ‘yes’ or ‘no’ with yes scoring 1 and no scoring 0. The score range for the level of evidence was 0 to 8 with 8 being the highest level of evidence, and, therefore, the best quality.

The reviewer then considered the theoretical rigour (strength) of the study (e.g. did the study have soundly constructed arguments and analysis that followed on from each other and were supported with evidence from other sources). Strength had a score range of 1 (very low/no strength) to 4 (very high/very strong).

Finally, the relevance of the study was considered. The relevance rating referred to the applicability of the study’s results to a palliative approach to residential aged care (Score range 1 [not applicable/not relevant] to 4 [very applicable/very relevant]).

Studies that scored a relevance rating of 1 or 2 were not included in the Guidelines, even if they had a high quality and / or strength rating.

TABLE 4: QUALITATIVE LEVELS OF EVIDENCE	
<i>Questions</i>	<i>Yes = 1 No = 0</i>
1. Aim of the study: Was the aim clear?	
2. Paradigm: Was the paradigm appropriate for the aim?	
<i>Quality of evidence</i>	
3. Methodology: Was the methodology appropriate for the paradigm?	
4. Methods: Were the methods used appropriate for the methodology?	
5. Checking methods: Did checking methods establish rigour?	
6. Sample: Did the sampling strategy address the aim?	
7. Data analysis: Was the data analysis appropriately rigorous?	

8. Findings: Were the findings clearly stated and relevant to the aim?	
Level of evidence score:	
(Sum scores. Score range from 0 to 8 with 8 being highest level) (Do not add the following strength score to the levels of evidence score).	
Strength of evidence (Score range 1 to 4 with 4 being highest level of relevance):	
4. Very high	
3. High	
2. Low	
1. Very low	
Strength score:	
(Do not add the following relevance score to the strengths score OR the levels of evidence score).	
Relevance of evidence (Score range 1 to 4 with 4 being highest level of relevance):	
4. Very applicable	
3. Applicable	
2. Somewhat applicable	
1. Not applicable	
Relevance score:	

1.1.7 Evaluation Process

Using the search strategies, more than 12,000 references were located and preliminarily assessed for their potential for inclusion in the evidence evaluation phase by the review working party members using the inclusion and exclusion criteria. In total, 939 articles were determined as being potentially suitable for the project and these were formally evaluated.

The review working party was responsible for evaluating the remaining articles' relevance to the project. Relevance was scored by judging whether or not the findings from the articles were transferable to the Australian RACFs' context. For example, if participants in the study were aged 65 years and older and institutionalised the results would likely be of relevance to the project and were scored as 4 out of 4. If a study reported on people with a cancer diagnosis only, and who were younger than 65 years the results would be judged of lesser relevance, and were scored 1 or 2 out of 4. The review working party determined that only studies with a relevance score of 3 or 4 would be included. Additionally, only qualitative studies with a quality rating of 6 or higher (out of 8) and a strength rating of 3 or 4 (out of 4) would be appropriate for development into guidelines.

To ensure the reliability of the review working party's assessments, 20% of the evaluated articles were randomly selected and distributed to two palliative care researchers who were not part of the project for independent rating. A rating discrepancy occurred with two of the 939 articles. In these instances, the articles and the evaluations were forwarded to the four Chief Investigators of the project for their ratings on the appropriate relevance score, which resulted in consensus agreement as to the appropriate level of evidence.

Based upon this preliminary evaluation, 446 articles were identified as being relevant to the project and these were then fully evaluated using the appropriate evaluation tool (either quantitative or qualitative level of evidence checks as previously discussed) to determine quality and strength. Of this set, 206 were found to meet the evidence criteria.

From subsequent searches (see section "Search Strategies" as to why further searches were required), a further 483 relevant articles were found. Of these, 87 met the evidence standard as outlined previously; thus creating a total evidence set of 293 articles and studies. This number does not include those articles that were personal, expert opinion or literature reviews because the process for incorporating these articles was different; guidelines could not be developed upon their findings, as outlined below.

A formal consensus process was used to decide upon the inclusion of evidence designated as Expert Opinion (EO). This process was activated by feedback (particularly from people with specialised expertise) from stakeholders, reference group members or project working party members regarding work that they considered was seminal to a particular topic. The article was located and distributed to all Investigators with consensus being required as to its relevance to the project for its inclusion in the preamble section of the Guidelines.

Some issues of relevance to aged care settings were not found in the palliative care or gerontology literature, which necessitated a wider search for relevant empirical work to formulate the Guidelines. Some study findings based on research undertaken in the community or a palliative care setting have been included when these results were deemed to be transferable to the aged care setting.

1.1.8 Limitations

There were several limitations to the literature review, which were inherent in the method of using electronic databases. The searches were limited to articles published in English; however, much of the research in palliative care and aged care has occurred in European countries and is published in other languages, particularly, German, Dutch and Swedish. Additionally, countries publishing in English language journals are predominantly first world countries and this may subsequently have limited some exposure to palliative care issues.

Studies not listed on the electronic databases were not captured by either search strategy. Studies may not have been listed for a number of reasons including a time delay between being published and appearing on the database, the journal not being picked up by the database or the database not providing an abstract. Results from an Australian study reported approximately 30% of the palliative care literature was missed on the electronic databases.^[16] Also, different search engines use different key words and different search strategies to identify articles and these differences may have limited the capturing of appropriate articles.

The volume of literature created the most significant difficulty for the review working party. There were major constraints in terms of time and resources to search, locate and evaluate material. Preliminary assessment made on the basis of title and abstract as a result was often cursory.

Hand searching for specific topics also showed that persistent tracking of material could result in the identification of additional relevant studies and evidence. However, given the resource constraints, it was not possible to apply the same approaches to the initial search topics.

1.1.9 Further Research

There were several gaps in the knowledge base regarding a palliative approach to residential aged care, which are fully discussed in Part 2 of the Final Report (a separate document). Issues specific to residential aged care that require further research are as follows:

- Effectiveness of bereavement approaches and strategies for reducing distress;
- Definitions of dying, quality of life for those who are dying and quality of death that are widely accepted (without these we do not know who should be sampled in research activities, who should receive palliative approaches in RACFs, and what should be funding bases for care of residents who require a palliative approach);
- Mental health issues including extent and range of problem in aged populations. Potential issues include schizophrenia, delirium, bipolar disorder, panic disorder, anxiety disorder, mania and confusion;
- Age issues in the application of palliative medicine to RACFs. For example, most studies on drugs have not been specifically tested on older populations;
- Is it appropriate to transfer practices from oncology to aged care populations?
- Quality indicators relating to the discussion on death, advance care planning, and advance care directives (e.g. dehydration);
- Palliative care needs and practices for residents from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds;
- Assessing effectiveness and contribution of volunteer, pastoral, and / or allied health care workers in the palliative process;
- The trajectory of chronic illnesses and comorbidities in the aged populations and RACFs; and
- Effects of dying in long-term care facilities on staff, other residents and the organisation itself (e.g. multiple losses for staff).

1.2 GUIDELINE FORMULATION

1.2.1 Aim

The aim of this stage of the project was to formulate the Guidelines for palliative care in aged care settings reflecting all available evidence for best practice. The Guidelines incorporated the following key principles: a focus on improved outcomes for health care consumers; a basis in the best available evidence; inclusion of statements about the strength of recommendations; and consideration of the views of all stakeholders, including consumers.

1.2.2 Methodology

When the first results of the literature review evaluation process became available, the project working party began to formulate the Guidelines. The process for the guideline development included defining the objective and scope of the Guidelines, formulation of the Guidelines based on the findings of the available evaluated literature, and refinement of the Guidelines by relevant stakeholders and the Reference Group.

1.2.3 Objective and Scope of the Guidelines

The objective of the Guidelines was to identify fundamental themes in the provision of a palliative approach in RACFs and to provide evidence-based recommendations to assist aged care teams to implement such an approach.

The Guidelines would also assist in the identification of local strengths and weaknesses in the provision of a palliative approach in RACFs, providing a mechanism by which changes in service delivery could be evaluated over time.

The Guidelines were not rigid prescriptive pathways; rather, their intent was to allow flexibility in their implementation, to accommodate individual RACF characteristics due to local resource constraints, such as the current availability of palliative care consultants, and to accommodate other local circumstances.

1.2.4 Formulation of the Guidelines

Based upon the ratings of the evidence, recommendations for inclusion of studies to develop the Guidelines were made. The project working party divided into small groups based upon members' areas of expertise (e.g. spiritual support, bereavement care). Each small group determined questions about clinical care that were relevant to their domain, to provide recommendations regarding best practice. Each group then drafted these recommendations into guidelines (see Glossary) in accordance with the NHMRC (1999)^[9] criteria for evidence-based guidelines. This process was repeated until all the domains had been considered. The project working party then met and discussed each set of guidelines using a process of consensus to compile a subset of acceptable guidelines. In total, 104 guidelines were developed that encompassed the 13 domains. These guidelines were presented to key stakeholders via national focus groups for their feedback.

1.3 STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

The principles for guideline development, as outlined by the NHMRC (1999)^[9] were used in preparing these guidelines. One of the key principles is the involvement of stakeholders, including representatives of all relevant disciplines and consumers.

Therefore, key stakeholders were recruited, and the project working party coordinated the consultative process in each State and Territory. This involved a broad cross-section of participants from aged care, palliative care, education, volunteering, and consumer advocacy. Close links with other groups currently working in palliative care or aged care were fostered by the Working Party;

for example, Alzheimer's Australia, Palliative Care Australia, the Australian Nursing Homes and Extended Care Association (ANHECA), the Australian Nursing Federation, and the Australian Division of General Practitioners. Additional contributors are listed in Appendix E.

The NCISN was established at the commencement of the project and comprised stakeholders and other interested parties. The network:

- promoted ongoing dialogues between the project working party and industry / consumer stakeholders,
- provided additional opportunities for consultation and feedback, and
- provided additional avenues for the dissemination of outcomes of the project.

A newsletter was developed and distributed in early August 2002, to all Australian RACFs, relevant peak bodies and palliative and aged care educators (e.g. universities, registered training organisations, and independent consultants) to promote the project, and specifically to encourage stakeholders to participate in the development of the Guidelines. A website for the project that also promoted the project and called for stakeholders to join the NCISN was launched mid-August 2002. The scope, process and mechanisms for communication with the NCISN and other interested parties was outlined on the project's website in a user-friendly interface designed to facilitate exchange of information. Additionally, the project's newsletter updated and circulated to members of the network at regular intervals to ensure maximum involvement of stakeholders.

1.3.1 Role of the NCISN

The NCISN was consulted when:

- (a) The Guidelines were drafted which allowed:
 - feedback from stakeholders regarding the practicality of the Guidelines; this opportunity for providing feedback also helped to ensure ownership of the Guidelines by stakeholders; and
 - expressions of interest from RACFs that were wanting to assist with assessment of the Guidelines (such as the useability and feasibility trial).
- (b) The latest iteration of the Guidelines was ready for further stakeholder feedback.
- (c) The Guidelines were complete, so that stakeholders were informed of the availability of the Guidelines.

Further details regarding the refinement of the Guidelines are provided in the following section.

1.4 GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT AND REFINEMENT

1.4.1 Aim

The aim of this step was to refine the draft Guidelines using a process of national consultation with key stakeholders such as the NCISN members.

1.4.2 Method

When feedback was required from stakeholders for the project, members of the NCISN were contacted to elicit their feedback regarding the various iterations of the guidelines with the first involvement of stakeholders occurring through focus groups. (See Appendix E for a summary of stakeholder groups who commented on the draft Guidelines and see Appendix F for a summary of the frequency with which stakeholder groups participated in the guideline refinement process.)

1.4.3 Decision making process for guideline development and refinement

All comments and suggestions from stakeholders and Reference Group members for the development of further guidelines were considered. The first consideration was does evidence exist to support the suggestion or comment? If there was evidence, a decision making process was applied as shown in Figure 1. If there was no evidence, no further action took place.

Feedback Loop #1 — Focus groups

A focus group is a qualitative interview method that aims to describe perceptions, interpretations, and beliefs of a select population to gain an understanding of a specific issue from the perspective of the focus group participants.^[17] Participants are invited to discuss a specific issue with the help of a facilitator in a setting that promotes active dialogue between participants.

Focus groups are particularly useful to explore stakeholder's knowledge, perspective and experience, especially when these people are from diverse social and cultural backgrounds.^[17] The focus group method is also a suitable methodology for looking at sensitive issues or vulnerable populations, because people may feel more relaxed about talking when they see that other participants have similar experiences or views. Based on the aims of the focus group methodology, the project working party decided that it was appropriate to use this method to engage stakeholders in the development of the Guidelines.





FIGURE 1: GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT DECISION MAKING PROCESS



Several Working Party members convened focus groups with NCISN members in each state and territory to ensure that consultation regarding the draft Guidelines was nation-wide, representative and generalisable. Participants (N = 176) represented a broad cross section of aged care and palliative care health professionals including, general practitioners (GPs), palliative care medical specialists, aged care and palliative care nurses, and allied health professionals. Academics with relevant expertise in the area, representatives of various peak bodies, coordinators of volunteers, and consumers were also included in the focus groups.

The Guidelines were sent out to participants one week prior to the focus group to provide them with sufficient time to consider their response. The 104 Guidelines were formatted to allow focus group participants to respond to each guideline in one of three ways; 1) supported, 2) supported with revisions or 3) not supported. The Guidelines were presented in this format at all of the focus groups. (See Appendix G for an example of how the Guidelines were presented.) Each participant received a hard copy of the Guidelines well in advance of the meeting, with the invitation to provide written comments to be discussed at the focus group meeting.

Because of the number of guidelines to be reviewed it was decided by the project working party to divide the task and involve two focus groups in every state and territory. (Assessment, co-morbidities and physical symptoms were discussed in both groups because participants felt that this area was crucial to ensuring a palliative approach was appropriate to the context of RACFs.)

The first focus groups discussed:

- assessment, co-morbidities and physical symptoms,
- advance care planning,
- family support,
- bereavement,
- spirituality, and
- volunteers.

The second focus group discussed:

- assessment, co-morbidities and physical symptoms,
- documentation of social relationships,
- attitudes to palliative care,
- psychosocial care, and
- culture.

A brief overview of the development of the Guidelines, the literature review and the grading of evidence was provided by the facilitator to participants to begin the focus group. The way in which rural, remote, cultural and Indigenous issues were being covered on a national level was also highlighted.

Each focus group then worked through their requisite guideline subjects with every guideline presented on overhead to facilitate discussion. As well as having the 'background questions' in mind, the groups were asked to consider (a) any gaps in the Guidelines, (b) any recommendations arising out of the Guidelines and (c) additional suggestions for evidence/literature.

Rural and Remote

Rural and remote stakeholders came from their membership of the NCISN and from existing networks that the Specialist Consultant for Rural and Remote had developed. The Rural and Remote stakeholders' focus groups were conducted differently to the other focus groups due to the constraints of geographical distance. For these groups four teleconference meetings and one face-to-face meeting were conducted. Participants represented all states and territories (with the exception of the ACT, because the ACT does not have areas that are rural or remote).

Focus Groups' Feedback

The consensus from the participants at the focus groups was that they were enthusiastic about the Guidelines development and indicated that they were long over due. They were aware that there was great need to provide aged care teams in RACFs with better information about a palliative approach and were very enthusiastic about the project.

Stakeholders recommended that additional issues should also be included in the Guidelines, such as the families ongoing needs for bereavement support for after the death of the resident and the effects of a lack of continuity of staff involved with resident care.

Feedback Loop #2 — Working Party and Reference Group Members

Subsequent to receiving all of the focus groups' feedback, the Guidelines were discussed and revised by the project working party. Additional searches of the databases (mentioned in the search strategies) for relevant information on issues that were raised by stakeholders, such as staff's bereavement care, were completed by the review working party to provide evaluated evidence for the project working party to develop further guidelines. The Guidelines (Revised Version #1) were forwarded to the Departmental Reference Group early in December 2002. Following the feedback from the Reference Group, the Working Party revised the Guidelines in accordance with the suggestions and the evidence base to support the suggested change.

Feedback Loop #3 — Stakeholders

The Guidelines were placed on the project's website and an email was forwarded to all members of the NCISN and the Reference Group to notify them that the Guidelines (Revised Version #2) were available for them to download and provide feedback to the Working Party.

Feedback was received from 55 stakeholders. Approximately one-third of organisations distributed the Guidelines to key personnel and provided them with a proforma on which to respond. These responses were collated by the organisation and then forwarded to the Working Party.

NCISN Feedback

Feedback that was received was supportive of the revisions that had taken place. Additional issues that were considered relevant for inclusion were complementary therapies, clearer distinction between depression, delirium and dementia, and more work on advanced dementia and the physical symptoms (of advanced dementia).

All the feedback received was collated onto a spreadsheet, which was forwarded to the Working Party so they could consider the feedback and provide comment as to whether they agreed

or disagreed with each stakeholder's feedback. The Working Party's recommendations were discussed with the Reference Group and then the Guidelines were again revised in accordance with the suggested changes and the evaluated evidence provided by the review working party.

Feedback Loop #4 — Useability and Feasibility Assessment

The aim of the useability trial was to determine how clear, useable and feasible a sample set of the Guidelines was for aged care teams. The project working party selected three sites for the useability trial, a high care facility, a low care facility and one rural and remote facility. These three sites were chosen because together they represent all the types of facets of RACFs that exist within Australian residential aged care. The participants were aged care team members currently employed in the RACF.

Participants (N = 36) were given a booklet one week prior to the running of a focus group in their facility. Each booklet contained three guideline topics. Participants were asked to consider 10 questions (see Appendix H) for each guideline. The questions were designed to elicit the participants' thoughts regarding the useability, feasibility and clarity of the sample set of Guidelines (Revised Version #3).

Feedback

There was considerable support for the Guidelines' useability, feasibility and clarity from the participants in all the trials. Although a glossary had been included in the Guidelines, there was concern about the terminology being difficult to understand and many felt most concerns could be addressed by appropriate training. It also became apparent that the term 'palliative care' was taken by many in aged care to mean the last 48 hours of life, which differed from the Working Party's concept of a palliative approach.

The rural and remote facility's participants raised additional concerns pertaining to their geographic isolation. For example, access to specialists was problematic; however, they suggested that the solution could be to use videoconferencing.

All the feedback received was collated and reported to the Working Party and Reference Group. It was decided to broaden the Glossary of the Guidelines to ensure that terminology could be understood and to add a new chapter regarding end-of-life to assist in drawing a distinction between a palliative approach and end-of-life care. Training implications were to be provided to the education consultant who would be working on the second objective of the project, a national education and training framework.

Feedback Loop #5 — Project Working Party and Reference Group

The Guidelines (Revised Version #4) were forwarded to the project working party to review. All chapters of the Guidelines were scrutinised; particularly the new end-of-life chapter (due to the lack of suitable evidence with much of the information drawing upon expert opinion) and many changes were made. One significant change was the reordering of the chapters so that they had a more considered progression. For example, because many residents have advanced dementia and require an advance care plan, these two chapters were moved forward. From collaboration with stakeholders, we found that many in aged care considered that palliative care referred to the last 48 hours of life. This led to 'a palliative approach' being the appropriate

terminology for the Guidelines. Additionally, we wished to de-emphasise the notion that palliative care was about the last days of life by moving the chapter on end-of-life care to be one of the last chapters in the Guidelines. This was considered a more appropriate position and bereavement support naturally followed end-of-life care.

Feedback Loop #6 — Reference Group

The Guidelines (Revised Version #5) were forwarded to the Reference Group for their review. The Reference Group supported the latest changes and recommended some additional strengthening of some chapters. For example, the advance care plan chapter needed to include the differing state and territory legislation, and the dignity and quality of life chapter required a discussion on privacy. These changes were completed by the Working Party.

Feedback Loop #7 — Call for public feedback

Part of the NHMRC approval process was the requirement for a call for public comments via advertising in a national newspaper. In compliance with this requirement, an advertisement was placed in *The Australian* newspaper in November 2003, calling for submissions to be received by mid-December 2003. Twelve submissions were received by the closing date. These comments were logged on a database and the responses from the Working Party were also included to provide an audit trail to document how these submissions were handled. Submissions were received from various RACFs, ANHECA, Geriaction, Alzheimer's Australia, Nurse Educators and state health organisations. These comments again resulted in some changes to the Guidelines and the latest version (#6) was forwarded to the Reference Group for their review.

Feedback Loop #8 — Reference Group

The Reference Group supported the latest changes and recommended that this latest version (#7) be posted on the Project's website due to requests from the public to review the Guidelines.

Feedback Loop #9 — Stakeholders

The Guidelines were placed on the project's website and an email was forwarded to all members of the NCISN and the Reference Group to notify them that the Guidelines (Revised Version #7) were available for them to download and provide feedback to the Working Party.

Feedback was received from 58 stakeholders. Some organisations distributed the Guidelines to key personnel and provided them with a proforma on which to respond. These responses were collated by the organisation and then forwarded to the Working Party. It is worth noting that these organisations appear as only one respondent when in fact the actual number of people who have provided feedback is considerably higher than 58.

Stakeholder Feedback

Feedback that was received was supportive of the revisions that had taken place. Additional issues that were considered relevant for inclusion were complementary therapies, clearer distinction between depression, delirium and dementia, and more work on advanced dementia and the physical symptoms (of advanced dementia).

All the feedback received was collated onto a spreadsheet, which was forwarded to the Working Party so they could consider the feedback and provide comment as to whether they agreed or disagreed with each stakeholder's feedback. The Working Party's recommendations were discussed with the Reference Group and then the Guidelines were again revised in accordance with the suggested changes and the evaluated evidence provided by the review working party. These comments resulted in minimal changes to the Guidelines and the latest version (#8) was forwarded to the Reference Group for their review.

Feedback Loop #10 — Reference Group

Based on the Reference Group's feedback the Guidelines were refined and the penultimate version (#9) of the Guidelines was forwarded to the Reference Group for their final comments. The Guidelines were revised again where appropriate and the final version (#10) was forwarded to the Department so that the Guidelines could be technically edited prior to their launch. This last step completed component I.

The above decision-making process was also applied to comments and suggestions from stakeholders and Reference Group members to ensure that their input was given the same attention as that of the Working Party.

1.5 GUIDELINE IMPLEMENTATION AND EVALUATION

1.5.1 Implementation and Dissemination of the Guidelines

With overwhelming support and encouragement from stakeholders, the APRAC project team provided the Department of Health and Ageing with the Guidelines, a training framework and an implementation plan.

Implementation

The Department is now working with PCA, the Community Services and Health Industry Skills Council and the Queensland University of Technology to further the implementation of the Guidelines.

PCA, in partnership with the Department, has developed a plan for national workshops for residential aged care facilities' (RACF) staff regarding implementation of the Guidelines. Training modules have been developed by PCA that build upon the recommendations by the APRAC project team. These modules will be presented via workshops and support will be provided to RACF educators involved in providing in-house training for staff to facilitate a palliative approach for residents.

The Community Services and Health Industry Skills Council (CSHISC) have worked with the aged care sector and have determined that a palliative approach in residential aged care should be incorporated in the Training Package for Aged Care Team Members (Certificate III in Community Services [Aged Care]).

Queensland University of Technology have developed a Principles Paper for a Palliative Approach for Aged Care to be incorporated in the Undergraduate Nursing Curricula (available at <http://www.hlth.qut.edu.au/nrs/publications>).

The implementation plan included the following strategies:

Dissemination

First Version:

An initial print run of 4,000 copies of the guidelines were disseminated to all RACFs and relevant stakeholders free of charge by the DoHA. Due to demand, a second print run took place. To assist electronic dissemination of the Guidelines, the DoHA placed a link on their website at www.health.gov.au/palliativecare so that copies could be downloaded free of charge.

Second Version:

This second edition of the Guidelines was required as part of the process for gaining approval by the NHMRC. This second edition is available for downloading free of charge, from the NHMRC website at www.nhmrc.gov.au or from the Department of Health and Ageing website at www.health.gov.au/palliativecare.

Approval

This second edition of the Guidelines has been approved by the NHMRC.

1.5.2 Evaluation and Updating of the Guidelines

An essential part of the guideline development and implementation process is an evaluation of their effectiveness. An evaluation strategy will be undertaken by PCA as part of the implementation stage and will likely include the collection of data to determine the impact of the guidelines on aged care team members' behaviour and residents and families' outcomes.

The guidelines reflect the best available knowledge at the time of their publication and are current to September 2005. However, as new evidence emerges, the Guidelines will require regular revision in order to maintain their validity; five years is suggested as an appropriate time frame before such revision should occur. Therefore, the expiry date for this version of the Guidelines is September 2010.

Further Research

Areas that the Project Working Team believes require significant further research were discussed previously (See 1.1.9 Further Research).

A PALLIATIVE APPROACH



A society's philosophical beliefs and attitudes about death and dying, and a palliative approach, will affect the provision of guidelines for this type of care. This chapter examines some of the key beliefs and attitudes that may influence a palliative approach.

In most western societies, any discussion of death and dying creates discomfort.^[18] This distancing response to death and dying is reflected in poor communication about the topic, limited resources directed to this specialty area, and minimal education about how to provide supportive end-of-life care. These barriers may make it more difficult for members of the aged care team to provide care for residents who are dying. Therefore, RACFs who try to adopt a palliative approach to care for residents may feel challenged to overcome some of the 'death denying' attitudes pervasive in the wider culture.

At the same time, people entering RACFs are increasingly frail. Authors of a recent Australian study projected a 70% increase over the next 30 years in the number of older persons with profound disabilities, particularly for those aged 65 years or older^[5] (Level QE). The main conditions for older persons with profound disability are neurological, musculoskeletal, circulatory and respiratory conditions. Stroke also severely debilitates. Mild to moderate disabilities are associated with poor vision and hearing, psychiatric disorders and cancer. Residents are likely to have more than one of these disabilities and their management is therefore likely to be complex. These disabilities significantly restrict daily activities such as self care, mobility and communication. A major increase in the number of people aged 75 to 94 with a profound restriction is also projected to occur from 2011 to 2021. This increase is expected to have major implications for care delivery.

2.1 THREE FORMS OF PALLIATIVE CARE

In considering palliative care for residents in RACFs, it is important to distinguish between a palliative approach, specialised palliative care service provision and end-of-life (terminal) care. The distinction among these forms of palliative care is important in care planning and clarifying the goals for treatment for residents.

(i) A palliative approach

When the resident's condition is not amenable to cure and the symptoms of the disease require effective symptom management, a *palliative approach* is appropriate. Providing active treatment for the resident's disease may also still be important and may be provided concurrently with a palliative approach. However, the primary goal of a palliative approach is to improve the resident's level of comfort and function, and to address their psychological, spiritual and social needs.

(ii) Specialised palliative service provision

This form of palliative care involves referral to a specialised palliative team or health care practitioner. However, this does not replace a palliative approach but rather augments it with focused, intermittent, specific input as required. The goals are:

- assessing and treating complex symptoms experienced by the resident; and
- providing information and advice on complex issues (e.g. ethical dilemmas, family issues, or psychological or existential distress) to the aged care team.

(iii) End-of-life (terminal) care

This form of palliative care is appropriate when the resident is in the final days or weeks of life and care decisions may need to be reviewed more frequently. Goals are more sharply focused on the resident's physical, emotional and spiritual comfort, and support for the family.

Identifying when a resident is moving into a terminal phase will assist the aged care team to provide appropriate care and communication. However, this decision is not easily made, because there are few clear indicators to identify when a person should be considered to be in the terminal phase of an illness or ageing process. This is particularly difficult when the resident has a number of co-morbidities (for further discussion see Section 2.2.1, 'Prognostication').

The aged care team needs to acknowledge the important role of the family carers, to respect the choices that the resident and their family make about treatment options, and endeavour to anticipate the needs of those involved^[19] (Level QE). Careful attention to the following characteristics will assist the aged care team in taking a palliative approach to resident care:

- being available to discuss issues with the individual and family members;
- providing information in a proactive way; and
- having a sense of partnership with people and their families^[20] (Level QE).

McGrath (2001) reported that families especially valued receiving information from the aged care team when death was imminent, so they could prepare for the resident's death^[19] (Level QE). Reynolds and colleagues (2002)^[21] (Level QE) described the needs of residents dying in RACFs following interviews with staff and family after the death of a resident. They found that the most common physical problems were pain, personal cleanliness, dyspnoea, incontinence and fatigue. Respondents felt that there could have been more treatment for emotional symptoms such as depression, anxiety and loneliness.

The following indicators are provided to help the aged care team determine when to discuss end-of-life matters with the resident and family. These indicators are not prescriptive, nor should they be used in place of careful, individualised assessment of each resident.

Symptoms that are considered to indicate a terminal phase of life include^[22] (Level IV):

- Requiring frequent intervention
- Being bed-bound
- Loss of appetite

- Profound weakness
- Trouble swallowing
- Dry mouth
- Weight loss
- Becoming semi-conscious, with lapses into unconsciousness
- Experiencing day-to-day deterioration that is not reversible

These indicators are important to note and the aged care team should be alert to helping the resident and their family to prepare for the terminal phase of the resident's life.

2.2 WHEN SHOULD A PALLIATIVE APPROACH BE IMPLEMENTED?

Unlike people who are dying of cancer, residents in RACFs generally have a gradual decline in functional ability, so there is usually no sharp delineation between curative care and the acceptance that the goal of care is palliation.^[23–26] For older persons, the dying trajectory is often characterised by a lack of certainty common to chronic conditions, especially in the absence of a significant event to mark the end stage of illness.^[25] However, the decision to implement a palliative approach should not be based on the individual's clinical stage or diagnosis; rather, it should be offered according to the needs of the individual.^[27] (Level EO)

The aged care team needs to understand that the transition from curative care to a palliative approach is important for residents. More attention should be paid to these transitions and the decisions that residents, their families and care teams are called upon to make.^[23,24] (Level QE; Level QE) Such timely recognition provides an opportunity for tailored support for residents and their families.^[28] Some residents (and/or family) may accept a palliative approach much earlier in a disease trajectory or the ageing process. Alternatively, a resident may not be able or willing to decide upon one course of action over another and this could hinder a decision about which is the most appropriate. A discussion with the resident's family would be beneficial in such situations.

Although the discussion of a palliative approach may be difficult or unfamiliar to some members of the aged care team, it is important that the decision to consider a palliative approach should be made in collaboration with the resident, the family and the team. Lack of clarity among the aged care team or lack of openness with residents and families may lead to conflict and confusion about care goals.^[29,30] (Level IV; Level QE) (The process of discussing care plans is elaborated upon in Chapter 4, 'Advance care planning'.)

Families are frequently concerned about pain control.^[31] As well, families need information about the prognosis, trajectory of the condition and care decisions that need to be made^[31] (Level QE). Families and residents should be left in no doubt that symptoms such as pain and nausea can usually be controlled, provided there is continuous access to care. A combination of active treatment to manage difficult symptoms while continuing to follow a palliative approach is considered best practice^[32] (Level IV). Therefore, open and regular discussions with the resident and family need to be conducted so the aged care team can understand the resident's wishes^[32] and to facilitate continuity of care.

The NHMRC (1998) recommends that people should be encouraged to make their own decisions concerning medical interventions or approaches to care.^[33] This recommendation should also apply to residents in RACFs. To facilitate participation in decision making, the resident (and/or their family) should be given adequate information in a way that promotes understanding. Family members report greater satisfaction with the care their relative receives if family members perceive that either they or their relative had control of care decisions, such as where they would die and who would care for them^[34] (Level QE). Dying with dignity involves the right of the dying resident to choose where they wish to be cared for, where they wish to die and whom they wish to care for them^[35] (Level QE).

The provision of information about a palliative approach may also assist residents and their families to consider a palliative approach as active care rather than the withdrawal of treatment^[24] (Level QE). However, the reverse is also true when residents are transferred from acute care settings to the RACF. Families may become unhappy if they believe that the transfer will result in their family member receiving a sub-standard palliative approach compared with the care possible in an acute care setting^[36] (Level QE). Prior to such a transfer, there generally is a need to explain to the family that good care with a palliative approach is available in RACFs.

In one of its publications, Palliative Care Australia (2002)^[37] recommends four straightforward steps to providing continuity of care. The following recommendations have been adapted from this document:

1. Should referral to a specialist palliative service be appropriate, then this should be timely and preferably not in response to a crisis.
2. Should acute care be appropriate, then the resident's admission to acute care should be quick and straightforward.
3. The resident and families should have access to the same aged care team, wherever possible, to promote continuity of care and coordinated care delivery.
4. The resident's team leader or suitable delegate should centrally coordinate care provided by external services to the RACF.

2.2.1 Prognostication

Prognosis and survival time are profoundly linked, yet the methods used to identify survival time have limitations in accuracy and precision^[38] (Level IV). Therefore, caution is warranted regarding the use of these methods.

An alternative method involves using a set of 'trip wire' questions that can help determine when to introduce a palliative approach with residents of RACFs. This could be in the form of an ongoing review or dialogue among the aged care team, family members and the resident. The questions might include:

- Does the resident and/or the family choose treatment goals directed towards the relief of symptoms, rather than curing the underlying disease?
- Has there been a new diagnosis? Significant diagnoses might include cancer, dementia, multiple sclerosis, motor neurone disease, stroke or heart failure.

- Has the resident had a recent decline in functional status (e.g. bathing, dressing, mobility, verbal communication, energy level, and mood)?

At some point the severity and number of symptoms may increase, with the resident's condition rapidly deteriorating. These situations may not allow for slower-paced discussions with the resident and their family. Nevertheless, the principles of symptom management should be followed to ensure that the resident is able to receive optimum comfort and relief of symptom distress (see Chapter 6, 'Physical symptom assessment and management'). Regular communication with the resident's other care providers becomes particularly important, and documentation of who is involved in providing care needs to be current.

Members of the aged care team also need sound interpersonal skills and good listening abilities to help the resident talk through issues such as their wishes and hopes for the future in the context of their advancing illness. The transition through the decision-making process may also be stressful and the resident and family should ideally be involved in this process. Conflict between the resident and family members may surface or may even be exacerbated during these times. The aged care team needs to help the resident's family accept the decision of the resident whenever appropriate, because in most instances the decision to accept a palliative approach should be the resident's^[39] (Level QE). However, the views of the family are also relevant and need to be understood^[40] (Level EO).

Guideline: When should a palliative approach be implemented?

	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
1. Methods used to identify survival time, such as physicians' clinical predictions, have limitations in accuracy and precision resulting in overestimating survival. Therefore, relying only on these methods to determine when to start a palliative approach is not recommended. Aged care team members need to be aware of this tendency to overestimate survival, to reduce residents' and families' potential distress.	38	IV
2. Attention to residents' transition from active curative care to palliative care (with comfort care and symptom management) requires that aged care team members provide the resident and their families with sufficient information about the transition process to facilitate decision making. This provision of information can reduce residents' and families' concerns and increase their satisfaction regarding the appropriateness of a palliative approach.	24, 35	QE

2.3 WHERE CAN A PALLIATIVE APPROACH BE PROVIDED?

A palliative approach can be used in any setting,^[41] and should ideally be provided wherever people who require this approach reside. Therefore, RACFs may be an appropriate care setting because residents in RACFs face many of the same issues as others with a life-limiting condition^[42] (Level QE).

The implementation of a palliative approach in RACFs can reduce the potential distress to residents and their family caused by a transfer to an acute care setting^[42] (Level QE);^[41](Level QE). A study of a palliative approach in South Australian high-care facilities found that 47% (N=71) of the 151 facilities participating indicated they had provided and/or were providing a palliative approach to approximately 10% of their residents^[41] (Level QE). These findings suggest that many Australian RACFs are already providing a palliative approach to their residents rather than transferring these residents to other facilities.

Access to a palliative approach in rural settings may, however, be more difficult. Poor access to a palliative care service was cited by the authors of a South Australian study as the probable reason for the finding that rural terminally ill cancer patients were less likely to receive a palliative approach (59% compared to 71%)^[43] (Level IV). In rural and remote areas it may be unfeasible to support a full-time specialised service; however, support from such a service can be accessed over distance by GPs, or other medical and health practitioners via phone or Telehealth consultation.

The type of RACF, and especially the range of medications that can be provided, tempers the capacity for assessment and the provision of a palliative approach. If the RACF is a low-care facility then the aged care team (including GPs) needs to be aware of the restrictions that occur when providing S8 medications to control symptoms. (These vary to some degree throughout Australia and therefore no generic statement can be made regarding this point.)

2.3.1 Transfers/discharges of residents requiring a palliative approach

Without the support of appropriate protocols and procedures, especially at night, the aged care team is likely to choose referral to acute settings rather than attempting a palliative approach in an RACF^[41] (Level QE). A study of the provision of a palliative approach in RACFs found that a third had transferred or discharged a resident requiring a palliative approach to another facility or the community (76% to an acute hospital, 14% to a hospice, and 8% home, to their homeland or to a different city)^[6] (Level QE).

The main reason for these transfers or discharges was that the resident needed expert or acute care or extra resources (57%).^[6] The lack of resources was attributed to such factors as a lack of time, low staff-resident ratios, insufficient nursing and personal care hours, and a lack of education and training for all the aged care team (including GPs and volunteers). However, where adequate skilled care is available in the resident's familiar surroundings, the aged care team and GPs need not transfer or discharge residents to other settings^[44] (Level III-3). Therefore, adequate support and education for the aged care team is considered essential to reducing the inappropriate transfer or discharge of residents requiring a palliative approach in the RACF.^[6,25,41]

Dying with dignity involves the right of the dying resident to choose where they wish to be cared for, where they wish to die and whom they wish to care for them^[45] (Level IV)

A palliative approach in RACFs provides opportunities for intervention, especially improved control of pain symptoms and terminal care of residents with greater functional disability, in a setting that is familiar to them and their family^[46] (Level EO). The evidence also suggests that many directors of nursing actively encourage residents requiring a palliative approach to remain

in the RACF rather than transferring them to an acute setting.^[6,47] These decisions are usually based on the resident's familiarity with the aged care team and the facility, adequate care already being provided in the RACF, a request from the resident, their family or the GP, or a lack of hospice beds.^[6,47]

A palliative approach can usually be provided in an RACF.^[6] This offers benefits to the resident and family through consistency and continuity of care. In some instances there may be a need for intermittent specialised palliative support when residents have complex symptoms or the aged care team requires additional support. However, this consultation can often occur while the resident remains in the RACF.

Guideline: Where can a palliative approach be provided?		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
3. A palliative approach can be provided in the resident's familiar surrounding if adequately skilled care is available, which reduces the need for transfer to an acute care setting; thereby, avoiding potential distress to the resident and his/her family.	6, 23	QE

2.4 WHO CAN PROVIDE A PALLIATIVE APPROACH?

A palliative approach is best provided by an effective multidisciplinary team^[48,49] (Level QE)^[50] (Level III-2). An effective team recognises and acknowledges that team members are likely to have different perspectives about a palliative approach, yet team members are able to clarify and address the reasons for these differences. When a multidisciplinary team uses this approach, their job satisfaction improves as does the quality of care that is provided to residents and their families^[48]. A team approach to care planning also facilitates the aged care team in providing residents with highly individualised care, which allows for the discussion of advance care plans that address prognosis, emotional preparation, and appropriate use of medical treatments (including the potential benefits and disadvantages of treatment decisions)^[49] (Level QE).

2.4.1 What is a 'multidisciplinary team'?

A multidisciplinary team is a group consisting of three or more people from different disciplines who collaborate in making decisions about all aspects of diagnosis, treatment and care of residents and their families^[48,49] (Level QE). Effective multidisciplinary teams can provide support and symptom control to improve the quality of both living and dying for residents and their families through a palliative approach. This multidisciplinary approach is critical to the success of a palliative approach because the wide variety of forms of distress experienced by residents and their families requires a broad range of skills^[49] (Level QE).

The multidisciplinary team focuses its efforts on addressing the problems that are of most concern to the resident^[49] (Level QE). Barriers to appropriate treatment, such as confusion over pain medications, whether a facility has access to requisite medications, and uncertainty about the benefits of treatment, can also be addressed.

2.4.2 Who are potential members of a multidisciplinary team?

Potential members of a multidisciplinary palliative team^[51] (Level EO) might include, but are not limited to:

- Care assistants;
- General practitioners (GPs);
- Generalist nurses (i.e. Registered Nurses (RN), Enrolled Nurses (EN));
- Specialist nurses (e.g. continence, wound care, palliative care, nurse practitioners);
- Aboriginal health workers;
- Volunteers and coordinators of volunteers;
- Pharmacists;
- Chaplains/pastoral care workers;
- Recreational activities officers (these aged care team members are commonly used in RACFs and have considerable direct contact with residents);
- Pain specialists;
- Allied health practitioners (e.g. occupational therapists, physiotherapists, speech pathologists, social workers, diversional therapists, dietitians, music therapists and podiatrists);
- Specialist physicians (e.g. surgeons, neurologists, geriatricians, oncologists, wound care specialists and radiotherapists);
- Community palliative services; and
- Psychologists/psychiatrists.

The resident and their family, as well as being recipients of care, if willing, are also essential members of the palliative team.

2.4.3 How should a multidisciplinary team function?

One member of the team usually assumes the role of coordinator (e.g. the Director of Nursing, or other suitably qualified nurse, or the GP)^[52] (Level QE). Depending on their roles, different members of the team have varying perceptions of a palliative approach, necessitating cooperation and good communication among members^[48] (Level QE). Effective communication and teamwork are considered by nurses and GPs working in RACFs to be essential aspects of a palliative approach^[31] (Level QE).

Effective multidisciplinary teams are able to articulate common goals and work in a collaborative, non-hierarchical environment.^[53] They meet regularly to assess and discuss progress and are able to devise protocols for providing a palliative approach.^[49,54]

2.4.4 Staffing skill mix

There is no sound evidence on the minimum number of representatives from each discipline (nursing, social work, pastoral care, etc) needed to develop a palliative approach. RACF managers should determine the skill mix and allocated hours of the aged care team, taking into consideration the level of dependency of residents, the complexity of needs, and the availability of external palliative care services. Given that a palliative approach, rather than specialised

palliative care teams is more appropriate for RACFs, alternate models and approaches about how to create a palliative approach or access palliative care expertise for different RACFs is likely to be the best approach.

One approach is the creation of a palliative nurse practitioner role in RACFs^[55] (Level QE). A palliative nurse practitioner is a suitably qualified RN experienced at an advanced level who provides a leadership role and coordinates clinical consultation and care to residents of RACFs, their families and members of the palliative team.^[55] In one study, a 'link nurse' was also established to facilitate continuity of care in the RACF. A link nurse was defined as a nurse who is interested in, has received training in, and pursues continuous education in a palliative approach.^[55] This nurse is not expected to be an expert in a palliative approach, but understands the philosophies and practices of palliative care, and will promote and facilitate the care of residents in the RACF to ensure an appropriate palliative approach is provided. In the study referred to, an evaluation of the service at six months indicated that 17 residents that previously would have been transferred to an acute care facility were able to die at the RACF.^[55]

Despite variations in RACFs, Maddocks and colleagues (1999)^[55] recommend that, at the minimum, one member of the aged care team (preferably an RN) should have some formal qualification in a palliative approach. This level of training and expertise would also assist the aged care team ensure staff receive adequate direction and supervision and that accurate symptom assessment, using validated assessment tools, occurs (see also Section 6.1, 'Symptom assessment'). Ideally, all aged care teams in RACFs should have sufficient training to apply the sensitive interpersonal communication required for a palliative approach that improves the level of satisfaction of residents and their families^[44] (Level III-2).

Guideline: Who can provide a palliative approach?		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
4. A palliative approach is best provided by an effective multidisciplinary team.	50	III-2





Promoting a person's sense of dignity is central to a palliative approach,^[56] and dignity and quality of life are inextricably linked in this approach. Several researchers have explored what people's views on quality of life mean to them as their death approaches. Themes that have been identified include having adequate pain and symptom management, avoiding inappropriate prolongation of dying, relieving burdens, achieving a sense of control, and strengthening relationships with loved ones^[14] (Level QE).

3.1 DIGNITY

Researchers also highlight the difficulty in describing and evaluating the concept of 'dying with dignity'. In one study, the authors note three important factors of dignity: the capacity to communicate, the ability to recognise friends and family members, and being continent^[57] (Level IV).

People may hold different views about what dignity means, and in the face of a progressive illness or the ageing process the meaning of dignity may change over time. It is also important to note that the aged care team's perception of dignity may differ from the resident's view. The best way to understand what dignity means for an individual resident is to ask each resident and their family what are the most important factors for him or her in regard to dying with dignity. The aged care team members who endeavour to respect the dignity of residents need to acknowledge the things that are considered important to the individual in enhancing and maintaining their dignity.

Chochinov and colleagues (2002)^[58] (Level III-3) have undertaken the most thorough empirical work in relation to understanding the concept of dignity in the context of a palliative approach. This research team identified a Dignity-Conserving Care Model for a palliative approach that is aimed at helping the individual to feel valued. The framework can be used to discuss end-of-life care when residents are able to make informed decisions and develop a sense of closure. Chochinov (2002)^[59] (Level EO) argues that the notion of dignity may be influenced by the nature of the illness, as well as by culture and ethnicity. The framework his team has described is sufficiently broad to encompass these issues. Chochinov and colleagues (2002)^[58] (Level III-3) also argue that the resident, family members and the aged care team may define dignity differently; they advise the aged care team to be alert to whose perspective of dignity is being considered.

A review of the evidence suggests that in a practical setting the categories of dignity include illness/ageing-related issues, dignity-conserving strategies and a social dignity inventory^[58] (Level III-3). Illness/ageing related concerns are those things that directly result from the illness

or ageing progression (see Table 4). Dignity-conserving strategies are those influences related to the resident’s psychological and spiritual resources (see Table 5). Finally, the social dignity inventory includes those environmental influences that can affect dignity, such as privacy boundaries, social support, and concerns of being a burden (see Table 6)^[58] (Level III-3). The following tables indicate the factors that contribute to undermining a resident’s dignity. Included are questions that can be asked to determine the resident’s concerns as well as therapeutic interventions to help promote their dignity.

TABLE 5: A PRACTICAL MODEL OF DIGNITY-MAINTAINING INTERVENTIONS REGARDING ILLNESS AND AGEING CONSIDERATIONS		
Illness–ageing related factors	Dignity-related questions	Therapeutic interventions
<i>Symptom distress:</i>		
Physical distress	Is there anything we can do to make you more comfortable?	Vigilance to symptom management Frequent assessment Application of comfort care
Psychological distress	How are you coping with what is happening to you?	Be supportive Empathic listening Referral to counselling Volunteer support
Medical uncertainty	Is there anything more you would like to know about your illness/ ageing?	Upon request, provide accurate, understandable information
Death anxiety	Are there things about the later stages of your illness/ageing that you would like to discuss?	
<i>Level of independence:</i>		
Independence	Has your illness/ageing made you more dependent on others?	Involve residents in decision making, regarding both medical and personal issues.
Cognitive acuity	Are you having any difficulty with your thinking?	Treat delirium When possible, avoid sedating medication(s)
Functional capacity	How much are you able to do for yourself?	Use orthotics, physiotherapy, and occupational therapy

(This table was adapted from the work of Chochinov and colleagues) [58]

TABLE 6: A PRACTICAL MODEL OF DIGNITY-CONSERVING INTERVENTIONS REGARDING PSYCHOLOGICAL AND SPIRITUAL CONSIDERATIONS		
Illness–ageing related factors	Dignity-related questions	Therapeutic interventions
<i>Perspectives:</i>		
Continuity of self	Are there things about you that you consider are not affected by your physical deterioration or limitations?	Acknowledge and take interest in those aspects of the resident’s life that he/she values most
Role preservation	What things did you do before that are important to you?	See the resident as worthy of honour, respect, and esteem
Maintenance of pride	What aspects about yourself or your life are you most proud of?	
Hopefulness	What things can you still do?	Encourage and enable the resident to participate in meaningful or purposeful activities
Autonomy/control	How in control do you feel?	Involve resident and/or their family in treatment and care decisions
Generativity/legacy	How do you want to be remembered?	Making a life album i.e. audiovisual or photographic journals
Acceptance	How at peace are you with what is happening now?	Support the resident in their outlook
Resilience/fighting spirit	What part of you is strongest right now?	Encourage to do things that enhance sense of well-being, eg., music, prayer, meditation, light exercise
<i>Practices:</i>		
Living in the moment	Are there things that take your mind away from your physical concerns/limitations, and offer you comfort?	Allow the resident to participate in normal routines or take comfort in momentary distractions, eg. massage, meditation, patting animals
Finding spiritual comfort	Is there a religious or spiritual community that you are, or would like to be, connected with?	Make referrals to chaplain or pastoral care worker (see Glossary for explanation) Enable the resident to participate in particular spiritual and/or culturally based practices

(This table was adapted from the work of Chochinov and colleagues) ^[59]

TABLE 7: A PRACTICAL MODEL OF SOCIAL DIGNITY-CONSERVING INTERVENTIONS REGARDING SOCIAL CONSIDERATIONS		
Illness-ageing related factors	Dignity-related questions	Therapeutic interventions
Privacy boundaries	What is it about your privacy or your body that is important to you?	Ask permission to examine resident Proper draping to safeguard and respect privacy
Social support	Who are the people that are most important to you?	Liberal policies about visitation, rooming in Enlist involvement of a wide support network
Care tenor	Is there anything in the way you are treated that is undermining your sense of dignity (or that makes you feel 'bad' or 'dirty')?	Treat the resident as worthy of honour, esteem and respect; adopt a manner of conveying this
Burden to others	Do you worry about being a burden to others? If so, to whom and in what ways?	With the resident and/or their family, encourage explicit discussion about these concerns with those they fear they are burdening
Aftermath concerns	What are your biggest concerns for the people you will leave behind?	With the resident and/or their family, encourage the settling of affairs, preparation of an advanced care plan, making a will, and funeral planning

(This table was adapted from the work of Chochinov and colleagues) [59]

3.2 QUALITY OF LIFE

Quality of life is similar to the notion of dignity, in that it defies clear definition and yet is frequently described as an essential aim of a palliative approach. An appropriate definition for quality of life pertaining to older persons requiring a palliative approach is the one devised by the WHO Quality of Life Group:^[60, p. 153]

“Quality of life is defined as an individual’s perception of his/her position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which he/she lives, and in relation to his/her goals, expectations, standards and concerns. It is a broad-ranging concept, incorporating in a complex way, the person’s physical health, psychological state, level of independence, social relationships, personal beliefs and relationship to salient features of the environment.”

Quality of life measures usually include questions regarding the physical, social, psychological and spiritual domains. Other issues, such as sexual function, body image and financial concerns, may be incorporated as well.^[61] The following story indicates the need to always seek the resident’s views on their care decisions and how they perceive their current quality of life. Although family views should also be considered, the most important person in a palliative approach is the resident.

Vignette 1: James' story

James, an 89-year-old war veteran, came into the high care facility with one leg having been amputated several years before. Now ischaemic heart disease and other medical complications indicated his life expectancy was short. When the telltale signs of poor circulation appeared in his other leg, a family meeting was called. "He couldn't take another operation", was the opinion of one son. "Can't you just keep him comfortable?"

It was very difficult to keep James comfortable, because the pain was severe. No one at that stage had thought to ask James, who appeared to be increasingly miserable, refusing to get out of bed. Family and the aged care team agreed that he had "no quality of life". As the pain increased the doctor presented James with the options. "We could operate but it would mean another amputation" James said, "Well, I'd rather have that than the pain. The pain is killing me!" James' post-operative recovery was uneventful, he ventured into his self-propelling wheel chair again, his sense of humour returned and he lived for several more months.

If a resident makes a statement that reflects a desire for hastened death, then it is essential that this remark is comprehensively explored by a suitably qualified member of the aged care team, as illustrated by the stories of both James and Robert.

3.3 'DESIRE TO DIE' STATEMENTS

Sometimes the aged care team caring for residents with an advanced disease or in the final stages of the ageing process are confronted by statements indicating that the resident may wish for a hastened death. Such statements may provoke concern and confusion for the aged care team, who may be uncertain about how to respond. The team may find themselves grappling to determine if the resident is depressed, suicidal or seeking help to hasten death; or they may believe the expressed wish is an understandable response to the resident's circumstances.^[62] Occasional thoughts of suicide are not uncommon in palliative settings^[63] (Level IV). However, sustained suicidal ideation is less common and all statements of this nature warrant care and attention^[64] (Level QE).

- The reasons for 'desire to die' statements may be associated with issues such as:
- feelings of hopelessness, depression, guilt, unrelieved suffering and a wish for self-punishment^[65] (Level EO);
- a perception of being a burden to others, anxiety, existential distress and family issues^[66] (Level EO);
- a sense of loss of dignity and a perceived lack of control^[67] (Level EO); and
- a sense of isolation, boredom and loneliness.

Any of these factors may lead to depression or contribute to a resident's wish to hasten death. Appropriate treatment may bring about a more hopeful outlook for the resident, even at the end of their life^[64] (Level QE).

Vignette 2: Robert's story

Robert continually stated he wanted to die, refusing to eat and being reluctant to cooperate with any care offered. Eventually he was transferred to hospital for full investigation and advice regarding his ongoing care. No physical cause could be found for his wish to hasten death, although it was clear his weight loss and reluctance to accept care would lead to life-threatening complications. He was returned to the facility 'for a palliative approach'. A sensitive and discerning RN invited Robert to the care planning meeting she'd arranged with Robert's doctor and his family. On careful questioning it became clear that Robert was grieving for his wife, who had recently died. He was also angry that he had not been permitted to attend his wife's funeral, family and staff having decided he was too ill. When asked what his wishes were for the remainder of his life, he was quite clear. He wished to visit his wife's grave, he wanted the "damn catheter out" and did not want to be forced to eat. When these wishes were met, together with appropriate pain relief for symptoms recently developed, Robert stopped his saying "I want to die" and became less distressed.

3.4 PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY

It is important to ensure that the aged care team maintains the privacy and confidentiality of the resident as much as possible. This can be difficult when family members demand to know private information pertaining to the resident. Therefore, the aged care team need to have an understanding of the relevant State and Commonwealth legislation, regulations and instruments concerning privacy so they can be informed as to their legal responsibility to ensure that the resident's information remains private and confidential. Examples of legislation, regulations and instruments include the *Privacy Amendment Act (Private Sector) 2000*, the *Freedom of Information Act 1986*, professional codes of conduct and Registration Acts.

In Australia, no medical services can be provided without the consent of the person concerned. This consent is considered informed consent when the person assents to a treatment without duress, voluntarily gives their consent after a reasonable time, and has been provided with adequate information. The only exception to this is when the person's capacity to consent is impaired by a serious mental illness, in which case the relevant State Mental Health Act can be invoked.

If a family member has been granted a legal right to make decisions for the resident, then the form stating this must be sighted by a member of the aged care team and its powers and limitations noted on the resident's record. The aged care team can then be directed by this document as to how much information they are able to share regarding the resident. As the legislation may vary regarding the responsibilities of people who are authorised 'in advance' by the resident as 'Enduring Power of Attorney' (financial or medical) or 'Enduring Power of Guardianship', it is incumbent upon each RACF to check legal requirements (see Appendix I 'Resource List' for contact details).

Although residents with the capacity for informed decision-making must have the right to privacy and confidentiality regarding their health care information and the right to exclude their family members, it is rarely appropriate to exclude appropriate members of the family from acting as the commonsense advocate for vulnerable older persons. *The Guidelines on Privacy in the Private Health Sector* (available at www.privacy.gov.au/publications) allow disclosure of health information

to a 'responsible person' (e.g. family member) when this is necessary for care and treatment or on compassionate grounds. The aged care team can use professional judgement when defining the responsible person to ensure that anxiety about privacy laws are not used as a means of excluding caregiving family and friends from appropriate health information.

Care should be taken to ensure that all the steps are documented regarding a resident's treatment decisions. These decisions need to be regularly assessed to ensure that they are current for the resident. It is also important that the aged care team be guided by legislation and other relevant documents and standards regarding what should be recorded on the resident's file, who should have access to these records, and how these documents are to be stored.

Guideline: Dignity and quality of life

	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
5. Factors that contribute to a person's sense of dignity are a sense of control, strengthened relationships with loved ones, the capacity to communicate, recognising friends and family members, being continent, adequate pain and symptom management, and avoiding inappropriate prolongation of dying. These factors are addressed by aged care team members when a palliative approach is implemented, thus enhancing the resident's dignity and his / her quality of life.	58	III-3
	57	IV
	13	QE
6. The provision of dignity-maintaining care can facilitate a more hopeful outlook for the resident, thus reducing the resident's desire for a hastened death.	63	IV
	64	QE





Care of any type involves decisions about how to actively pursue treatments, which treatments to accept, and which treatments to refuse. These decisions take on greater complexity in RACFs, where some residents may feel uncertain about discussing treatment options. An advance care plan is an interactive process of communication between a competent resident and the aged care team. The purpose of the advance care plan is to elicit the resident's wishes regarding treatment decisions, which will often include decisions related to their impending death; to extend their autonomy, and to guide decision-making when the resident may be rendered incompetent of doing so^[68] (Level II). Advance care planning does not have to be a legalised formal process. It should revolve around ongoing communication with the resident and/or family, as communication is the fundamental principle of advance care planning.

The Code of Ethics and Guide to Ethical Conduct for Residential Aged Care (2001)^[69] states that providers should "...act in the best interests of residents in determining, particularly before admission, whether or not the organization has the capability of providing them with care appropriate to their needs" (p.9). The Code also emphasises the right of residents to make choices about their care, including the right to refuse or agree to treatments offered. This code is beneficial in providing the aged care team with direction regarding how to care for residents, their families and carers. Another beneficial guide has been developed by the New South Wales Department of Health, which is entitled Guidelines for Decision Making at the End of Life. (Please note; these two documents are not research-based but reflect expert opinion).

4.1 IMPLEMENTING ADVANCE CARE PLANNING

Advance care planning empowers the individual to state their wishes in writing, in accordance with how they define quality of life^[14] (Level QE). By doing so, the burden of responsibility is removed from the surrogate and control is maintained by the resident. When residents are unable to express their own views regarding treatment, it is important for families to be involved in all decisions about ongoing care, including the acceptance or refusal of certain treatments. If these discussions do not occur, treatment decisions may be made by 'default', resulting in unnecessary transfers to acute care settings or other interventions that may not be in accordance with the goals of the aged care team and/or the family.

As with preparation of a will, these discussions can never occur too early, and having a discussion about advance care planning before admission to an RACF is an excellent first step. If this preliminary discussion has not occurred, a discussion will need to be initiated at the time of admission. At this time, there may be special considerations if the resident is no longer able to make their wishes known (see Chapter 5, 'Advanced dementia'). Ongoing discussion and updating of perceptions and wishes relating to advance care planning are also essential, as they help ensure that communication on these matters remains current.

Advance directives can be a part of the process of advance care planning. The proper use of advance directives in clinical practice is dependent upon the aged care team's knowledge of the legal status of these documents^[70](Level QE). Advance care planning documents vary considerably. They include a variety of legal documents as well as less formal documents for ascertaining a resident's end-of-life wishes. These types of documents often aim to address issues such as pain control and comfort care.^[71] (For examples of some advance directives, see Appendix J.)

The following story illustrates how knowledge of a resident's preferences for treatment options can facilitate care plans.

Vignette 3: Mrs Adams' Story

Mrs Adams had lived alone, suffering from a chronic, degenerative condition and requiring frequent hospitalisation over many years. When she could no longer care for herself at home she made her wishes quite clear after admission to the high-care facility. "If I'm ever in the position where I can't answer for myself please take me to my hospital. They have a huge file on me there. They know me well. They'll say 'Here comes Lily again' as I come through the door and I know they'll try their hardest. Then, if they can't do anything for me, they can send me back here to my second home."

Two weeks later Mrs Adams suffered a brain stem stroke. She was immediately transferred to 'her' hospital for diagnosis, with comprehensive documentation outlining her wishes and advance care planning. It was anticipated Mrs Adams would not regain consciousness. Through consultation with the hospital it was agreed that Mrs Adams should return to the facility for a palliative approach, where she died 48 hours later surrounded by her family. Her wishes had been respected and the changed goals for care were achieved.

Before a resident is transferred to another facility, it is beneficial for them and/or their family to have the reasons for the transfer explained. These discussions can provide an opportunity for the resident and/or the family to talk about the future, their fears or uncertainties, and some of the treatment options that might arise. Such discussions can also empower the aged care team by providing an opportunity to raise such issues with the resident and/or family.

Ideally, an advance care plan will involve a discussion with the resident, the family and the health care team^[72] (Level QE). A facilitated discussion about advance care planning between residents and the aged care team helps define and document the resident's wishes^[73] (Level II). When a plan is systematically implemented in RACFs, residents and families report satisfaction with their involvement with end-of-life decisions^[68] (Level II). When a competent person makes an advance directive it is considered, legally, to support that person's common law right to refuse treatment.

Rempusheski and Hurley (2000)^[74] argue that it is appropriate for the aged care team to have ongoing discussions about advance care plans with family members to plan end-of-life care that is appropriate for the resident. These advance care plans may also include treatment decisions for acute episodes such as hip fracture or pneumonia. A transition period to facilitate the resident's 'settling into' the RACF should be provided for the new resident and family members

before discussing the advance care plan in detail. These discussions require clear communication and assessment strategies regarding the goals and expectations of treatment^[75] (Level QE). These discussions should involve family members and carers, and their involvement in planning the management of critical changes in the resident's condition should be actively promoted within the RACF. However, family members should not be compelled to participate in such discussions. Instead, it is suggested that family members be consulted about their capacity or willingness to be involved in a palliative approach to the resident's care, particularly when it involves providing direct assistance.

4.2 ADVANCE CARE PLANNING FOR RESIDENTS WITH ADVANCED DEMENTIA

Discussions about advance care planning should ideally involve the resident, but when they are suffering from dementia the situation becomes more complicated. According to Rempusheski and Hurley (2000)^[74] (Level EO), these discussions should occur around the time of diagnosis because a resident's cognitive ability may decline with time. This may mean the resident can be involved to a degree, but often the role of making such decisions will soon fall to family members instead.

The evidence suggests that family members of people with dementia may have unresolved emotional needs stemming from the illness itself, and from their relative's admission into an RACF, that find them unprepared for involvement in end-of-life treatment decisions^[76] (Level QE). Yet they are often required to make a wide range of decisions about the daily lives of residents regarding their quality of life and quality of treatment and health care^[77] (Level QE).

To assist them in these decision-making efforts family members need information about the expected trajectory of the resident's disease and factors that might impede a natural death^[76] (Level QE). They may also need emotional support and information about what is meant by a palliative approach, so that they can process difficult and painful emotions.^[76] Access to well-timed support and sensitive information may help families cope more effectively and allow them to contribute to end-of-life care decisions with more comfort.

Hurley and colleagues^[78] (Level QE) developed a four-phase model for the process of recommending treatment decisions for residents with Alzheimer's. The model aimed at achieving consensus between staff, family and the resident by considering how issues were raised and discussed by each party during family conferences. The authors concluded that timing and trust were influential catalysts to family and staff readiness factors for achieving consensus; outcomes were the advice provided by staff and the family conference where treatment options were determined; and consequences included the advance proxy plan and patient care.

4.3 LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS AND DOCUMENTATION

An initial assessment of the resident's wishes alone is inadequate, because it does not ensure that the resident's current requirements are documented. Research has indicated that it can take a resident between 3 and 18 months to develop a comprehensive advance care plan that addresses all relevant issues as the state of health changes over time^[68] (Level II). Therefore it is advisable

to commence discussions before or at the time of admission and to review the advance care plan document several times to ensure that it is comprehensive. Although it is preferable that such discussions take place with the resident's GP before admission, this can still be done as soon as practical after admission.

If the resident cannot manage a great deal of decision-making in one sitting, it is still possible to determine their basic values and wishes immediately. For example, it may be possible to determine whether the resident wants life-sustaining treatment and under what conditions, and whether they want to be transferred to hospital or remain in the RACF if they become acutely ill. Additional wishes can be recorded over time. When adequate time has been taken to discuss relevant issues related to advance care plans, the aged care team is better able to respond to questions as they arise and as circumstances change. This may involve arranging for a legal representative to visit the resident, ensuring appropriate privacy and providing assistance when needed.

4.3.1 Legal requirements

If the resident has lost their cognitive abilities, their appointed representative should be asked to make such basic decisions at the time of, or soon after, admission.

In some jurisdictions, the appointment of an 'enduring health advocate' has been given legal recognition by special legislation. This legislation allows a person to appoint someone to make decisions about medical treatment on their behalf if they become incapable of making such decisions for themselves. Such an appointment is made under an 'enduring power of attorney (medical treatment)', sometimes referred to colloquially as a 'living will'. Some forms of artificial nutrition are regarded as medical treatment rather than palliative care (see Gardner; re BWV [2003] VSC 173 [29 May 2003]). Therefore, a simple enduring power of attorney that covers financial and lifestyle decisions, but not medical treatment, might not be sufficient to ensure that a resident's preferences regarding artificial nutrition can be acted upon.

A person who is required to make a decision on behalf of another person under an enduring power of attorney (medical treatment) must take into account:

- what would be in that person's best interests; and
- how that person would have acted if they were not incapacitated.

If a resident has already lost the capacity to make reasonable judgements, it is not possible for them to appoint someone to make decisions for them under an enduring power of attorney (medical treatment). If a decision needs to be made about continuing or ceasing artificial nutrition for a resident in this situation, it might be necessary for a guardian to be appointed to make the decision.

4.3.2 Documenting the plan

The best way to document advance care plans is in the resident's case notes, or to note recommendations for revisions to such plans when the resident's circumstances change, as directed by legislation in the particular State or Territory. Additionally, the following triggers may help determine the need to reassess a resident's advance care plan:

- A change in their health status;
- A change in personal/carer situations (e.g. if a spouse or other person who is the appointed decision-maker dies or loses capacity);
- Transfer (either into or from the facility)^[79] (Level EO) recommend that a plan of care be transferred with the resident, as with other medical documentation; and
- Routine requirement, such as a review period scheduled for every three months^[80] (Level QE).

Studies have shown that education about advance care planning for the aged care team, residents and their families increases the likelihood of these plans being completed and implemented in RACFs^[68] (Level II). A corresponding increase in satisfaction for the family about the resident's end-of-life care also occurs when the family has been educated about advance care planning^[68] (Level II).

The following story illustrates the benefits of including the family in advance care planning to ensure the aged care team is aware of the resident's preferences.

Vignette 4: Mr Jones' story

Mr Jones suffered from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, epilepsy and dementia. As he was unable to articulate his own concerns, his family clearly stated their preference should his symptoms warrant urgent attention: "He's absolutely terrified of hospital. We'd hate him to be sent off alone by ambulance in the middle of the night." A family conference was arranged in consultation with the local medical officer and advice was received from the regional palliative consultant, who had particular expertise in managing respiratory crises. A step-by-step plan was formulated and shown to the family. The plan proved effective on several occasions when Mr Jones suffered distressing symptoms of dyspnoea. An appropriate plan was also developed for his epilepsy. When he suffered a major seizure, the crisis was managed in the aged care facility. Both the family and the aged care team expressed satisfaction that his symptom control could be managed well by the nurses, that he had a well-formulated care plan, and that he was spared a distressing and disorienting hospitalisation.

4.4 THE RIGHT TO REFUSE FOOD

Consenting to food or refusing food is an expression of the resident's autonomy.^[81] (Level EO) One of the most difficult ethical issues that families and aged care teams confront is uncertainty about how to manage residents who refuse food or can no longer eat.

Use of advance care plans is one way for the aged care team to discuss the resident's and family's views and preferences regarding feeding and artificial nutrition. These discussions also offer an opportunity for the aged care team to give information to the resident and family about appropriate options. Families who do not have access to sound information may make decisions on behalf of the resident that are difficult to reverse and that they may later regret.

In some instances there may have been no discussion regarding the resident's preferences regarding feeding or artificial nutrition. As well, there are times when residents refuse to eat and the aged care team and family may feel uncertain about how to respond. A review of

relevant literature revealed little empirical work to inform this issue. However, in situations of food refusal in people with advanced dementia, the experts in the field offer three recommendations:^[81]

1. Consider if the cessation of eating is in keeping with an overall deterioration in the resident’s health status;
2. Exclude the possibility of a treatable condition like an infection that could affect cognitive ability and appetite; and
3. It may still be appropriate to offer small amounts of food and fluids, even if the person is dying — but do not use undue force and always defer to the resident’s cues.

Additionally it is stressed that, even when a resident is no longer taking food or fluids, exemplary mouth care must be maintained (see Section 6.9, ‘Mouth care’, for more details).

Gillick (2000)^[82] (Level EO) recommends that, due to the evidence regarding the questionable benefits of tube feeding, artificial nutrition should not be initiated as a general rule (see Section 6.4, ‘Nutrition and hydration’).

In summary, there is compelling evidence to support the use of advance care planning in a palliative approach. Therefore, RACFs should engage in some form of regular educational programs for the aged care team, residents and their families on the issues around end-of-life care, a palliative approach, and advance care planning. This will help residents communicate their wishes and will enhance their sense of control. The process will also help to acknowledge the role of the family in the aged care facility. An additional recommendation is that a systematic approach to advance care planning be incorporated in RACFs^[68] (Level II); ^[73] (Level II). Whether or not there is access to an advance care plan for each resident, it is important for the RACF to provide opportunities for end-of-life matters to be discussed with the multidisciplinary team whenever required.

Guideline: Advance care planning		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
7. Systematic implementation of advance care planning that involves communication between the resident, family, and doctor increases resident’s and his / her family’s satisfaction with end-of-life care.	68, 73	II
8. Regular education programs for the aged care team and residents and their families on issues about end-of-life care and advance care plans increases the frequency of these plans being completed and implemented, which increases the resident’s and his / her family’s satisfaction with end-of-life care.	68	II
9. Developing comprehensive advance care plans that include ongoing assessments responds to changes in the resident’s health and increases the resident and his / her family’s satisfaction with care.	68	II



Advanced dementia, also known as ‘late stage’ or ‘severe’ dementia, is a neurological disease characterised by severe cognitive decline of an irreversible nature. It is associated with poor prognostic factors such as swallowing disturbance, weight loss, dysphagia, anorexia, and bowel and bladder incontinence, and often results in the person being bedridden^[83] (Level II). The progression from diagnosis of advanced dementia to death is usually three years^[50] (Level III-2), and poorer prognosis is likely if the resident develops an acute illness such as pneumonia^[84] (Level III-2) or an infectious disease^[50] (Level III-2). Thus, advanced dementia is a progressive degenerative disease that is life-limiting, and a palliative approach to care should be offered^[44] (Level III-2).

The fundamental principle in caring for residents with advanced dementia is to understand that their dementia will not necessarily follow a predictable course. Consequently, prognostication is unlikely to be beneficial for determining survival rates for these residents. However, the aged care team should have an understanding that advanced dementia is a life-limiting progressive disease. The resident’s family should also be helped to understand this information and be given the opportunity to discuss how a palliative approach can be of assistance.

5.1 HOW PREVALENT IS DEMENTIA?

According to a recent report, more than 162,000 Australians had dementia in 2002, and it is anticipated that 500,000 people will have dementia by the year 2040.^[5] Dementia is currently the second largest cause of disability in Australia (depression is the first) and by 2016 dementia is expected to be the primary cause of major chronic illness^[5] (Level QE).

Approximately half of the people diagnosed with dementia live in an RACF.^[85] Overall, 30% of residents in low-care facilities and approximately 60% of those in high-care facilities have dementia. Only 10% of high-care residents have no cognitive impairment.^[85] However, this data is based upon a documented diagnosis, suggesting these figures probably underestimate the prevalence of the condition.

5.2 ADVANCED DEMENTIA AND A PALLIATIVE APPROACH

There is evidence to suggest that a palliative approach benefits not only the person with the disease but also their family^[86] (Level QE). The features of a palliative approach considered most helpful to the family are continual follow-up evaluation, attention to all symptoms causing distress, emphasising the resident’s quality of life, promoting the resident’s dignity,

and a tendency to avoid hospitalisation^[86] (Level QE). Underlying a palliative approach is the assumption that all residents with advanced dementia should be thoroughly assessed with a view to managing all treatable causes of confusion.

Preliminary investigations of the concept of personhood within palliative care have begun to determine if this holistic approach contributes to an individual's sense of dignity.^[87] For example, in one study, staff were asked how they helped individual's cope with the multiple losses involved with terminal illness. Among the concepts identified were "normalising" distressing symptoms such as body odour, encouraging patient activities, and personalising their living spaces^[87] (Level QE). This approach parallels Kitwood and Bredin's (1992)^[88] (Level EO) concept of personhood as a holistic nursing approach for interacting with residents with dementia, which is practised in several Australian RACFs. However, further evidence is required before the concept of personhood and its applicability for use with residents who require a palliative approach can be considered as the basis for evidence-based guidelines.

5.3 ASSESSMENT

A study of 20 health districts in the United Kingdom (UK) found that the symptoms most commonly identified in the last year of life were: confusion (83%), urinary incontinence (72%), pain (64%), depressed mood (61%), constipation (59%) and poor appetite (57%)^[89] (Level QE). Symptoms of people with dementia were compared to the symptoms of people with cancer and it was found that people dying from dementia have symptoms and health care needs comparable to those dying from cancer. In any assessment of a resident with advanced dementia, their current health status and past medical history should be considered. This approach rules out other symptoms or concerns that may be prompting a resident to have increased agitation or aggressive behaviours (for example, history of breast cancer and subsequent bone metastases must be considered).

5.3.1 Pain assessment

One of the most difficult aspects for the aged care team who is caring for the resident with advanced dementia is assessing whether they are experiencing pain. One study conducted in the United States (US) compared the rating by residents of their pain intensity to the rating given by their nursing staff^[90] (Level QE). The study reported disagreement between residents' and nurses' perceptions of pain-related behaviours, raising concerns about the abilities of nurses to correctly assess the pain of residents who are unable to communicate. This study also compared the perceptions of family members and aged care team members regarding the resident's pain and found that agreement of both nurse and family member's assessment of pain in the resident was poor^[90] (Level QE). The majority of nurses felt that assessing pain levels in residents with cognitive impairment was more challenging. However, it should be noted that members of the aged care team who have cared for a resident for some time may be the first to detect any changes in their condition.

Cohen-Mansfield and Lipson (2002)^[91] (Level IV) found that geriatricians were able to evaluate pain effectively in moderately cognitively impaired, but were less successful in evaluating pain in the severely cognitively impaired.

A study was conducted to investigate the prescription and administration of analgesic medications to treat pain in 339 cognitively impaired nursing home residents (average age of 87 years)^[92] (Level QE). Forty-six percent of the sample had diagnosed cognitive impairment, and 55% had at least one diagnosed painful condition. The results indicated that cognitively impaired nursing home residents were prescribed and administered significantly less analgesic medication, both in number and in dosage of pain drugs than their more cognitively intact peers during the same period. Those residents who were more disoriented and withdrawn were prescribed significantly less analgesia by physicians and residents who were more disoriented, withdrawn, and functionally impaired residents were administered significantly less analgesia by nursing staff. The authors' concluded that the findings highlighted the difficulties of assessing pain in cognitively impaired elders and the lack of knowledge among health care providers about effective pain management^[92] (Level QE).

When residents with advanced dementia cannot voluntarily control their expressions or vocalisations, members of the aged care team need to assess the resident's pain by observing their behaviour and facial expressions as indicators of internal states. Therefore, the concept of a pain assessment tool for advanced dementia is that discomfort is observed but the patient cannot verbally express it.

Several tools have been developed to assist the aged care team to assess pain in residents with dementia. However, many of these tools are complex and are not often used well in clinical settings. Validated pain scales are considered more accurate for determining pain intensity than other methods. However, studies indicate that there are poor completion rates for older persons with advanced dementia using a variety of pain scales. One study assessed the ability of residents to complete five frequently used scales (average age was 85 with a mean MMSE score of 12)^[93] (Level IV). Only one-third of the residents could complete all of the scales, though 83% could complete at least one scale. The authors surmised that most residents with advanced dementia could complete at least one bedside assessment tool, but that significant patience and time was needed to determine the most suitable tool for the resident and to await their responses.

The *Residential Aged Care Pain Management Guidelines*^[94] recommend that the Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia Scale (PAINAD)^[95] is the standardised tool for use in Australia for residents with advanced dementia. The PAINAD scale is easier to administer and score than the Discomfort Scale for Dementia of the Alzheimer's Type, upon which it was based^[95] (Level QE). The PAINAD scale is a five-item observational tool based on behavioural observations, with a scale of 0–2 for each item, as follows:^[95]

- Breathing (independent of vocalisations) — normal (0) vs laboured (1) vs noisy laboured, or long periods of Cheyne-Stokes respirations (2);
- Negative vocalisation—none (0) vs occasional moans or muttering (1) vs repeated troubled calling out or loud moaning or crying (2);
- Facial expression—smiling or inexpressive (0) vs sad, frown (1) vs facial grimacing (2);
- Body language—relaxed (0) vs tense and pacing (1) vs rigid with fists clenched, or striking out (2); and
- Consolability—no need to console (0) vs distracted or reassured (1) vs unable to distract or console (2).

Unfortunately, there is little research regarding the PAINAD Scale, and further validation is required before its use can be supported.

Recently a new tool has been specifically developed for people with advanced dementia: the Abbey Pain Scale.^[96] This scale has been trialled in RACFs in various states of Australia and reasonable validity levels have been reported^[96] (Level IV). The scale is based on the assumption that a perception of pain severity by nurses is the best indicator in these circumstances for determining a resident's pain intensity. Although further substantiation of this scale is required, given its brevity and reasonable preliminary validity estimates the tool has merit and may be helpful for use in RACFs.

Some additional tips for improved assessment of pain in patients with dementia include:

- Ask 'yes/no' questions;
- Palpate areas while asking questions;
- Ask 'yes/no' questions;
- Use simple descriptor (aching, hurting);
- Assess pain associated with movement;
- Don't dismiss pain behaviours as 'just part of dementia';
- Also consider UTI, constipation, urinary retention, compression fractures;
- Ask family about previous pain complaints; and
- Assess pain in any patient with poor sleep, appetite, change in function or agitated behaviour.

Systematic evaluation of end-of-life care for people with advanced dementia has been hampered by a lack of appropriate evaluation tools^[97] (Level QE). Consequently, several scales and assessment tools have been developed as outcome measures to assess the effectiveness of treatment in end-of-life care:

- the Assessment of Discomfort in Dementia (ADD) protocol^[98] (Level IV); and
- the Discomfort Scales for Dementia of the Alzheimer Type (DS-DAT)^[99] (Level III-3).

These tools were developed to improve the recognition and treatment of physical pain and affective discomfort in people with advanced dementia who can no longer articulate their care needs. The ADD was shown to prompt action to effectively decrease symptoms in 83.5% of a sample of people for whom it was trialled; this supports the notion that people with severe dementia can be assessed and treated for pain and discomfort^[98] (Level IV). However, both scales are considered very difficult and time-consuming to use and thus, are not recommended for use in daily assessment of pain, but rather for assessing end-of-life care.

In using these tools, it is important to listen to the care assistants and nurses involved in the direct care of the resident because they have often learned the resident's cues and may be able to interpret the resident's needs. It is also important to include a reassessment of the resident's medications to determine if any changes are required.

5.3.2 Acute illness

One study found that individuals with advanced dementia who are admitted to hospital with acute illness after having been under the care of a palliative team may have their preferences for a palliative approach abandoned by the hospital staff, resulting in more aggressive treatments^[83] (Level II). The limitations of the study included a small sample size and the possibility that the research team intervened in treatment plans by their mere presence. However, the study highlights the need to prioritise goals of treatment before a person is sent to hospital. Another study documented the extent of disruption to the resident when inappropriate hospitalisation occurs, and recommended a shift from cure-oriented interventions to comfort care^[50] (Level III-2).

5.4 MANAGEMENT

Aggressive medical treatment of infections is not effective in preventing the progression of severity in dementia of the Alzheimer type^[44] (Level III-2). However, despite a lack of sound research upon which to base use of systemic antibiotic therapy for people with a poor prognosis of severe end-stage dementia in the last six months of life, there is evidence that this practice is prevalent^[100] (Level IV). People with severe dementia receive similar treatment to those with mild or no dementia. It has been argued that antipyretic measures (medicines that lower the body's temperature to prevent or alleviate fever) for symptom relief may be appropriate in this population. However, the evidence suggests that the cost of hospital care for fever management of people with advanced dementia is financially and emotionally too high^[50] (Level III-2). Instead, it is recommended that a palliative approach be used for the comfort of the resident, though this may include short-term antibiotic therapy with the intention of easing symptoms and improving quality of life^[50] (Level III-2).

End-of-life treatment decisions need to take into account any significant co-morbidities of the resident, to ensure that treatment or refusal of treatment is appropriate. For example, one study found that people with advanced dementia and hip fracture or pneumonia had a 53% and 55% mortality rate at six months respectively, compared with 13% and 12% for people who were cognitively intact^[12] (Level III-2). The mortality rate of those who subsequently acquire pneumonia is dependent on the severity of the dementia, in conjunction with other intermediary factors that actually cause mortality^[84,101] (Level III-2; Level EO). Residents with moderate dementia who had lost a significant amount of weight and had aspirated, had an increased risk of mortality within three months of pneumonia. From these studies it would appear that advanced dementia is a significant co-morbidity.

The palliative approach for residents with dementia is focused entirely on the resident's preferences and is aimed at enhancing their quality of life. Decisions should ideally involve the resident's family or other suitable persons to act as the resident's proxy in providing information to the multidisciplinary team on the resident's preferences, personal values and history. The aged care team may be able to contribute observations to the discussions, particularly observations during any moments of lucidity when the resident may say something about their desires for specific treatments. The aged care team also needs to develop an understanding of the resident's unspoken communication, such as facial movements, to indicate levels of pain and/or distress.

A systematic review of current best practice on physical restraint in acute care settings and RACFs recommended that restraint-free care be provided through the Restraint Minimisation Program^[102] (Level II). The program has two components: 1) education to change the culture of the organisation and to provide strategies to remove restraints; and 2) restraint alternatives. The specifics of the restraint alternatives should be used with caution, as little evaluation has been completed^[102] (Level II).

In the UK, around 80% of people diagnosed with Alzheimer's have eating difficulties so the problem is substantial for those involved with patients with dementia.^[103] It is likely that similar figures would exist for Australian residents with Alzheimer's disease. Despite these statistics, there is little clear evidence on best approaches regarding handling food refusal and dysphagia in older people with dementia. Based on a systematic review of the nursing management of dysphagia in adults with neurological impairment,^[104] (Level III-1), the Joanna Briggs Institute^[105] has developed some guidance regarding dysphagia management, which is discussed in Section 6.8 'Dysphagia'.

Additionally, evidence-based parameters dealing with pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic treatments for dementia management have been developed in the US; however, because these parameters were not specific to the palliative population their appropriateness for this population requires further research and guidance^[106] (Level II).

5.5 FAMILY SUPPORT

Family members of residents with dementia may have unresolved emotional needs, stemming from the illness itself and RACF placement, that may make it difficult to be involved in end-of-life treatment decisions^[76,77] (Level QE; Level QE). It is the responsibility of the aged care team to encourage and facilitate family involvement. However, the team must avoid making negative judgements about family members whose involvement appears (to team members) to be inadequate or if family members choose to withdraw.

Care planning meetings need to be held to protect the vulnerability of residents with advanced dementia and to ensure that, where appropriate, families are involved in decision-making^[76,77] (Level QE; Level QE). The aged care team also needs to be aware that families and carers of a resident with dementia are at great risk of psychological problems, due to the prolonged dying trajectory of the resident^[107] (Level QE). The following story illustrates the need for the aged care team to be flexible in their involvement with the family, to ensure they are able to cope and participate in the demands of decision-making.

Vignette 5: Anna's story

Anna was an 82-year-old lady originally from Germany. She was admitted to the dementia unit shortly after the death of her husband. Anna had one adult child, Helen, who found it extremely difficult to accept her father's death and her mother's declining mental capacity. Helen held legal power of attorney and was the immediate next of kin for her mother. However, Helen evaded attempts by staff to involve her in planning for her mother's care.

Over a short period of time Anna's physical health began to deteriorate significantly. She began to suffer more frequent angina attacks and became wheelchair-bound as a result of a fall, which had fractured her right hip. Anna's condition deteriorated when her oxygen levels fell severely and she experienced extreme tiredness and shortness of breath.

Attempts to engage the daughter, Helen, in care planning meetings were unsuccessful. Eventually contact was made with Anna's adult grandson, David, who at this critical time assisted staff to make pertinent decisions about Anna's health needs. Despite Anna's mental confusion and her ill health, she recognised David and responded well to his suggestions about her health needs and care options. With Anna and Helen's agreement, staff members were able to liaise directly with David about the level and type of care provided to Anna in the final stages of her life. Through David, the aged care team was also able to explain the physical and psychological processes Anna was going through, enabling him to more fully support his grandmother and to keep other family members advised of her situation.

Guideline: Advanced dementia

	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
10. For residents with advanced dementia, the implementation of a palliative approach that incorporates ongoing assessment, attention to distressing symptoms, avoidance of hospitalisation and which emphasises and promotes the resident's quality of life and dignity will benefit the family as well as the resident.	86	QE
11. Remaining in their familiar surroundings is beneficial for residents with advanced dementia because this helps maintain their care plans and facilitates residents' feelings of orientation and security.	83	II
12. Assessment of residents with advanced dementia using dementia-specific pain assessment tools results in more attentive symptom relief for pain and discomfort.	93	IV
13. Aggressive medical treatment of infections is not recommended for residents with advanced dementia. Instead, a palliative approach is suggested for the resident's comfort, which might include short-term antibiotic therapy to ease symptoms and improve quality of life.	44 50	III-2
14. The use of restraints within RACFs is associated with adverse outcomes for residents such as an increase in distress and the risk of injury. Developing a policy of restraint-free care that includes strategies and an education program to minimise restraint use can reduce residents' distress and the risk of falls-related injuries.	102 104	II III-1

PHYSICAL SYMPTOM ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT



To cure sometimes

To relieve often

To comfort always

Anon

Failing to relieve physical symptoms and the associated distress is a major cause of loss of quality of life and suffering in the aged care population^[108] (Level III-2). For this reason, those working in the aged care sector have identified the management of symptoms as a priority area.

This chapter provides guidelines for the assessment and management of physical symptoms frequently experienced in the final phase of illness and/or the ageing process, with a focus on symptoms relevant to RACF residents. Where there is limited research in the specific area of aged care and a palliative approach, research from pain studies has been reviewed for its appropriateness to the aged care setting. Recommendations and examples are provided, as well as a review of the barriers to achieving symptom control in this resident group.

Note that there are existing guidelines — *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*^[109] — which outline the clinical assessment and therapeutic management of symptoms frequently experienced by people receiving a palliative approach. Issues covered include pain, symptom control and fatigue. It is recommended that facilities refer to these Guidelines for specific assistance with care management.

6.1 SYMPTOM ASSESSMENT

Residents living in RACFs who require a palliative approach can experience a range of physical symptoms that require prompt attention, such as fatigue, pain, dyspnoea, constipation and anorexia^[108] (Level III-2), and most residents also have dementia. Therefore, holistic care that focuses on regular, detailed assessment and review, establishing as far as possible the resident's priorities and goals for treatment, is central to a palliative approach in RACFs. Studies of peoples' (including families') perceptions of the things that are important to them in end-of-life care found that adequate pain relief and symptom management were crucial^[110] (Level IV).

Symptom assessment needs to be carried out on a regular basis to respond to changes in the resident's physical health as well as potential changes in their goals for care. Care based on the use of reliable and validated assessment tools has been shown to decrease the distress occurring due to a range of symptoms, including, pain, decubitus ulcers, mucositis and bowel problems

(see Fainsinger, Miller and Bruera (1991)^[111] in Reference List for example). Assessment of common problematic symptoms in this group of residents assists in the provision of adequate and timely care. The common areas of symptom distress include nutritional problems, bowel problems, dyspnoea, fatigue, insomnia, pain, nausea and dysphagia^[108] (Level III-2).

A detailed assessment is the cornerstone of all symptom management, and includes a review of possible causes, the history of the symptom and the impact of the symptom on all aspects of the resident's daily function. The quality of the assessment depends upon the communication skills of the aged care team in ensuring that the experiences and distress of the resident are understood and accurately interpreted. A comprehensive assessment of the resident's concerns, including early identification of their main symptoms, leads to appropriate treatment plans^[112] (Level IV). Central principles to assessment include: ^[112-115] (Level IV; Level IV; Level IV; Level QE)

- ongoing assessment, not a single event;
- self-reporting (for the cognitively intact, this is the most accurate source of information);
- identification of the best proxy (for residents unable to communicate) to ensure the resident's wishes are considered;
- discussion of the efficacy of any interventions tried or being considered (assessment should not be limited to an exploration of symptoms);
- clear documentation of all assessments;
- all relevant members of the aged care team providing input;
- a focus on psychological, social and spiritual domains;
- agreement, whenever possible, on the goals of care by the resident and/or family/proxy; and
- consideration of the disease trajectory/ageing process for each resident, as this may have implications for management.

RACFs already use a variety of tools to assess residents' symptoms. Various tools that have been validated will be highlighted throughout these Guidelines. It is anticipated that RACFs can adopt these tools for use; however, there is no one tool that is recommended.

The use of symptomatic assessment can result in a quicker response to symptom control. If a systematic assessment of symptom distress is not undertaken, then this increases the likelihood these symptoms will be under-treated. Overall, assessment tools have demonstrated an improvement in the management of symptoms when used in conjunction with a detailed clinical interview.

There are tools for assessing specific conditions such as pain, shortness of breath and depression (See Sections 6.2, 'Pain management', 6.9, 'Dyspnoea', and Chapter 7, 'Psychological support', for further information on specific assessment tools). As the distress of symptoms is a subjective matter, the 'gold standard' is the resident's own assessment^[116] (Level III-3). Unfortunately, few tools are validated in RACFs; therefore, those from palliative settings may be the best currently available.

Three symptom screening tools used in a palliative approach that have been successfully used with older persons are listed below.

The *Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale* (ESAS)^[116] (Level III-3) was derived from the measurement of different symptoms using 0- to 10-cm visual analogue scales. The ESAS has been validated in the cognitively intact terminally ill population and is frequently used in clinical studies as an assessment tool. Individual symptoms evaluated in the ESAS include pain, tiredness, nausea, depression, anxiety, drowsiness, appetite, sensation of wellbeing, and shortness of breath. For all items, 0 is absence of the symptom or best possible status, and a score of 10 indicates the worst possible status. An overall distress score is calculated by averaging the individual symptom scores.

The *Symptom Assessment Scale* (SAS)^[117] is used to assess symptoms commonly found in individuals in palliative settings, many of whom are older and a large proportion of whom have cancer. Participants are asked to rate each of the following symptoms according to their intensity: insomnia, appetite problems, nausea, bowel problems, breathing problems, fatigue and pain. Absent symptoms are assigned a 0, and symptoms that are present are rated from 1 (minimal problem) to 10 (worst possible). Scores are not generally totalled. Sometimes family members and the aged care team sometimes provide proxy responses using the SAS when the person is unable to respond, using their knowledge of ways in which these individuals generally present when experiencing these symptoms.

The Abbey Pain Scale^[96] (Level IV) is a new Australian tool, specifically developed for people with advanced dementia. The scale is based on the assumption that nursing staffs' perception of pain severity is the gold standard for determining a resident's pain intensity. Although further substantiation of this scale is required, given its brevity and validity it may be a worthwhile addition to pain assessment.

Guidelines: Symptom Assessment		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
15. The development of a treatment plan that is based on a comprehensive and ongoing assessment of a resident's needs and wishes, which includes early identification of the main symptoms, provides effective symptom management in accordance with the resident's goals for care and their specific treatment preferences.	112	IV
16. Implementation of a palliative approach that includes adequate relief of pain and effective symptom management increases residents and their families' satisfaction with the care that is provided.	110	IV
17. A simple method for regular assessment of symptom distress is to ask residents for their own determination of the intensity of their physical and psychological distress. Regular assessments will improve the effectiveness of symptom management and enhance residents' quality of life.	116	III-3

6.2 PAIN MANAGEMENT

Pain is under-treated in many clinical settings.^[118] Pain management requires a systemic and holistic approach to treatment that is tailored to the individual's physical, psychological and spiritual needs.^[119] As Dickinson stated, "Pain is a subjective sensation and therefore pain is what the individual says it is and not what others think it should be".^[120, p. 78]

Dame Cicely Saunders first described the concept of ‘total pain’, articulating the view that pain is not limited to physical distress but also includes psychological, social and spiritual elements.^[121] Recognition of an emotional and psychological component to pain points to the need for a multidimensional assessment for effective pain management.^[122]

Although the notion of total pain is accepted when using a palliative approach, this section of the Guidelines will focus on physical pain only. However, it is important to recognise that pain in any of the domains (spiritual, social, etc) will impact on the other domains of pain. Attention to these dimensions will be discussed further in the relevant sections of the Guidelines (see Chapters 7, ‘Psychological support’, 9, ‘Social support, intimacy and sexuality’ and 11, ‘Cultural issues’).

6.2.1 Incidence of pain in residents of RACFs

Studies have found that older persons may experience more pain than younger persons, yet are less likely to complain^[123] (Level IV). Pain management in RACFs does not appear to be well addressed. One US study found that nearly one-sixth of all residents in RACFs are in daily pain and, when reviewed 60 to 180 days later, two-thirds were again reported to have been in daily pain (either moderate or excruciating)^[124] (Level IV). A further study found that residents over 85 years of age were more likely to receive no analgesia than younger residents^[123] (Level IV). A similar finding regarding pain was also found in an Australian study, which reported that 22% of residents who stated that they had pain had no medication administration recorded in their case notes, and 16% did not have analgesics ordered^[125] (Level QE).

One reason for this inattention to pain may be inadequate assessment tools, especially for those with advanced dementia who cannot articulate their care needs^[91] (Level IV). Poor care practices and difficulties with prescribing adequate and appropriate medication, especially in some rural and remote regions, have also been cited as possible reasons for under-treatment of pain. However, there is evidence indicating that residents enrolled with a specialised palliative team have better analgesic management of daily pain than residents who are not^[126] (Level III-3).

The following story illustrates the benefits of a palliative approach to pain for a resident.

Vignette 6: Mr Johnson’s story

A month before his 100th birthday, Mr Johnson had fallen and fractured his hip. He underwent surgery but became virtually chair-bound. Mr Johnson developed a pressure area on his leg that quickly deteriorated, leading to a necrotic and heavily infected foot which was extremely painful. He was well aware of his circumstances and, after being advised of the treatment options available, decided that rather than amputation he would prefer a palliative approach. This required a specific dressing management routine that addressed the pain and the smell, together with a regulated pain management regimen to give him comfort without sedation. Mr Johnson was able to participate in and enjoy his centennial birthday celebrations.

6.2.2 Barriers to effective pain management

The evidence suggests that lack of knowledge of pain assessment among some nurses^[127] (Level QE) and doctors^[128] (Level EO) is contributing to poor pain management of residents. An additional concern is the low level of knowledge of pain management of some doctors, as they have had little formal education in this area.^[129–131] The literature citing these deficiencies calls for a collaborative approach between doctors and nurses to manage pain consistently and well in the aged care setting^[131] (Level QE). It also cites the need for educational programs that give definitive and objective information on drugs^[128,129] (Level EO; Level EO). Some practices have begun to change. These changes have included improved education of doctors and nurses about pain assessment and prescribing, development of more responsive assessment tools, improved treatment strategies, and better practices for monitoring of residents' pain levels.^[130] However, other barriers to effective pain management still need to be addressed.

There is some evidence that difficulties may be encountered when members of the aged care team lack the necessary skills for adequate reporting and also lack observation skills^[90] (Level QE). Accurate reporting based on good observation skills is particularly important for those residents who do not articulate their pain symptoms verbally and rely on a skilled person to assess their level of pain based upon behavioural cues^[132] (Level III-3).

Effective pain management may also be difficult for some residents due to their cultural beliefs. For example, a recent study found that in some Indigenous Australian communities there was considerable fear regarding morphine being given at the end of life^[133] (Level QE). The common belief was that morphine was the drug that was given to “get rid of me [them]”.^[133, p. 146] This study also noted that many Indigenous Australians had a fear of needles and did not understand about drugs and palliative care. This study highlights the need to identify cultural issues for each resident in all aspects of care delivery. (See also Chapters 10, ‘Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Issues’ and 11, ‘Cultural issues’.)

There is a large body of research demonstrating that some aged care team members may have misconceptions or myths about pain management^[128] (Level EO). These misconceptions can present major barriers to effective pain management. The following table provides an overview of some of these myths, and the facts in each case.

Myths	Counter-Facts
1. The best judge of whether a resident has pain is the doctor or nurse caring for the resident.	The resident is the authority on his or her pain. Their self-report is the most reliable indicator of the existence and intensity of pain.
2. Residents should not receive analgesics until the cause of pain is diagnosed.	Symptomatic relief of pain should be provided whilst the investigation proceeds. Unrelieved pain is unacceptable and if ignored it can make it more difficult for adequate pain control to be achieved. It is also important to remember that the clinician's primary diagnostic tool is not pain.
3. People with advanced dementia are unable to use pain-rating scales.	When an appropriate pain rating scale (e.g. 0–10) is used and the person is given sufficient time to process and respond, many cognitively impaired residents can use a pain rating scale.

TABLE 8: COMMON MYTHS ABOUT PAIN MANAGEMENT

Myths	Counter-Facts
4. There is no reason for a resident to hurt when no physical cause for pain can be determined.	As pain is a new science, not all aspects are understood. Therefore it is better to accept that a resident is in pain, given their authority on the matter.
5. Clinicians should rely on their own personal opinions and beliefs as to whether the resident is truthful to determine the resident's true pain status.	Personal beliefs are neither consistent nor adequate to determine pain management. It is essential to establish the resident's self-report of pain as the standard.
6. Pain never killed anyone.	Chronic pain has many serious adverse effects such as suppressing the immune system, which can lead to further deterioration for the resident and may indeed hasten their death.
7. Pain relief should only be given for pain that is currently present.	It is better to give analgesics in anticipation of movement, as this gives the resident control over the incident pain.

Adapted from McCaffery & Pasero (1999) [134]

6.2.3 Types of pain

It is not always easy to distinguish between various types of pain; this difficulty tends to result in omissions or overlaps in providing adequate pain relief. The literature related to pain frequently refers to three types: acute pain (relatively brief that subsides as healing occurs), cancer pain, and chronic non-malignant pain.^[130] However, this typology has limited value in helping direct the aged care team in assessing and managing pain. Instead, the method adopted by the *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*,^[109] based on the inferred pathophysiology of the pain and classified into two broad groups, has been found to be most helpful and will be used. (However, because much of the literature specifically refers to chronic pain, this term will also be used in this section). The two broad groups are:

- nociceptive pain (stimuli from somatic and visceral pain); and
- neuropathic pain (results from an injury to the nervous system).

The following table provides examples of nociceptive and neuropathic pain types and recommendations for referral.

TABLE 9: PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATIONS OF PAIN, CLASSIFICATION CHARACTERISTICS AND EXAMPLES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REFERRAL


	Nociceptive — superficial somatic	Nociceptive — deep somatic	Nociceptive — visceral	Neuropathic
Origin of stimulus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • skin, sub-cutaneous tissue • mucosa of mouth, nose, sinuses, urethra, anus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • bone, joints, muscles, tendons, ligaments • superficial lymph nodes • organs capsules and mesothelial membranes (pleura and peritoneum) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • solid or hollow organs • deep tumour masses • deep lymph nodes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • damage to nociceptive pathways
Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pressure ulcers • stomatitis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • arthritis, liver capsule distension or inflammation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • deep abdominal or chest masses • intestinal, biliary, ureteric colic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • tumour-related: brachial, lumbosacral plexus or chest wall invasion, spinal cord compression • non-tumour-related: post-herpetic neuralgia, post-thoracotomy syndrome, phantom pain
Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • hot • burning • stinging 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • dull aching 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • dull deep 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • dysaesthesia, e.g. pins and needles, tingling, burning, lancinating/ shooting • allodynia • phantom pain • pain in numb area
Localisation (to site of stimulus)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • very well defined 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • well defined 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • poorly defined 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • nerve or dermatome distribution
Movement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no effect 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • worsening pain (resident prefers to be still) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may improve pain 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • nerve traction provokes pain, e.g. sciatic stretch test
Referral	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes
Local tenderness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • maybe 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no
Autonomic effects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • nausea, vomiting, sweating, blood pressure and heart rate changes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • autonomic instability: warmth, sweating, pallor, cold, cyanosis (localised to nerve pathway).

This table is reproduced with permission from the Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care (2001) [109, pp. 120–121]

6.2.4 Breakthrough pain

The term ‘breakthrough pain’ (also known as ‘intermittent pain’) is generally associated with chronic cancer pain and specifically refers to when people with cancer who are already receiving opioids have intermittent episodes of increased pain.^[135] However, it is important to assess the occurrence of intermittent pain in all individuals, not just those with a diagnosis of cancer. The incidence of breakthrough pain has been found to vary between 40% and 80% among individuals in various settings^[135] (Level EO). No tool has been developed that specifically assesses the occurrence of breakthrough pain. However, use of a 24-hour assessment algorithm may help to categorise breakthrough pain (see Figure 2 for an example).

FIGURE 2: ALGORITHM FOR TREATMENT OF BREAKTHROUGH (EPISODIC) PAIN^[135]



*CANCER, Vol. 94, No. 3, 2002, 832–839. © American Cancer Society.
Reprinted by permission of Wiley-Liss, Inc., a subsidiary of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*

Additional characteristics of breakthrough pain that should also be considered are:^[134]

- duration;
- intensity;
- quality, characteristics (e.g. aching, shooting);
- precipitating factors (if any), such as bowel movement, change in the weather;
- factors that relieve the pain;
- sudden or slow onset;
- occurrence in relation to time of analgesic doses; and
- frequency (number of occurrences in past 24 hours).

6.2.5 Pain assessment tools

Horgas and Dunn (2001)^[136] (Level QE) found that there was incongruence between nursing assistants' perception of pain and the resident's self-report of pain in the majority of cases in a paired rating study. The results suggest that residents could be at risk of over or under treatment of pain and therefore, pain assessment tools are recommended.

Pain assessment should include a history of the resident, including pain location, type, frequency and severity as well as the impact on the resident's daily life activities. One study compared the simple question, "Do you have pain?" with three assessment tools (Visual Assessment Scale, Behavior [Faces] Scale, Pain Descriptive Scale) and found that the assessment tools were more accurate than simply asking the question about pain^[137] (Level III-3). A range of pain assessment tools can be used, such as:

- The *Functional Pain Scale* (FPS). The FPS is considered a reliable, valid, responsive and acceptable tool for use with older persons^[138] (Level III-2).
- The *Numerical Rating Scales* (NRS). The NRS refers to all the possible combinations of pain rating scales that use numbers, whether they are graphically or verbally presented and whether they contain word anchors or not. However, usually these scales use a continuum from 0 to 10 where 0 signifies no pain and 10 signifies unbearable pain. The advantages of this tool are that it circumvents the difficulties with language as with some assessment tools, is easy to use and has a high degree of sensitivity^[139] (Level IV). The disadvantage of this tool is that the higher the cognitive impairment (MMSE score 0–9), the less likely that the resident will be able to complete the NRS^[139] (Level IV) Even with repeated explanation to residents with severe cognitive impairment, the rate of successful completion of the NRS was still poor.^[139]
- The *McGill Pain Questionnaire* (MPQ). The MPQ is a multidimensional questionnaire that assesses the individual's site of pain, sensory, affective and quality, changes over time, and intensity.^[140] The criticisms of the questionnaire centre on the complexity and length of the questionnaire.
- The *Brief Pain Inventory* (BPI). The BPI has been used extensively in research due to its reasonable validity and reliability; it has also proven useful in a variety of clinical settings, including with people diagnosed with terminal cancer.^[141] It takes about 15 minutes to complete and has been translated into Vietnamese, Chinese, Filipino and French.^[134]

Pain can lead to behavioural changes in residents. One study assessed residents with chronic pain in RACFs to ascertain how easy it was for the aged care team to recognise the presence of pain. There was disagreement between residents and their family members reporting on the types of behaviours that indicated pain. Pain-related behaviours of residents with advanced dementia were more difficult to assess than those of residents without advanced dementia^[90] (Level QE) (see also Chapter 5, 'Advanced dementia'). Given the difficulties associated with pain assessment for residents who are severely cognitively impaired, it may be more appropriate to use a combination of pain assessment tools^[142] (Level QE). The pain assessment scales for residents with cognitive impairment or English-language or speech difficulties include:

Visual Analogue Scales (VAS). This is a horizontal 10cm line with word anchors at the extremes, such as 'no pain' on one end and 'pain as bad as it could be' on the other. The resident is asked to mark on the line, the point that best represents their level of pain. The VAS can be relatively easy to administer; however, scoring is time-consuming because the number indicated must be measured in millimetres up to the point the resident indicated. The Mechanical VAS is a plastic version of a VAS, with a sliding marker that the resident uses to move to correspond with their pain level. Many older persons with advanced dementia have difficulty completing these types of scale, so their use with this group is not recommended.

The FACES Scale. Several face-rating scales exist and were originally developed to determine pain levels in children. The most widely used FACES scale is the one devised by Wong-Baker.^[143] The scale has a number of faces drawn along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being 'no hurt' (and a smiley face), up to 10 that indicates 'hurts worst' (and a crying distressed face). The scale has been translated into many languages, such as Chinese, German, Greek, Hebrew, Italian, Korean, Japanese, Polish and Russian.^[134] However, for people with moderate to severe dementia completion rates are poor (55% of people with an MMSE of <19, and 41% for those with MMSE <11). Concern has also been expressed that the FACES scale may be a measure of depression rather than of pain for those with dementia, because it can be very difficult for older persons who lack abstraction skills to translate reliably a crying face to severe pain instead of to a depressed or sad face.^[134]

Pain Descriptive Scales. Also known as the Verbal Rating Scale (VRS); this scale consists of a list of adjectives describing different levels of pain intensity, such as no pain, mild, moderate and severe pain. A score of 0 to 3 is assigned to these words, thus the scale is easier for residents at all levels of cognitive impairment to understand and score.^[139]

Abbey Pain Scale. This scale is a new Australian tool, specifically developed for people with advanced dementia, as described earlier in this chapter^[96] (Level IV).

Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia (PAINAD) Scale. The PAINAD scale was developed in response to the need for an easy-to-use, valid and reliable pain assessment tool in advanced dementia. The PAINAD scale was based on the earlier DS-DAT protocol but was simplified, with a score of 0–10 for severity of pain making it more easily comparable to other pain scales used in clinical practice.^[95]

6.2.6 Incident pain

Incident pain results from a specific event for an individual, such as being moved or wound care. Therefore, incident pain is different to other types of pain and this difference needs to be identified to ensure appropriate management of the resident's pain. Appropriate management is for analgesics to be given in anticipation of a resident's movement to reduce the prevalence of this type of pain occurring. For instance, when it is known that a resident is to be turned or to have wound care, analgesics should be given prior to the event with sufficient time allowed to ensure the analgesic has taken effect.

6.2.7 Management

Principles of pain management

Individualisation of the dose is the key principle of pain management^[130] (Level EO). Some members of the aged care team may be reluctant to increase doses in older persons^[90] (Level QE); however, dose increases can be undertaken safely when they are based on the resident's response in terms of their comfort and side effects rather than a preconceived idea of the amount of medication the resident might require^[90,98] (Level QE; Level IV).

Research has shown that many older persons have become resigned to their pain, are reluctant to complain, and are ambivalent about the benefit of any intervention to control it^[90] (Level QE). As older persons are often reluctant to ask for pain medication, and if opioids are prescribed, they should be administered around the clock if pain is present most of the day. Continuous administration also avoids the toxicity associated with the high peak effect (toxicity) typical of PRN (as needed) doses.^[130] Dosing PRN is only appropriate when pain is intermittent. In such cases, pain requires regular assessment and offering of analgesics.^[98,144] (Level IV; Level EO)

A further principle of pain management is the need to fully assess the probable cause of pain, because many people have more than one pain and different types of pain have different causes. The evidence suggests that comprehensive assessment of pain and evidenced-based analgesic decision-making processes enhance pain management^[145] (Level II), as illustrated in the following story.

Vignette 7: Mrs Harris' story

Mrs Harris is an 82-year-old who has been an RACF resident for the past eight months. A review of her medical history indicated she had rheumatoid arthritis, which left her with significant hand and wrist deformities and considerable pain associated with movement during the day. She also suffered considerably from the pain associated with morning stiffness. Additionally, her medical history indicated that she had osteoporosis, particularly in her spine.

Mrs Harris's health was otherwise remarkably good, except for a progressive short-term memory loss, which meant that she could no longer live at home. She woke one morning with severe pain that rendered her bed-bound. She needed assistance with all activities of daily living. An xray of her spine showed that she had suffered from further spinal damage. The neurological examination did not reveal any new weakness or sensory change. She was already on regular paracetamol and a low dose of regular Indocid. Her GP chose to commence her on 10mg of sustained-release morphine morning and evening to try and improve her analgesia, and at the same time introduced Coloxyl with Senna regularly. After three days Mrs Harris was more comfortable but still not totally pain free. Her evening dose of sustained-release morphine was increased to 20mg and within another 48 hours she was comfortable and back to her previous level of function. She was not drowsy and showed no other symptoms as a result of the careful introduction of opioids.


Within two months, Mrs Harris was moving comfortably and her GP decreased her opioids by 10mg every three days until they were no longer required.

Pharmacological management

The *Australian Medicines Handbook* (2002)^[146] and its companion the *Australian Medicines Handbook Drug Choice Companion: Aged Care* (2003)^[147] outline information on analgesics for pain management of chronic and acute pain, with drug information on opioid, non-opioid and compound analgesics and other drugs used for pain management. An electronic version of these resources is available on the Internet for medical practitioners at www.amh.hcn.net.au.

Consistent throughout the pain literature is the recommendation that the choice of medication needs to be based on the severity of the pain and not the stage of disease. The WHO Analgesic Ladder (1996)^[148] recommends a three-step approach for pharmaceutical treatment of mild, moderate and severe pain (as shown in Figure 3). The use of the WHO ladder in addition to careful dose titration will expedite the choice of an appropriate drug in a timely and responsive manner^[149] (Level III-3).

FIGURE 3: THE WHO ANALGESIC LADDER FOR PHARMACEUTICAL TREATMENT OF PAIN ^[148]



It is recommended that drugs be administered in standard dose at regular intervals in a stepwise fashion. If a non-opioid or a weak non-opioid is insufficient, a strong opioid should be used. Either a strong or weak opioid should be used, but not both. Adjuvants may be added at any stage, as their primary indication will be for other painful conditions (e.g. corticosteroids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, tricyclic antidepressants, anticonvulsants and antiarrhythmic drugs). Tricyclic antidepressants in optimal doses appear to be the most efficient treatment for neuropathic pain, but other treatments may be better tolerated by this population^[150] (Level I). A trial period is required to review medications, doses, use patterns, efficacy, and side effects. This review should occur on a regular basis: Medications that do not appear beneficial should be tapered and discontinued.

Analgesic medications

The use of simple oral non-opioid analgesics such as paracetamol (acetaminophen) and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) is an appropriate starting point for responding to pain based upon the WHO ladder approach ^[149] (Level III-3). However, several studies have found that residents who report pain have inadequate or irregular mild analgesics recorded ^[125,151] (Level QE; Level QE). Non-opioid analgesics, particularly paracetamol, are considered to be the first choice for responding to mild to moderate pain, because they are relatively safe in older persons^[128,152] (Level EO; Level IV). Concerns that paracetamol accumulated during multiple dosing were not supported in a small study of poly-medicated older persons where a dose regimen of acetaminophen 1 g three times daily was undertaken ^[152] (Level IV). (The recommended therapeutic dosage of paracetamol is 3–4 g daily for adults.) There are no common side effects associated with the use of paracetamol within therapeutic ranges. However, higher dosages of paracetamol can lead to an increase in the risk of toxicity^[128] (Level EO).

Combining paracetamol with other drug types such as mild opioids (codeine, tramadol [WHO step II]) or strong opioids (morphine [WHO Step III]) is another approach to ensuring that analgesics remain effective in all three steps of the WHO ladder.^[149] One Australian RCT

examined the addition of paracetamol for people with advanced cancer who were already receiving a oral morphine regimen^[153]. The authors' concluded that paracetamol improved pain and well-being for the majority of the participants without the incidence of significant adverse reactions^[153] (Level II). Further studies with older people are required to determine that this finding is appropriate for people without a diagnosis of cancer.

NSAIDs

NSAIDs are often used when other non-opioid analgesics are not sufficient for pain management; for example with older persons who have rheumatoid arthritis or osteoarthritis^[154,155] (Level I; Level I). A recent systematic review of the use of NSAIDs in combination with an opioid was found to be effective in managing cancer pain, with side effects equivalent to placebo^[156] (Level I). A systematic review of paracetamol versus NSAIDs for rheumatoid arthritis found that the participants preferred NSAIDs more than paracetamol for pain management^[155] (Level I). However, NSAIDs have the potential for more adverse side effects than paracetamol does, such as gastrointestinal events, headaches, and renal, cardiac and hepatic impairment.^[155] These agents are associated with greater toxicity for older people. Therefore, the benefits and harms of NSAIDs should be given careful consideration when determining whether NSAIDs or paracetamol are more appropriate for each resident^[154] (Level I). Further RCTs are required to determine which NSAIDs are appropriate for use with older people and which NSAID is the safest and most appropriate.

Misconceptions regarding opioid use

Pargeon and Hailey (1999)^[157] outline the many misconceptions regarding the use of opioids in the minds of doctors, nurses, family members and others. According to the WHO guidelines on pain management, opioids are the medications of choice for the management of moderate to severe pain.^[148] This group of medications is effective and easily titrated, and has risks that are easily managed. For most people receiving a palliative approach, morphine is the opioid analgesic of choice^[158] (Level EO). However, a number of myths abound regarding use of opioids, particularly their use with older persons. This results in limits to effective pain management. Examples of some of these myths and their counter evidence are provided in the following table.

TABLE 10: COMMON MYTHS REGARDING THE USE OF OPIOIDS	
Myth	Fact
Opioids create addiction	Physical dependence and tolerance may occur, addiction (psychological dependence) is rare and almost never occurs in an individual without a history of drug use prior to their illness. ^[56]
Opioids cause respiratory depression	If respiratory depression occurs, it is usually in opioid-naive individuals following acute administration of an opioid and is associated with other signs of central nervous system depression (e.g. sedation). Reduction in dose or temporary withdrawal of the opioid is usually all that is required. ^[159] Clinically important respiratory depression is a very rare effect in the individual whose opioid dose has been titrated against pain. ^[159] A study demonstrated that, in a population of terminally ill cancer people with respiratory failure and dyspnoea, administration of subcutaneous morphine actually improved dyspnoea without causing a significant deterioration in respiratory function ^[160] (Level II).
Opioids must not be used too early in an illness to avoid hitting a 'Ceiling dose'.	There is no ceiling to the analgesic effects of full agonist opioids. ^[161] As the dose is raised, analgesic effects increase as a log linear function. In practice, the appearance of adverse effects imposes limits on the useful dose of an opioid agonist.
Opioids hasten death and shouldn't be used with older persons.	Titrate dose carefully. The older person is more at risk due to greater sensitivity to doses of opioids than for younger people but this does not mean that they shouldn't receive opioids. ^[161]

As with any medication, opioids have side effects that with careful planning can be prevented or relieved. Side effects related to use of opioids include:^[161]

- constipation (most common);
- nausea and vomiting (occurs initially and is usually easily controlled);
- daytime drowsiness and mental cloudiness (usually resolves within days);
- dry mouth;
- urinary retention (more common in older people);
- multifocal myoclonus (can be dose related, can be treated or an alternate opioid used);
- dizziness (usually resolves within days);
- dysphoria;
- confusion and hallucinations (rare but may occur in older persons); and
- sleep disturbances.

Organisational issues regarding pain management

The policies and procedures of each RACF regarding administration and use of opioids need to be readily accessible. The policies should specify who can administer opioids, where opioids are located, and how they are to be stored. High-care facilities are legislated with regard to their

provision and storage of drugs, whereas low-care facilities are internally controlled. Despite different State and Territory legislation on the prescribing and administering of medication, adequate pain management should not be affected. It is important not to leave any resident in pain, and appropriate analgesics should be available in a timely fashion. For further guidance refer to the legislation in each State or Territory.

GPs and nurses working in RACFs need to understand the principles of titrating doses, conversions, and differences in dosages — when the route of administration is changed from oral to subcutaneous, for example. For further information and greater detail the *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*^[109] is recommended.

Non-pharmacological pain management

A systematic review of the literature on pain found that the identification of non-opioid pain receptors and the development of products to target them are just two of the changes that have altered the way clinicians think about treating pain^[128] (Level EO). A simple technique, such as the use of temperature (cold and warm), is an effective alternative therapy that is often overlooked. For example, cold can be used to suppress the release of products from tissue damage and warmth can stimulate production of endogenous opioids. Another systematic review into transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic pain examined 19 RCTs and concluded that the results for the benefits of TENS with chronic pain were inconclusive^[162] (Level I). This was mainly due to the published trials not providing information on the stimulation parameters, nor answering questions regarding the long-term effectiveness of TENS for chronic pain.

A systematic review examined whether progressive resistance strength training for physical disability in older persons was beneficial^[163] (Level II). The reviewers concluded that this training increased muscle strength and had a positive effect on some functional limitations in older persons. They also concluded that older persons with pain from osteoarthritis appeared to benefit from this training. Despite the strength of the research regarding progressive resistance training, this approach might not be suitable for residents who require a palliative approach due to co-morbidities, particularly dementia. Therefore, further research is required.

Guideline: Pain Management		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
18. A comprehensive assessment of the resident’s pain and the use of evidence-based analgesic decision-making provide enhanced pain management, thereby improving the resident’s quality of life.	145	II
19. For residents unable to verbalise their pain, accurate reporting based on observations by a skilled person using behavioural cues is particularly important in determining pain. The use of appropriate assessment tools will increase the frequency with which pain is diagnosed when compared with asking the resident “Do you have pain?”	137	III-3

6.3 FATIGUE

Fatigue is defined as a sense of exhaustion, a loss of strength or endurance, or a sense of weariness or tiredness that is not due to exertion and is not relieved by rest.^[164] Fatigue is recognised as a multi-factorial symptom, with complex pathology, that may occur alone or in combination with other symptom complexes^[165,166] (Level EO: Level IV). Fatigue is the most frequently cited physical concern reported by individuals approaching death.^[165,167] However, fatigue should not be accepted as 'normal' or untreatable, especially in residents. Fatigue has been found to have physical, emotional and cognitive components in both individuals with cancer and in healthy individuals^[168] (Level QE). There is some evidence to indicate that although many residents may experience fatigue, the symptoms may be poorly recognised and consequently under-treated^[164] (Level IV). Fatigue is best understood in terms of a sense of wellbeing, level of activity, and level of weakness. It can be part of the anorexia-cachexia syndrome, and/or it can be related to boredom, medical problems, pain, psychological problems, sleep disturbances and medications.^[164] However, while no clear leading cause is evident, fatigue has consistently been associated with depression and anxiety^[167,169] (Level EO; Level IV). Fatigue may also be associated with pain, a reduction in intermediate activities of daily living, number of medications, and physical function^[164] (Level IV).

6.3.1 Assessment

The assessment of fatigue is usually based on the resident's report of the symptom. People generally do not consider tiredness and fatigue to mean the same thing; hence, it is unlikely that the incidence of fatigue will be over-reported^[170] (Level QE). Some residents may voluntarily report fatigue, but often it remains unrecognised unless the aged care team specifically asks the resident or observes changes in them. Under-reporting of fatigue is presumed to occur because residents may be reluctant to mention fatigue, believing that it is to be expected at their age.^[164] They may also feel that their complaint of fatigue is not important enough to report. No figures currently exist regarding the number of Australian residents who report that they have fatigue; however, a US study found that during the period of the study (median 44 weeks duration), 98% of residents' self-reported feeling fatigued^[164] (Level IV). The potentially high incidence of fatigue and its negative impact on individuals, such as interfering with their ability to make end-of-life plans and decisions, and decreasing their quality of life, suggests that fatigue needs to be assessed and addressed by a multidisciplinary team.

A number of tools to assess fatigue have been developed, though few have been tested for use with older persons. One exception is the Piper Fatigue Scale (1987),^[171] which was originally developed for patients with cancer and subsequently has been revised^[166] (Level IV). This self-report tool is based on the concept that fatigue is a multi-component sensation with behavioural (interference with activities of daily living), affective meaning (how fatigue is perceived), sensory (feelings associated with fatigue) and cognitive (mood, memory and thought processes) aspects. Therefore, the Revised Piper Fatigue Scale (1998)^[166] (Level IV) consists of 22 items that measure these four dimensions of fatigue: behavioural / severity (6 items), affective meaning (5 items), sensory (5 items) and cognitive / mood (6 items). Each item is scored 0 to 10 on Likert Scales. A total score, which was standardised to a 0 to 100 scale with 100 being the most fatigue, is obtained by adding the item scores. The scale has been

successfully tested predominantly with people with cancer in the US, China, and Taiwan and its validity and reliability were also supported when the Revised Piper Fatigue Scale (1998)^[166] was used with 199 older persons (M age = 88 years) living in a RACF^[164] (Level IV).

6.3.2 Management

To enable realistic goals for the management of fatigue to be achieved in accordance with the wishes of the resident and their family, the aged care team should initiate a discussion with those concerned. The first step is to assess the resident and identify any other symptoms, diseases or circumstances that may contribute to fatigue^[164] (Level IV). For example, depression and anxiety, insomnia, anorexia, pain, dehydration, and anaemia may respond to treatment, which can improve the level of energy available.

Pharmacological management

No RCTs have been conducted to date that specifically address pharmacological interventions for fatigue in older persons.^[165]

Non-pharmacological management

Suggested non-pharmacological methods for relieving fatigue, such as exercise programs and energy conservation, may be effective for people receiving a palliative approach^[169] (Level IV). Winningham and colleagues (1994)^[167] (Level EO) in a review of the literature regarding fatigue and cancer, found that if people are tired it is often a result of inactivity. Guidelines on fatigue management for people with advanced cancer have been developed^[172] (Level QE) and, although these guidelines have not been tested in the aged care setting, they might offer some useful direction for managing this difficult symptom in the aged care population.

Systematic reviews were conducted to examine the benefits of physical exercise^[173] (Level II), and cognitive behavioural interventions^[174] (Level I) for sleep disturbances in adults aged over 60 years. Physical exercise was found to provide some benefits such as facilitating sleep and improving quality of life; however, the trials had small numbers and reviewers cautioned that exercise was not appropriate for all older persons.^[173]

Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) was found to have a mild effect on sleep disturbances in older persons, but the effect was not usually long-lasting (<2 months)^[174] (Level I). However, the reviewers' expressed concern regarding reduced efficacy of this therapy for those aged 80 years and older. Additionally, because fatigue may have many causes among older persons, such as anxiety and depression, it is not clear that treating one cause in isolation, such as sleep disturbance, results in a reduction of fatigue. However, the reviewers' concluded that, given the potential side-effects of pharmacological interventions such as hypnotics that there was an argument to be made for the use of CBT in preference to pharmacological interventions, particularly for those people who were younger than 80 years of age. Given that the average Australian resident is aged over 80 years and has a diagnosis of advanced dementia, it is suggested that further research is required prior to the implementation of CBT interventions.

One recent study considered the use of acupressure with 106 people (average age = 58) who had a diagnosis of end-stage renal disease. The researchers found that participants in the acupressure

group (n = 35; received acupressure 3 x weekly for 4 weeks plus usual care) had significantly lower levels of fatigue (as measured by the Revised Piper Fatigue Scale [1998]) than the control group (n = 36, received usual care)^[175] (Level III-1). Interestingly, there were no significant differences between the acupressure group and the sham group (n = 35; received sham acupressure [massage with no acupoints] 3 x weekly for 4 weeks plus usual care), with both these groups having decreased fatigue levels post-intervention. These findings appear promising; however, further studies are required with significantly older participants to determine the appropriateness of this therapy.

Guideline: Fatigue		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
20. Fatigue is the most frequently reported physical concern by individuals nearing death. Therefore, careful assessment is required of factors that may indicate or bring about fatigue (e.g. depression, anxiety, pain, a reduction in intermediate activities of daily living, and number of medications), which will enhance early identification and management of fatigue.	164	IV
	170	QE

6.4 NUTRITION AND HYDRATION

Nutritional and hydration issues for residents receiving a palliative approach are complex and raise ethical questions for the aged care team, residents and family members (see Chapter 4, ‘Advance care planning’). A palliative approach endeavours to address these issues in the light of the best available evidence.

Nutrition and hydration are associated with more than physiological need. There are many psychosocial, cultural and symbolic meanings that influence the reasons for poor nutritional status^[176] (Level II). For example, the sharing of meals is usually associated with social gathering, communication and life time habits such as when, where and how we eat^[177] (Level EO). Therefore, if residents are unable to eat or share a meal or drink with others the experience of meal times can be extremely unpleasant and can contribute to refusal to eat. Refusal to eat or drink can also occur when a resident does not like the food or fluid being offered (i.e., therapeutic diets often lack taste^[178]), needs assistance or extra time to eat,^[179] or when the food or fluid is inappropriate to the resident’s cultural background.^[177]

6.4.1 Nutrition

There is no agreement regarding the definition for malnutrition or under-nutrition (either term can be used)^[176] (Level II). However, it is generally accepted that malnutrition is “... a state of energy, protein or other specific nutrient deficiency that produces a measurable change in body function and is associated with worse outcome from illness as well as being specifically reversed by nutritional support”.^[180, p. 590] Malnutrition is a medical condition determined by chemical tests and is usually treated by medical intervention. Thus, it should not be confused with hunger, which is not considered a medical condition. The most common nutritional problems for residents in RACFs are weight loss and associated protein energy malnutrition^[176] (Level II).

The reasons for malnutrition in older persons are multi-faceted and can even be associated with the process of ageing, which affects food intake and body weight and can be intensified by illness or disease^[176] (Level II). Depression and adverse medication side effects are the most common treatable causes of malnutrition.^[181] Given the prevalence of depression for residents of RACFs and the high medication rates, these factors warrants specific attention^[181] (Level IV). Advanced dementia, apathy, fatigue, and late-life paranoia (e.g. where the resident believes that he / she is being poisoned via food or fluid intake) are other potential factors involved in poor nutritional and hydration status of older persons.^[176] There are also specific issues relating to eating, feeding and nutrition for those with particular diseases or diagnoses. For example, Kumlien and Axelsson (2002)^[182] (Level QE) reported that a quarter of stroke patients suffered from dysphagia and 30% had poor food intake and poor appetite. Older persons who have had a hip fracture tend to have a poor nutritional status, as do residents with COPD or Parkinson's Disease^[183,184] (Level EO; Level III-3).

The traditional practice associated with a palliative approach is that when interest in food and fluid becomes minimal the individual should not be forced to receive them.^[185] Indeed, eating and drinking may no longer be relevant to the resident who has already withdrawn and whose attention is now more inward.^[186] For example, a study of 32 individuals receiving a palliative approach explored whether the provision of limited food and fluids (only in response to a request from the individual) would have an adverse effect on quality of life^[185] (Level IV). The results indicated that 60% (n = 20) reported that they never experienced any hunger or thirst and that most (n = 27) remained 'comfortable' requiring only sips of water.

The difficulties the aged care team experiences are often due to different views on basic human rights and whether interventions to maintain hydration and nutrition are inappropriate active medical interventions instead of a palliative approach^[185] (Level IV). Family members may request that everything possible be done for their relative, including treatments that are considered by care providers as invasive or potentially burdensome, contributing to increased suffering and discomfort for the resident.^[185] The aged care team needs to be able to initiate discussions with residents and their families about the pros and cons of artificial feeding and hydration^[187,188] (Level EO; Level EO). Additionally, the aged care team needs to be aware that the family may require support when they are faced with making such decisions on their relative's behalf^[185] (Level IV).

Adequate discussion with the resident and their family regarding nutritional and hydration needs may help to alleviate the psychological and social distress often experienced. The aged care team member assisting with feeding should be seated at eye-level with the resident and take time to establish and maintain a relationship with the resident to create an atmosphere that is conducive to relaxing the resident. This approach to feeding enhances the resident's nutritional intake and improves his / her social well-being^[104] (Level III-1).

Nonetheless, many people who are dying often receive intravenous fluids when they are no longer able to maintain a normal fluid balance.^[189] The main reason for this appears to be a belief that dehydration in a person close to death is distressing. However, professionals providing a palliative approach generally consider that artificial nutrition and hydration may be detrimental.^[185,186,189,190]

One study surveyed 102 nurses regarding their beliefs as to why some individuals that they had cared for, who were receiving a palliative approach chose to stop eating and drinking^[191] (Level QE). The nurses reported that some people, particularly older persons, refused food and fluids because they were ready to die, no longer found meaning in living, and considered that their quality of life was poor. The average time of death from when food and fluids were ceased was 15 days.

Careful attention to the nutritional intake of residents in RACFs is both a clinical issue and a quality-of-life issue.

Assessment

Recognising the varied risk factors for poor nutritional status in RACF residents is the key to successful assessment and management strategies^[187] (Level EO). Therefore, an assessment of potential causes should be made with a view to identifying malnutrition and reversing the causes^[187] (Level EO).

Morley and Silver (1995)^[187] developed a mnemonic called “MEALS ON WHEELS” to assist aged care team members in identifying reversible causes of malnutrition in RACF residents. With some adaptation to the Australian RACF context (see following table), this mnemonic may be appropriate for aged care team members to consider a multi-factorial approach to residents’ poor nutrition.

TABLE 11: MEALS ON WHEELS: TREATABLE CAUSES OF MALNUTRITION IN RACF RESIDENTS^[187]
M edication
E motional problems (depression)
A norexia tardive (nervosa); Alcoholism
L ate-life paranoia
S wallowing disorders
O ral factors
N ot culturally appropriate (the food; the presentation; the environment)
W andering and other dementia-related behaviours
H yperthyroidism, hyperparathyroidism, hypoadrenalism
E nteric problems (malabsorption)
E ating problems (resident’s inability to feed independently; tremors resulting in spillage)
L ow-salt, low-cholesterol diets (therapeutic diets)
S ocially inappropriate (the food; the environment; lack of interaction; inappropriate positioning of resident)

Metabolic disorders such as hyperthyroidism are often overlooked when attempting to determine the cause for weight loss. Detection of such conditions involves determining the individual’s serum albumin, with decreases being a potentially positive indicator.^[187] Other reversible causes that are often not considered include chronic infections, alcoholism (nutrient malabsorption or reduced nutritional intake), oral health factors, and the use of therapeutic diets (e.g. low salt, low-cholesterol diets; see Glossary for definition).^[178,192]

The diets of residents should also be considered as potential causes for nutritional problems. Studies have shown that weight loss, low albumin levels and postural changes (orthostatism) are associated with therapeutic diets^[178] (Level QE) and therefore these diets should be avoided whenever possible for RACF residents. Low blood levels for a variety of vitamins have been found in many residents in RACFs^[187] (Level EO). Low levels of Vitamins B1, B2 and C have been associated with cognitive dysfunction. Supplementation of thiamine has improved cognitive function for older persons in RACFs with vitamin deficiencies^[187] (Level EO). Assessment of blood levels for these vitamins in residents is warranted because the treatment of these deficiencies is not aggressive and the potential benefits, such as increased cognitive function, are worthwhile.

There are many nutritional assessment tools available for use with older persons; however, most of these tools have not been validated and generally explore only the physiological factors associated with malnutrition such as the Body Mass Index (weight in kg/[height in m]²), triceps skin fold thickness and arm muscle circumference (measures of anthropometry), and history of recent weight loss^[176] (Level II). One tool that has been validated for use for nutritional screening of RACF residents and which does not require laboratory tests is the Mini-Nutritional Assessment (MNA).^[193] Further testing of this tool is required to determine whether it is appropriate for use with residents requiring a palliative approach.

Pharmacological management

Oral nutrition via a diligent hand-feeding program, rather than nasogastric enteral feeds, is best practice management for older persons^[176] (Level II) and for those receiving a palliative approach;^[194] however, a thorough assessment for dysphagia should first be undertaken^[104] (Level III-1). The preferences of the resident should guide the dietary selection and the amount of nourishment^[195] (Level IV). A multidisciplinary team is helpful in encouraging oral nutrition. For example, the aged care team and family members can provide information on the resident's likes and dislikes, lifelong food habits, and identification of swallowing problems^[187] (Level EO). A dietician, in collaboration with other relevant members of the aged care team, can then plan meals in accordance with the resident's cultural diversity, preferences and swallowing ability.

Evidence supporting the effectiveness of nutritional supplementation exists for oral protein and energy feeds, but the reviewers considered that the strength of this evidence was weak^[196] (Level II). However, not all residents are willing or able to consume oral nutrition or nutritional supplements. Therefore, efforts to encourage residents to eat for comfort and enjoyment and to provide assistance with feeding, if required, is considered best practice^[185,194] (Level IV; Level EO).

Enteral feeding to prevent the development of severe protein energy malnutrition is advocated providing it is used early in the management of a resident's nutrition^[187] (Level EO). A recent systemic review examined the evidence from 31 RCT and quasi-RCT studies for improvement in the nutritional status and clinical outcomes when protein and energy food were used (generally in the form of commercial 'sip-feeds')^[176] (Level II). The reviewers concluded that supplementation appeared to produce a small but consistent gain and that there was a significant effect on mortality. However, the reviewers tempered their conclusion by cautioning that the time scales of most studies were too short to detect realistically differences in morbidity,

functional status and quality of life. They also stated that the challenges faced by medical practitioners to fulfil individual needs and preferences, both organisational and practical, were not considered by any of the studies. Therefore, the gathering of additional data was recommended based upon large-scale multi-centre trials to clarify any perceived benefits from protein and energy supplements on mortality rates.

Another systematic review examined the benefits of protein energy supplementation in adults (predominantly aged 70+), which included studies of oral supplementation, modification of food constituents to increase energy density, and studies of enteral feeding^[197] (Level II). The reviewers concluded that weight and nutritional indices of adults might be improved by routine nutritional supplementation. However, no reduction in mortality was found for nutritional supplementation. The reviewers also noted that there remain uncertainties as to whether supplements routinely provided can improve outcomes, particularly over longer periods of time. Neither of the systematic reviews considered a palliative approach as a variable, so the results may not be transferable to the aged care population. Further research is required.

Individuals with advanced dementia who have difficulty eating or swallowing are often given enteral feeding to prevent aspiration pneumonia, ulcers or malnutrition.^[195] However, there is no evidence that tube feeding makes a resident with advanced dementia more comfortable, provides any benefit (including longer survival), or prevents aspiration pneumonia^[195] (Level IV). Several studies have found that tube feeding is a risk factor for pneumonia and morbidity^[195] (Level IV). Concern exists that enteral and parenteral nutrition therapies are too aggressive and, therefore, do not fit within the philosophy of a palliative approach^[185,187,190,198,199] (Level IV; Level EO; Level QE; Level EO; Level EO).

Tube feeding

Continuing percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) feeding when death is imminent may actually cause discomfort due to the body's limited capacity to tolerate such intake at this time^[190,199] (Level QE; Level EO). If the resident has been receiving sustenance via a PEG tube then reviewing the benefits of this treatment should be discussed with the resident's doctor^[190] (Level QE).

In making an informed decision about tube feeding, the resident's best interest and preferences are the cornerstone. When residents cannot speak for themselves, it is best to gather as much information as possible from those who have known them best such as family members. This proxy approach to decision making does not ensure that the interests of the vulnerable resident are guaranteed, but it does reduce the likelihood that the values of one individual will dominate.

Often the dilemma that families face concerns regarding when to discontinue PEG feeds once they have begun^[187,199] (Level EO; Level EO). Having family members involved in the decision making process to pre-empt such concerns of the family members by recording what interventions they consider that the resident would prefer. Everyone involved in the decision-making process needs to be aware that withholding or withdrawing treatments is legally and ethically sound if the decision is to be based on fully informed consent.^[185,188]

To assist in such a discussion, a decision aid has been devised (see table below) that can be used for family members of residents that are cognitively impaired and for those residents who are cognitively intact and / or their family members^[188] (Level EO). The aim of this aid is to help residents and their families decide whether to pursue tube feeding. There are three basic components: (1) information on options and outcomes; (2) steps to decision making that are based on the resident's preferences, personal values and clinical situation; and (3) a documented treatment plan designed to put these steps into operation^[188] (Level EO).

<i>Information to be provided to the resident and family should include:</i>	<i>Steps to decision making should include:</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Common causes of eating and swallowing problems in older persons: • Technical considerations regarding the placement and use of PEG tubes: • Principles of substitute / proxy decision making (if not already discussed): • The risks and benefits of tube feeding: • The option of supportive / comfort care (e.g. hand feeding; responsive to resident's requests regarding the need for, or the refusal of, food and fluids); and • Some considerations regarding future discontinuation of PEG tube (e.g. when and how often will the need for the PEG tube be reviewed; who can request a review / discontinuation and is there a process for this). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guiding the resident and their family through what they have learned about PEG tubes: • How to apply this knowledge to the resident's preferences, personal values, and clinical situation: • What is the resident's situation? • What would the resident want? • How is the decision affecting the family? • What questions need answering before the resident / or their family can make a fully informed decision? • Who should decide about PEG placement? • When should the PEG be disbanded; and • What is the resident's / or his / her family's overall thoughts about the decision?

Non-pharmacological management

Numerous nutritional benefits have been noted when frail residents have been involved in a simple exercise program such as walking; for example, enhanced appetite, improved protein intake, improved bowel function (decreased constipation), and a decreased likelihood of glucose intolerance ^[187] (Level EO). However, some caution should be observed, because the population that was studied was not receiving a palliative approach to their care.

The use of more expensive programs, such as high-intensity or endurance (strength-building), with frail residents is not recommended, due to a lack of significant beneficial results for participants involved in studies of these programs^[200] (Level II).

Guideline: Nutrition		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
21. Good nutritional care requires an individualised approach that includes early recognition of weight loss and the identification and management of likely causes (e.g. adverse medication effects, poor oral health or depression). This careful attention to assessment and management of residents' nutritional requirements improves quality of life.	176	II
	181	IV
22. Giving residents oral foods and fluid, even in small amounts, is preferable to using more invasive enteral (e.g. nasogastric or PEG) feeding methods. However, a dysphagia assessment is essential to provide direction for oral feeding.	176 104	II III-1
23. The aged care team member assisting with feeding should be seated at eye-level with the resident and take time to establish and maintain a relationship with the resident to create an atmosphere that is conducive to relaxing the resident. This approach to feeding enhances the resident's nutritional intake and improves his / her social well-being.	104	III-1

6.4.2 Hydration

Dehydration is the loss of normal body water. It is a medical condition determined by chemical tests and is usually treated by medical procedures. Dehydration should not be confused with thirst. Thirst can be treated without medical intervention and generally does not respond to medical treatments. Instead, thirst is best treated by small amounts of fluid or ice chips (finely crushed) and careful attention to keeping the resident's mouth and lips moist^[185] (Level IV) (See Section 6.9, 'Mouth care').

One study found no statistically significant relationship between the level of hydration of the patient and symptoms such as feeling thirsty and having a dry mouth ^[201] (Level QE). The authors suggested that artificial hydration may therefore be pointless in people with end-stage disease whose bodies are shutting down.

A systematic review of the evidence for maintaining oral hydration in older people found that there was insufficient evidence to determine the risk factors for dehydration and decreased fluids or the optimum amount of oral fluids. More research is required to determine the optimum, non-invasive method of maintaining adequate hydration in older people^[202] (Level II).

Assessment

Dehydration has a variety of clinical signs, including dry skin and mucous membranes, thickened secretions, decreased urine output, postural hypotension, headaches, cramps, irritability, drowsiness, constipation, weight loss, disorientation and orthostatic hypotension (changes in blood pressure due to postural change).^[201] Many of these clinical signs occur for other reasons, such as medication side effects, prolonged bed rest, mouth breathing and the use of oral supplements^[203] (Level QE). However, if several of these signs appear together or are different from the resident's usual presentation, dehydration may be the cause. Additionally, when assessing the resident, the aged care team should be aware that clinical signs might not

appear until dehydration is far advanced. Therefore, early assessment of signs of dehydration may be important to consider (e.g. high sodium, high blood-urea-nitrogen, and creatinine).

A systematic review was conducted that examined the existing clinical evidence regarding the effects of fluid status and fluid therapy on the dying; however, no RCTs or higher quality studies were located.^[204] Given the limitations in the studies reviewed, it was considered impossible to draw firm conclusions regarding clinical care. The only suggestion regarding management was to assess each person's individual circumstances, including the person's and their family's wishes, in order to formulate recommendations regarding fluid therapy for that person^[204] (Level IV).

Although there is some evidence that dehydration can increase the risk of ulcers and constipation there is no evidence to date that rehydration makes people more comfortable^[205] (Level IV). Rehydration may also have a negative effect on cognition and anecdotal evidence suggests that improved cognition has been reported after withdrawal of the hydrating process^[206] (Level EO). Intravenous hydration may have negative psychological effects in that the infusion acts as a barrier between the resident and the family. It is more difficult to embrace a person who is attached to a plastic tube, and doctors and nurses tend to become diverted from the more human aspects of care in order to concentrate on the control of fluid balance and electrolytes when these interventions are used.^[189]

A possible indication for rehydration is that the resident feels dry despite good mouth care. Dry mouth is a common problem in many people and is related not only to dehydration but also to other causes such as drugs, oxygen therapy, candidiasis and mouth breathing^[189] (Level EO). Thus, artificial hydration alone is unlikely to resolve the symptom of dry mouth in most people^[201] (Level QE). Additionally, individual's who are dying may not have any sensation of thirst and should hydration be required it can be successfully alleviated with small sips of liquid and adequate mouth care^[185] (Level IV) or finely crushed ice chips^[207] (Level EO).

Management

Regular presentation of fluids to bedridden older people can maintain adequate hydration status^[202] (Level II). A US study was conducted to explore whether the regular presentation of fluids to 51 residents would result in an increased consumption of oral hydration^[208] (Level IV). The intervention involved the use of two colourful beverage carts (1 yellow, 1 red, because older people can see these two colours best), which contained a variety of cold and hot beverages that were dispensed in colourful plastic cups. (The cart was also decorated in accordance with the season or any relevant holidays.) The results showed a significant increase in the fluid intake for most of the residents, an increase in the number of bowel movements, a significant decrease in the number of laxatives residents required, a decline in the number of falls, and a decrease in cost during the hydration period (presentation of regular fluids via two beverage carts)^[208] (Level IV). This simple, cost-effective intervention resulted in a variety of improvements for those residents who were able to swallow fluids and it is likely that similar results would be obtained by applying this approach to Australian residents. However, for those residents unable to swallow other approaches need to be determined.

Another study explored whether the fluid intake of 130 incontinent residents could be improved using a behavioural intervention that consisted of verbal prompts and beverage preference compliance^[209] (Level III-1). The authors' reported that the intervention was effective in increasing fluid intake for most of the residents; however, different approaches were required depending on the resident's severity of the cognitive impairment. For example, verbal prompting alone increased fluid intake for those with more severe cognitive impairment, but the same result was not found for those with less impairment who only increased their fluid intake when they received their preferred drink.

The decision regarding rehydration should focus on the resident's unique circumstances, including the wishes of the resident and his / her family^[204,210] (Level IV; Level EO). The comfort of the resident is the primary goal, rather than providing optimal hydration^[185,190] (Level IV; Level QE). Factors important to family members when considering artificial hydration are issues of symptom distress, ethics, emotional considerations, information exchange and culture^[211] (Level QE). A timely discussion that includes family members, the aged care team, and where possible the resident is required with the aims being to:^[211]

- attempt to determine the resident's and his / her family member's wishes and preferences regarding artificial hydration;
- provide information about the pros and cons of artificial hydration, including any limitations in our understanding about this process;
- recognise and explore family members concerns that they might have that have not been spoken.

For each resident, the solutions and decisions will vary; however, some key principles are listed in the following table that can guide the resident, the family and the aged care team in making decisions in this situation.

TABLE 13: PRINCIPLES TO GUIDE DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS REGARDING HYDRATION^[206]

In planning for care, and introducing a palliative approach, explore with resident and family their wishes in the event that the resident is not being able to maintain hydration. These wishes should be clearly documented and regularly reviewed over time with the resident and family.

- Identify cause(s) for inability to maintain hydration.
- Where possible, and if the resident wishes, treat reversible causes.
- Review the resident's prognosis and the goals of treatment.
- Assess whether the decrease in intake is having a negative impact on quality of life.
- Consider simple non-invasive interventions first.
- In the event a more invasive intervention is considered necessary, establish review time lines and indications for withdrawal before commencing therapy.
- Regardless of the interventions instigated, oral discomfort can be treated with good oral care.

Guideline: Hydration		REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
24.	Recommendations regarding fluid therapy that are based on an ongoing assessment of each resident's circumstances, including the resident's and family's treatment preferences, improve the resident's and family's satisfaction with the care that is provided.	204	IV
25.	Regular presentation of fluids that include strategies such as a colourful beverage cart, verbal prompting or complying with residents preferences will increase the amount of oral fluid intake for those residents able to have oral hydration.	202	II
		209	III-1
		208	IV
26.	Frequent small sips of fluids and adequate mouth care can reduce the resident's sensation of thirst and oral discomfort that is associated with dehydration.	185	IV

6.5 ANOREXIA

Anorexia, or a reduced desire to eat, may occur for some residents. Anorexia can be intermittent and associated with other medical problems, such as infection, constipation or depression^[183,187] (Level EO; Level EO). This condition can also be chronic, leading to problems with nutritional state, weakness and increasing debility. In severe cases anorexia can be associated with Cachexia, a complex syndrome associated with muscle wasting and weight loss (see following Section 6.6, 'Cachexia').

The prevalence of anorexia in RACFs is not known in Australia. However, North American studies indicated that 30–50% of high-care residents had substandard body weight and mid-arm circumference, and low serum albumin levels^[183,212] (Level EO; Level II). While these findings are not necessarily applicable to Australian RACFs, they do suggest the prevalence of potential nutritional problems.

As with any symptom, the decision about how and if to treat entails balancing the potential benefits against adverse effects while recognising the resident's wishes for treatment and exploration of simple measures.

6.6 CACHEXIA

Cachexia has been defined as a complex syndrome that combines weight loss, lipolysis, loss of muscle and visceral protein, anorexia, chronic nausea and weakness.^[194] The prevalence of Cachexia in the general population of older persons is not known. However, studies indicate that Cachexia is likely to be predictive of morbidity^[212] (Level II).

Although Cachexia is most commonly associated with cancer, it is also associated with chronic heart failure, renal failure and dementia. Significant cachexia in older persons is related to poorer prognosis^[212] (Level II). The Cachexia syndrome is a source of psychological distress for individuals and family members, and prompts attempts to supplement the nutritional status of the resident. Most people see weight loss as a sign of decline and may begin more aggressive questioning about their relative's prognosis.^[183] Family members may experience more anxiety

at this time and may require some education regarding the nutritional needs of the resident^[183] (Level EO). The family can also be helped to understand the importance of not force-feeding their family member, in order to avoid the resulting nausea and psychological distress for the resident^[185] (Level IV). (See Section 6.4, ‘Nutrition and hydration’, for further discussion of enteral and parental nutrition).

6.6.1 Assessment

A diagnosis is required to determine the cause for the resident’s loss of appetite and weight. It is important to differentiate between simply reversible causes for anorexia and weight loss (e.g. depression, infection, thyroid problems, mouth problems, poor caloric intake) and the anorexia / cachexia associated with illnesses such as cancer, heart failure and dementia, which is not simply reversible. This diagnosis can be made from the resident’s clinical history, presence of substantial weight loss, physical examination and laboratory tests.^[198] Metabolic abnormalities are the main causes of malnutrition, but decreased caloric intake and malabsorption can contribute to the syndrome.^[212] A nutritionist can help assess the resident’s nutritional status and advise regarding dietary options to maximise nutritional intake^[212] (Level II).

6.6.2 Management

The management of anorexia and cachexia should be multidisciplinary and individualised^[187] (Level EO). Residents should be encouraged to have as many calories orally as possible, or, for the frail resident, single nutrients or liquid meal replacements should be tried before invasive techniques are used^[176,187] (Level II; Level EO), if this is in accordance with the resident’s preferences. Management is also aimed at providing psychological support and improving quality of life^[185,198] (Level IV; Level EO).

6.6.3 Corticosteroids

No evidence to date has shown a significant effect between treatment groups for the use of corticosteroids.^[187] Some uncontrolled studies have suggested that corticosteroids (e.g. dexamethasone) may stimulate appetite, but these effects are only temporary and are not associated with a gain in weight. Prolonged corticosteroid treatment has been associated with significant side effects, including hyperglycemias, weakness, delirium, osteoporosis and immunosuppression^[198] (Level EO). The use of corticosteroids is therefore not recommended based on the lack of benefits when compared with the potential side effects.

Guideline: Cachexia		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
27. A review of a resident’s clinical history, the presence of substantial weight loss, laboratory tests and physical examination are required to make an accurate diagnosis of cachexia.	212	II
28. For frail residents with substantial weight loss who are unable to have sufficient oral calories, a trial of single nutrients or liquid meal replacements is an appropriate alternative.	176	II

6.7 NAUSEA AND VOMITING

Nausea is the unpleasant feeling of the need to vomit. Vomiting is the forceful expulsion of gastric contents from the mouth.^[213] Nausea can occur without vomiting (the reverse is also true). For example, if a resident says they feel sick but they have not vomited, then the resident has only nausea. This distinction facilitates accurate assessment and management, because there are differential diagnoses for nausea and vomiting.

Although nausea and vomiting are common among individuals with advanced cancer, little is known about the prevalence of these symptoms in RACF residents. Residents often experience a range of co-morbidities that can cause nausea and vomiting, including renal failure and cardiac failure.^[214] The causes of nausea and vomiting may be related to the slowing of gastrointestinal motility due to colonic (large bowel) slowing or gastroparesis (slowing of the upper gastrointestinal tract) from medications or poor neuromuscular coordination. This is particularly the case for residents with diabetes, residents who have had a stroke and have nerve damage around the pharynx and epiglottis or positional changes due to weight loss in the neck that affects the upper pharynx.

Nausea may also occur as a side effect of a number of medications commonly used in RACFs. Consequently, the potential causes of nausea are usually numerous and a full history and clinical examination are necessary. It is estimated that a major cause of nausea in residents in RACFs is constipation, and secondary causes include reduced fluid intake, low fibre diet, decreased mobility, or medication. Both pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches have been found to be effective in treating these symptoms^[214] (Level EO).

6.7.1 Assessment

The resident needs to be properly assessed to determine the cause of nausea and/or vomiting. This should involve the family, where possible, to gain a history of the resident's eating habits and previous nutritional difficulties, if any. During this assessment the family can also be educated about the causes of nausea and/or vomiting and what treatment, if any, the resident desires.

Before the prescription of antiemetic drugs, the resident's environment should be assessed to reduce the stimulus to nausea.^[214] Such stimuli could include cooking smells and unpleasant odours. Mannix (1998)^[214] includes the following steps for an appropriate antiemetic strategy:

- Determine the probable cause(s) of nausea and/or vomiting;
- Determine a suitable route of administration to ensure that the drug reaches its site of action; and
- Ensure careful titration of the dose, frequent reviews of the resident and regular provision of the antiemetic.

6.7.2 Management

Pharmacological management

It is often not possible to identify or correct the underlying cause of nausea and/or vomiting when a person is in the end stages of life. However, when causes are known, the potential benefit of any intervention should not outweigh the burden. If the cause is gastrointestinal,

such as poor gastric emptying, then a prokinetic agent (e.g. metoclopramide, cisapride or domperidone) should be used. Should constipation be the cause, then promethazine should be effective. Hyperacidity can produce considerable nausea, heartburn, acidity or a bitter taste and has been associated with vomiting. Treatment of hyperacidity can be accomplished by nonabsorbable antacids (magnesium plus aluminium hydroxide preparations), antacid plus alginate preparations, histamine H₂-receptor antagonist (e.g. ranitidine) or a proton pump inhibitor (e.g. omeprazole). The *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*^[109] provide recommended dosages for these medications.

A recent systematic review of the advanced cancer literature on nausea concluded that there were few well-designed studies which could be used to develop guidelines for the management of nausea^[215] (Level II). For the gerontological literature, the same finding would also apply. Consequently, the management of nausea and vomiting will continue to be based on expert opinion until such studies are conducted.

6.8 DYSPHAGIA

Dysphagia, or difficulty in swallowing, can lead to significant weight loss for residents in RACFs. Dysphagia is associated with a large number of neurological conditions, such as cerebral vascular accidents, Parkinson's disease, dementia, multiple sclerosis and motor neurone disease^[104] (Level III-1). The risk factors identified include neurological conditions, an altered state of consciousness, decreased cognitive ability, decreased alertness and attention span, increased impulsiveness or agitation, some medications, and advanced age^[104] (Level III-1).

The signs of dysphagia may include:^[216]

- difficulty swallowing;
- facial droop;
- choking when eating or drinking;
- coughing before, during or after swallowing food or liquids;
- refusal to open the mouth and/or accept a large bite of food; and
- retention of food in the mouth or pharynx.

6.8.1 Assessment

A resident at risk of swallowing problems requires early assessment and referral to a speech pathologist or GP^[104] (Level III-1). In rural and remote areas where access to speech pathologists may not be readily available, qualified nurses can be educated to perform screening assessments of the resident's ability to swallow in order to identify early signs of dysphagia.^[105] Assessment should involve asking the resident, and/or their family, if there is any difficulty swallowing food or liquids. Questions that could be used during this assessment might include:

- Do you choke when eating or drinking?
- Do you experience coughing associated with eating or drinking?
- Does food ever stick in your throat?

- Do you have any problem controlling your saliva?
- Does food ever escape from your mouth?

It is also important for the aged care team to be aware that residents who aspirate do not always present clinical symptoms of dysphagia.^[105]

The following recommendations regarding assessment are suggested^[104] (Level III-1):

- knowledge of risk factors and signs and symptoms is essential for early detection and management; and
- once an individual has been identified as having, or being at risk of having, dysphagia, they must be referred for further assessment to a medical practitioner or speech pathologist.

6.8.2 Management

Dysphagia should be managed in accordance with the agreed goals of care as determined in collaboration with the resident, their family and carers. In addition, the aged care team will require education on how to feed residents with swallowing disorders. Inadequate staffing can lead to an overburdened aged care team having insufficient time to assist residents who do not eat quickly, and can lead to labelling such residents as ‘uncooperative’, ‘lazy’ or ‘combative’^[216] (Level QE). The following suggestions for management of dysphagia may be beneficial^[104] (Level III-1):

- A formalised multidisciplinary management program should be used to help in promoting early recognition, appropriate management and prevention of complications;
- Early and prompt referral should be made to a speech pathologist for assessment and guidance on management strategies;
- The aged care team should ensure that the texture, consistency and type of food and fluid are as prescribed; and
- The aged care team should ensure that feeding techniques are undertaken in accordance with the specific methods recommended by the speech pathologist or physician, and they should also be aware of the safe feeding techniques generally recommended for individuals with neurogenic dysphagia.

Dysphagia can be managed through various interventions that can reduce the risk of aspiration. During meal times, residents need to be physically well supported in an upright position^[104] (Level III-1). Where possible, they should remain in this position for at least one hour following meals to prevent regurgitation of food from the stomach into the oesophagus (oesophageal reflux). Residents with dysphagia tire easily; therefore, adequate time needs to be allowed for them to be fed safely while they are fully awake and alert^[216] (Level QE). After the meal, residents should have their mouths inspected to ensure that food that could be aspirated does not remain in their cheeks^[104] (Level III-1). Education on how to perform emergency measures is important for the aged care team because residents with swallowing disorders may aspirate. A suction machine and oxygen should also be readily available.

Guideline: Dysphagia		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
29. A formalised multidisciplinary management program, which includes input from a speech pathologist, is beneficial in promoting early recognition, appropriate management and prevention of complications associated with dysphagia.	104	III-1
30. Safe feeding techniques that are recommended by a speech pathologist or physician, which include the method of feeding and the texture, consistency, and type of food and fluid, should be undertaken as prescribed to reduce the resident's risk of aspiration.	104	III-1

6.9 MOUTH CARE

Studies have revealed a high incidence of poor oral health in residents of RACFs^[217,218] (Level EO; Level QE). There are many explanations as to why residents are likely to have poor oral health. Ageing itself can contribute to oral complications due to changes in the mouth (such as in tooth structure), wear and tear causing chewing surfaces to become smooth and enamel to be lost, and decreased or increased levels of salivation. Gum recession also creates 'pockets' where food debris and micro-organisms can collect, causing periodontal disease^[219] (Level QE). Increased oral problems may occur due to medications (including oxygen therapy), mouth breathing, particular medical conditions and poorly fitting dentures. In one RCT, oral side effects were noted in persons receiving treatment for cancer^[220] (Level I), and other RCTs have been conducted for people with cancer regarding best practice interventions for the prevention of mouth ulcers (oral mucositis). However, it is not known whether these interventions are transferable to older persons who do not have cancer as their major illness.

Poor oral health for residents in RACFs is considered to contribute to problems with eating and the low nutrient and vitamin C levels found^[218] (Level QE). When combined with a declined ability to communicate, and functional debility, it was found that residents were more likely to have a very poor oral status^[217] (Level EO). Plaque retention, sore or fissured tongues, and oral ulceration were considered the main problems for residents regarding oral health^[218] (Level QE). Poor oral health was also attributed to:^[217,218]

- RACFs not implementing a systematic approach to dental care;
- Not implementing an evidence-based approach to dental care; and
- Reluctance to provide mouth care to residents.

The aged care team needs to understand the components of a healthy mouth in order to promote good oral care practices^[219] (Level QE). A resident with a 'healthy mouth' is able to chew food adequately, has an absence of plaque and debris, has adequate levels of salivation, and regularly cleanses by mechanical means (assistance may be required).

6.9.1 Assessment

A thorough oral assessment is required to provide the basis for sound management of oral care and to facilitate prevention or minimisation of oral complications^[221] (Level IV). Although a number of assessment tools have been devised, there are concerns that many of these lack reliability and validity, limiting their clinical utility^[221] (Level IV). However, the D-E-N-T-A-L screening tool was found to have reasonable validity and reliability for assessing severe periodontal and denture needs, though it was not sensitive to identifying persons with severe need for denture-related care^[222] (Level IV).

The D-E-N-T-A-L is a self-report questionnaire that looks at the older person’s perception of dental treatment needs.^[223] It is not an assessment tool; rather it helps to determine the individual’s need for referral for further evaluation. The older person completes the assessment by responding ‘yes’ or ‘no’ to the following areas of concern:

Assessment item	Point value
Dry mouth	2
Eating difficulty	1
No recent dental care (within 2 years)	1
Tooth or mouth pain	2
Alternation or change in food selection	1
Lesions, sores or lumps in the mouth	2
SCORE	

For each ‘yes’ the point value is given (‘no’ = 0). Positive items are then calculated, which provides a score. A score greater than 2 points indicates that a dental problem exists that might affect the resident’s wellbeing.^[223] The D-E-N-T-A-L is appropriate for use with older persons with no cognitive impairment; however, further research is required before the tool can be used for people with advanced dementia. Similarly, further testing is required to determine the validity and reliability if the tool is completed by anyone other than the older person.

One further tool that has reasonable reliability and validity^[222] (Level IV) is the single-item self-report Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index to dental care (GOHAI).^[224] The GOHAI uses a single question: “How would you describe the health of your teeth and gums? Would you say it is excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?”^[224] The responses are then scored from 1 to 5, 1 for ‘excellent’ through to 5 for ‘poor’. This single question was better than the D-E-N-T-A-L at identifying people with a severe need for denture related care, severe dental need or severe periodontal need^[222] (Level IV). Scores of 4 or 5 were found to be the most accurate for identifying dental care needs and a suitable cut-off point for ensuring that referrals for dental evaluation and treatment were made^[222] (Level IV).

The GOHAI could be an appropriate screening tool to include in a resident’s assessment, given its brevity. However, as with the D-E-N-T-A-L, the GOHAIs appropriateness for older persons with advanced dementia has not been determined and further research is required. In the interim, the most appropriate screening tool for use with residents is the GOHAI, due to

the tools accuracy for identifying older persons who do not require care, which the D-E-N-T-A-L is unable to do^[222] (Level IV).

Irrespective of which tool is the most appropriate, clear standards are required regarding routine mouth care. These standards need to address denture care, ‘natural’ teeth care, plaque removal, tongue care, requisite materials for mouth care, regular oral screening, pathways for referrals, and types of oral treatments available^[217] (Level EO). Assessment should include the fit of dentures, moistness of the oral cavity, presence of gum inflammation, infections such as *Candida*, and presence of mucosal lesions or ulcers. Those most at risk of poor oral care are residents who are reluctant to eat and drink, who have lost their thirst reflex and are dehydrated, or are receiving medications (e.g. antidepressants, antihypertensives, antipsychotics, diuretics, narcotic analgesics) that exacerbate oral problems, i.e. xerostomia (dry mouth; see Glossary).

The fundamental principles for oral care are that it must be individualised and that the frequency of care is more important than the use of specialised agents. Individualised assessment helps determine specific needs and the level of self-care the resident is capable of, which should then guide any adaptations of standard practices^[217] (Level EO).

6.9.2 Management

No single intervention is appropriate for oral care of residents receiving a palliative approach. Instead, a range of simple measures is the most effective in managing and improving oral care. These measures, when instigated early, can prevent and reduce oral complications. Regular oral hygiene, including cleansing with water, is essential for the resident’s comfort. Despite the number of commercial products available, research has shown that rinsing with water, cleansing with a soft toothbrush and toothpaste, and regular soaking of dentures in a weak non-toxic solution are the most effective oral-cleansing agents^[225] (Level II).

A multidisciplinary approach is preferable, with collaboration between the aged care team, dental services, occupational therapists and dieticians to ensure that the aged care team are supported in their practice of oral care for residents^[217] (Level EO). Improved referral and feedback procedures are also required to ensure that all the aged care team are aware of these protocols. A continuing education program for the aged care team (of all levels) is also recommended to promote good oral care practices^[217] (Level EO).

Guideline:	Mouth Care	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
31.	Good oral hygiene, regular assessment, cleansing of dentures and oral fluids can reduce oral complications.	221	IV
32.	Oral health assessments that include the question, “How would you describe the health of your teeth and gums? Would you say it is excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?” for residents who are able to respond increases accuracy in identifying residents who require further evaluation and dental treatment.	222	IV
33.	Rinsing the mouth with water and cleansing teeth with a soft toothbrush and toothpaste is an effective oral cleansing routine that is cost-efficient and reduces the resident’s risk of oral mucositis (mouth ulcers).	225	II

6.10 SKIN INTEGRITY

The major skin problems associated with ageing include oedema of limbs, wounds and ulcers. Normally the goal of wound management is to promote healing; however, in a palliative approach this may not be possible, particularly in terminal care (See Chapter 14, 'End-of-life (terminal) care').

It is anticipated that most care assistants and nurses in RACFs will have considerable experience in providing adequate skin care, so these procedures will not be repeated here. Suffice to say that skin integrity is important for residents receiving a palliative approach. The complexity of maintaining skin integrity with a palliative approach requires a multidisciplinary team intervention that involves family members and carers. Any provision of information for the family and carers must be approached in a sensitive and realistic manner. With this in mind, the treatment for skin integrity should be realistic and agreed to by all concerned.

A recent systematic review of support surfaces for pressure ulcer prevention concluded that higher specification foam mattresses rather than standard foam mattresses could reduce the occurrence of pressure ulcers in people at risk^[226] (Level I). The Reviewers' considered that the benefits of using alternating and constant low pressure devices and different alternating pressure devices (both static and dynamic, i.e., alternating pressure supports, low air-loss mattresses or beds) were unclear due to reviewers' concerns regarding the methodologies used in RCTs. The Reviewers also concluded that there was insufficient evidence to support the merits of overlays (with the exception of Australian medical sheepskins), seat cushions, limb protectors, turning tables, and electrical stimulation (with or without gels) to decrease the incidence of pressure ulcers^[226] (Level I).

Some benefit was found for the use of Australian Medical Sheepskins (natural not synthetic fibre), which are manufactured to conform to Australian standards, for reducing pressure ulcers, particularly for people who were at low to moderate risk^[226] (Level I). Further studies have been conducted and the results appear promising.^[227-229] For example, a recent RCT involving 441 people with an average age of 63 years was conducted to determine the effectiveness of the Australian medical sheepskin in preventing pressure ulcers in a hospital population at low to moderate risk^[227] (Level II). After being formally assessed for the risk of developing ulcers the participants were randomly allocated to the sheepskin group (n = 218) or the referent group (n = 223) to receive usual treatment as determined by the ward staff. Participants in the sheepskin group were found to have developed new pressure ulcers at less than half the rate of those in the usual treatment group. Although the setting for this study was acute care, the average age of the participants (M = 62.1 years) suggests that this approach may be appropriate for residents in aged care settings; however, further research in aged care is required.

Although it has been common practice to turn the resident every two hours to prevent ulcers, a more suitable approach is based on the individual resident's assessed need. To determine the appropriateness of such practices the following questions have been proposed ^[230] (Level EO):

- Is it essential to alter the position of the resident?
- Will the intervention cause more discomfort than is necessary?
- Can we use other alternatives such as Australian Medical Sheepskins? (See also Chapter 14, End-of-life Care for further discussion of comfort associated with frequent position changes).

6.10.1 Oedema of the limbs

Assessment

The limbs should be examined for any signs of swelling. Residents and their families can also indicate if they perceive that any limbs seem more swollen than normal. Assessment should include investigations to determine low albumin levels, which are associated with loss of protein.

Management

Oedema of the limbs can cause the resident, family members and carers concern, but there is sometimes little that can be done to resolve the swelling. Support for the family might include providing them with information on the causes of the oedema, as well as involving the family in using techniques that may yield some relief. Some of the suggested options could include^[230] (Level EO):

- elevation of the swollen limbs;
- daily or more frequent washing (only if necessary);
- minimal handling;
- a bed cradle;
- pain relief;
- leaving limbs uncovered on water-resistant non-stick disposable sheets to protect bed linen (from weeping that may occur from the tissues in extreme cases);
- massage (if the oedema is not extreme), as this might provide some relief for the resident — this can be provided by the family or a massage therapist; and
- dietary interventions — depending on albumin levels, stage of the resident's illness and/or ageing, and their preferences.

6.10.2 Wound care

Assessment

Careful assessment when dressings are changed is essential to identifying infection early. Other areas of assessment relevant to wound care should also be considered, such as pain. (See also Section 6.2, 'Pain management', for a brief discussion of incident pain and recommended use of analgesia for wound care.)

Management

Wound care specialists fulfil an important role as part of a multidisciplinary team as part of a palliative approach in RACFs. They can be consulted for their expertise; however, their services are generally limited to the more populated or metropolitan areas and hence they may be unavailable in rural and remote facilities.

Wounds can occur in residents for a variety of reasons, such as immobility, inadequate skin integrity, trauma and malnutrition. The same principles for wound management in general health care are usually applicable to a palliative approach (see the *Australian Standards for Wound Management*^[231]). However, when devising a treatment plan for wound care the central focus is the preference of the resident and/or their family. Residents in the terminal phase of ageing or illness may be unlikely to have wounds that will heal before they die, but care should remain attentive and be focused on ensuring their comfort.

6.10.3 Ulcers

As it is anticipated that the aged care team will have a sound understanding of the assessment and management of ulcers, this will not be covered in this section. Should further direction be required, contact the Australian Wound Management Association. However, as non-pharmacological interventions are frequently used in the treatment or prevention of ulcers, the literature for this area has been reviewed and is provided below.

Non-pharmacological management

Systematic reviews of RCTs to assess the effectiveness of the use of therapeutic ultrasound^[232] (Level I) and electromagnetic therapy^[233] (Level I) in the treatment of ulcers found no evidence of these therapies being beneficial.

Guideline: Skin Integrity		REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
34.	The use of high specification foam mattresses rather than standard foam mattresses can reduce the incidence of pressure ulcers.	226	I
35.	The use of Australian Medical Sheepskins (natural not synthetic fibre) may be effective in reducing the incidence of pressure ulcers for those people who are at low to moderate risk of developing these ulcers.	226 227	I II

6.11 BOWEL CARE

Bowel symptoms can affect a person's sense of wellbeing and have a negative impact on quality of life. Bowel care is therefore a key component of a palliative approach^[234] (Level QE). There is considerable variation in what are considered 'normal' bowel habits among older people. The bowel habits of each resident should be determined, rather than comparing one resident's bowel habits to that of other residents. Faecal incontinence is associated with immobility, constipation, dementia and stroke for residents in RACFs^[235] (Level II). Bowel changes can increase for residents receiving palliative interventions. The fundamental principles of bowel care in the palliative approach are ongoing assessment, prompt and individually tailored treatments, and minimisation of interventions that can cause loss of dignity.

6.11.1 Assessment

Bowel assessment is an ongoing component of care in all RACFs. Because medications frequently used in a palliative approach can increase the risk of bowel symptoms, including

constipation, increased vigilance by the aged care team is required. A daily assessment of bowel function is required and should include the resident's preferences for treatment, history of bowel habits, and management^[234] (Level QE). Assessment could include consideration of issues such as whether the resident expresses a desire to defecate, whether they are showing signs of discomfort, whether they are eating and drinking, whether the rectum is full, and whether there are skin problems caused by bowel leakage due to overflow from constipation.

Any symptoms of constipation should be considered, as the cause dictates the treatment. Symptoms may include:^[236]

- nausea or vomiting;
- straining during defecation;
- infrequent bowel movements;
- feelings of incomplete emptying after bowel movements;
- frequent small amounts of diarrhoea;
- rectal pain on defecation;
- stomach pain, distension or discomfort (stimulant laxatives, i.e. lactulose, can cause these problems, so it is important to assess if the laxative itself is causing the symptom);
- hard stools; and
- faecal incontinence.

6.11.2 Management

Pharmacological management

Managing constipation requires determining the type of constipation. It may be either primary (or 'simple', i.e. associated with inadequate fibre intake, dehydration, reduced mobility, or withholding faecal evacuation and a reduction in muscle tone), or secondary, which may occur as a result of disease or drug therapy.^[236] The most significant factor for residents receiving a palliative approach is opioid-induced constipation^[234] (Level QE).

Laxatives have been considered as first line treatment for incontinence by RACF staff; however, a recent study involving 21 RACFs found that the use of irritant or osmotic laxatives alone was unsuccessful in this regard^[237] (Level QE). Instead, the authors found that the use of bulk laxatives (i.e. fybogel or regulan) *when combined* with suppositories were associated with the lowest rates of faecal incontinence. The authors recommended that suppositories be used after bowel clearing to prevent recurrent constipation^[237] (Level QE). Additionally, no evidence was found to support the view that bulk laxatives were contraindicated when used for chronic constipation in older, immobile persons^[237] (Level QE). A causal relationship between laxative use and diarrhoea in the immobile older person was found, with a recommendation for the additional use of a bulking agent to reduce the incidence of diarrhoea^[234] (Level QE).

If a laxative must be prescribed for a resident, then a discussion between the doctor and aged care team members to decide on the most appropriate laxative is encouraged^[237] (Level QE).

Brocklehurst and colleagues (1999)^[237] found that the two most commonly used laxatives in RACFs were lactulose (36%) and senna (29%). Senna was considered to be significantly more effective and less expensive, despite the popularity of lactulose; therefore, it is recommended that the cheaper laxative be trialled first ^[235] (Level II). If a laxative is used, compensatory measures for dehydration and electrolyte depletion should also be considered^[237] (Level QE).

Non-pharmacological management

A study of 100 palliative care patients (average age 67) was conducted to determine assessment procedures for bowel care and patient preferences^[234] (Level QE). The results of the study indicated that patients preferred non-pharmacological approaches for their bowel care such as diet, regular gentle exercise, abdominal massage or administration of a hot pack as first-line interventions whereas nurses preferred to use medication (either oral or rectal)^[234] (Level QE). Despite this patient preference for non-pharmacological bowel care management, the effectiveness of most of these approaches has not been validated^[235] (Level II) with the exception of one RCT involving exercise.

An RCT was conducted to examine whether an intervention that combined low-intensity exercise and incontinence care (both urinary and faecal) would be beneficial and result in cost savings to the RACFs^[238] (Level II). The RCT involved 190 incontinent residents from four nursing homes who were randomly assigned to the intervention (Functional Incidental Training [FIT]) group or the control group. In all cases, the intervention group performed significantly better than the control group. For example, the number of residents with constipation or faecal impaction in the control group was significantly higher than for the intervention group for the same periods. This finding indicates that low-intensity exercise combined with incontinence care may be beneficial in reducing the incidence of constipation or faecal impaction for residents, although further research is required with older people who are receiving a palliative approach to their care. The use of the FIT intervention, although cheaper, did not result in significant cost savings compared with usual care methods.

Guideline: Bowel Care		REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
36.	Eliciting information that includes a history of residents' bowel habits and their preferences for treatment, an awareness of complementary methods of bowel care (e.g. low-intensity exercise, abdominal massage and hot packs) and better documentation procedures, will improve bowel management and residents' well-being.	235, 238	II
		234, 237	QE
37.	Discussion between the doctor and nursing staff about the most appropriate laxative for use with a resident will enhance management decisions regarding bowel care.	237	QE
38.	The combined use of bulk laxatives and suppositories is associated with the lowest rates of faecal incontinence. The use of suppositories after bowel clearing can prevent recurrent constipation.	237	QE
39.	Where a laxative is required, it is recommended that a cheaper laxative be trialled first and that appropriate compensatory measures should be taken to avoid dehydration and electrolyte depletion.	235	II
		237	QE

6.12 DYSPNOEA

Dyspnoea is defined as an awareness of uncomfortable breathing that can seriously affect quality of life and is frequently associated with the end stage of various diseases, such as cancer, cardiac failure, obstructive airway disease, and neurodegenerative disorders^[160] (Level II). The experience of dyspnoea comes from multiple physiological, psychological, social and environmental factors that can result in secondary physiological and behavioural responses^[239] (Level II). The experience of dyspnoea (i.e. breathlessness) may not be directly attributed to the disease, because other factors such as anxiety exacerbate the symptoms^[240] (Level IV). Dyspnoea directly affects all aspects of a resident's activities of daily living, limits mobility, increases anxiety, and can leave residents feeling fearful and socially isolated^[239] (Level II). It can also be a sign of a deteriorating condition in residents receiving a palliative approach.

Dyspnoea triggers panic, and panic exacerbates dyspnoea, so the pattern becomes cyclical. The evidence suggests that 70% of people receiving a palliative approach experience dyspnoea in the last six weeks of life^[241] (Level QE). In the terminal phase of ageing and/or illness, fear of suffocation may be the most troubling symptom. This may significantly affect the ultimate place of death^[240] (Level IV).

Dyspnoea may also be a distressing and frightening symptom for the family. Advice and support regarding the management of dyspnoea^[239] (Level II) from the aged care team, as well as reassurance and a calm presence, can help lessen the anxiety experienced by the resident and their family. It is important to note that even after a resident's dyspnoea has been relieved family members might require more attention from the aged care team^[241] (Level QE). Family members' perceptions of a resident's suffering can influence how the family makes meaning of, and come to terms with, the dying experience of the resident.

6.12.1 Assessment

A complete history and physical examination will illicit enough information to determine a diagnosis of dyspnoea in most people. The history should cover factors that are likely to have influenced the severity of the symptom^[239] (Level II), including pre-existing illnesses (such as COPD), exacerbating factors (such as anaemia or profound anxiety) and additional factors (such as pulmonary embolism, infection or left ventricular failure).

The resident's perception of dyspnoea can also be measured using a visual analogue scale, with 0 being no shortness of breath and 10 being the worst shortness of breath. This measure provides the aged care team with some understanding of how distressing dyspnoea is for the resident.

6.12.2 Management

The aim of managing dyspnoea for a resident receiving a palliative approach is to minimise suffering and not to prolong life^[241] (Level QE). If there are specific causes of dyspnoea these should be treated in accordance with the resident's preferences. These causes may include cardiac failure, pulmonary embolus, infection, anaemia, arrhythmia, pleural effusion, bronchospasm or pneumothorax. Managing the symptoms of dyspnoea should include supportive care for the resident, family members and their carers, and the use of medications, which may include opioids. A comprehensive plan of care, including ready access to appropriate

medication treatment along with non-pharmacological interventions to reduce psychological distress, may prevent unnecessary transfers to acute care settings of residents with gradually increasing dyspnoea^[240] (Level IV).

Pharmacological management

Pharmacological intervention depends on the early recognition of the symptoms of dyspnoea^[239,242] (Level II; Level I). Pharmacological treatments that have been considered for use with dyspnoea include use of oxygen, sedatives and opioids.

Opioids

A systematic review of studies was conducted to examine the effectiveness of opioid drugs given by any route to relieve the symptoms of dyspnoea in people receiving a palliative approach^[242] (Level I). The reviewers concluded that there was a beneficial effect on the symptom of breathlessness for opioid drugs administered orally or parenterally. However, no effect was found to support the use of nebulised opioids for dyspnoea, and the reviewers concluded that it was hard to justify the continued use of this treatment^[242] (Level I).

An RCT found that people with dyspnoea benefited from sustained-release low-dose oral morphine^[160] (Level II). Opioids decrease the perception of dyspnoea and decrease oxygen consumption. Therefore, opioid therapy is the main intervention recommended in managing dyspnoea.

Oxygen

Initiating a dose (or a change of dose) of oxygen may be contra-indicated (particularly for residents with chronic respiratory condition), and the commencement of oxygen should only be undertaken under the direction of a medical practitioner. Oxygen is often considered to be a non-specific treatment for dyspnoea; however, individuals can become highly dependent on oxygen supplementation to the extent that some people consider it to be their 'lifeline'^[243] (Level EO).

Not all residents with dyspnoea will benefit from oxygen and extreme caution is warranted regarding the use of oxygen supplementation due to its contraindications and risk of dependency for some residents. However, if the use of supplemental oxygen were indicated, then a nasal cannula is recommended, because masks make some residents feel isolated and frightened. An initial 24-hour trial of continuous or intermittent oxygen supplementation is recommended, followed by some form of subjective assessment by the resident to determine the therapy's benefit^[243] (Level EO). However, it should be explained to residents and their families that only a small number of residents benefit from oxygen and other alternatives are available that might be more beneficial (these are discussed below).

Non-pharmacological management

Two studies have been conducted regarding a therapeutic intervention that combined breathing retraining, psychosocial support and development of adaptive strategies for the management of dyspnoea^[239] (Level II);^[244] (Level II). Both studies found that interventions using psychosocial

support, breathing control and learned coping strategies helped individuals to cope with dyspnoea and reduced their physical and emotional distress. Both studies also involved the individual's family members.

Other non-pharmacological interventions that are considered beneficial for reducing the distress of dyspnoea, include^[243] (Level EO):

- reducing the resident's need for exertion;
- propping up the resident;
- having a cool fan blow on the resident's face; and
- physiotherapy.

Guideline: Dyspnoea		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
40. A comprehensive plan of care including ready access to appropriate medication, plus non-pharmacological interventions to reduce psychological distress, may prevent residents with gradually increasing dyspnoea being unnecessarily transferred to hospital.	240	IV
41. A physical examination and a complete history that covers factors that are likely to have influenced the severity of the symptom, including pre-existing illnesses and exacerbating factors will provide sufficient information to accurately determine a diagnosis of dyspnoea.	240	IV
42. Non-pharmacological interventions based on psychosocial support, controlled breathing and learned coping strategies can help residents cope with dyspnoea, which will reduce their physical and emotional distress.	239, 244	II
43. The use of sustained-release low-dose oral morphine administered orally or parenterally can benefit individuals with dyspnoea by reducing the severity of their symptoms and improving the quality of their sleep.	160	II

6.13 COMPLEMENTARY THERAPIES

The National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) in North America defines complementary and alternative therapies as a group of diverse medical and health care systems, practices and products that are not presently considered to be part of conventional medicine.^[245] The Center also distinguishes between 'complementary' and 'alternative' medicines, with complementary medicines being used *together with* conventional medicine and alternative medicines being used *in place of* conventional medicine.

A systematic review of therapies involving complementary and alternative medicines (CAM) for symptom management near the end of life found that, despite a dearth of evidence, there is adequate data to support the use of some CAM therapies.^[246] The authors' conclusions were that for people who were dying or who had cancer:

- CAM therapies were beneficial for those who could not tolerate or did not want to take pain medications;
- CAM therapies were helpful adjuvants to analgesic therapies;

- CAM therapies may be culturally sensitive for many people, and were beneficial in enhancing a person's sense of control;
- For pain management, in addition to using traditional analgesics (including opioids), it may be useful to combine such treatments with acupuncture, TENS, relaxation and imagery, and hypnosis; and
- To treat the underlying causes of dyspnoea (for people with moderate to severe breathing difficulties) and to improve functional capabilities (e.g. walking) it is valuable to use acupuncture, acupressure, muscle relaxation with rebreathing training, and rebreathing training combined with coping strategies^[246] (Level II).

Aromatherapy

Aromatherapy has been considered as an appropriate treatment for the reduction of behavioural disturbances in people with severe dementia. Recent studies of the merits of aromatherapy and aromatherapy massage have been conducted, including some RCTs, which indicate positive effects of aromatherapy with essential oils^[247] (Level II). Ballard, O'Brien, Reichelt, and Perry (2002)^[248] conducted a RCT regarding the use of lemon balm (*Melissa Officinalis*) versus a placebo oil (sunflower oil) with 71 residents in aged care with severe dementia. Sixty percent (n = 21) of those in the lemon balm group were found to have a 30% reduction in levels of agitation, which was significantly higher than the placebo group (14%). Quality of life scores also improved significantly for those residents in the lemon balm group compared with the placebo group; percentage of time spent socially withdrawn; and percentage of time engaged in constructive activities. No side effects were observed during the trial. These results suggest that the use of aromatherapy may be beneficial for reducing behavioural disturbances and improving the quality of life for residents with severe dementia^[248] (Level III-1).

An RCT was conducted to determine the merits of using aromatherapy and massage (AM), conversation and aromatherapy (CA), and massage only (M) with 21 people with severe dementia^[249] (Level II). The only significant difference was a reduction in agitation for those participants in the AM group during the hours of 3 and 4pm compared with the CA and control group. No other significant differences were found between groups or at the other three times when behaviour was observed. Although the sample is small, it does suggest that the use of aromatherapy with massage may be beneficial for residents with severe dementia, particularly mid-afternoon.

There is also some evidence that massage (with or without essential oils) reduces anxiety in hospice patients^[250] (Level III-1). However, the addition of an essential oil appears to enhance the effect of massage and to improve physical and psychological symptoms, as well as overall quality of life.

Music therapy

A systematic review of the literature found that there were no RCTs regarding the use of music therapy for residents with severe dementia had a positive effect on residents' levels of agitation^[251] (Level II). However, lower level studies have been undertaken that suggest that this type of therapy may have benefits. For example, Denney (1997) played background music during meal times for one week, followed by a week with no music and found that levels of

agitation dropped by 56% after week 1^[252] (Level IV). Disruptive behaviours increased in the following week when no music was played. This finding supported an earlier study that found that music used during meal times for 30 residents who were cognitively impaired in weeks 2 and 4 resulted in a reduction in an overall reduction in agitated behaviours compared with an increase in agitated behaviours when music was not played during weeks 1 and 3^[253] (Level IV).

Another study considered the use of recorded preferred music during bath time to reduce the incidence of aggressive behaviour among 18 residents with severe levels of cognitive impairment^[254] (Level IV). The preference for resident's music was determined by a family member. The experimental group played recorded selections of resident-preferred music during a two-week period compared with a control group that had no music played during their bath times. The conditions were then reversed for a further two weeks. The results indicated that playing preferred music during bath times led to a reduction in total counts of aggressive behaviours compared with the control condition. There were also reductions in individual aggressive behaviours such as yelling, abusive language, verbal and physical resistance; however, these behaviours were not statistically significant. Although these results appear significant, the sample size was too small and therefore, the results may not be generalisable to other settings.

Despite concern regarding methodological weakness of the previous study, the evidence does indicate that playing preferred music may be beneficial in reducing the incidence of aggressive behaviours and agitation by residents with severe dementia such as agitation^[252,253] (Level IV). Music should be provided using an individualised approach because it is probable that music preferences, including having no music played, will vary considerably amongst residents.

CAM therapies in RACFs

Little research has been undertaken on the prevalence and role of CAM therapies in RACFs; however, some research has been conducted with older persons. Foster and colleagues (2000)^[255] found that 30% of North Americans aged 65 years and over reported using alternative medicines and 19% had visited an alternative medicine provider. Chiropractic and herbal use were the most common treatments^[255] (Level III-3).

Spoelhof and Foerst (2002)^[256] (Level EO) have commented on issues and concerns in managing CAM therapies in RACFs. They highlight some of the potential adverse effects of commonly used medicinal herbs and possible side effects of the use of magnet therapy. For example, there is evidence to suggest that herbal remedies are not necessarily safe. Ernst (1998)^[257] reviewed the data on published adverse effects of botanical preparations, and concluded that, given the extent of use, there is an urgent need for serious research into the risks of phytomedicines. A RCT conducted in the Netherlands found that ginkgo was not an effective treatment for older persons with mild to moderate dementia, which contrasted with earlier studies' findings^[258] (Level II).

An RCT evaluated the effects of cognitive stimulation therapy groups on cognition and quality of life for 201 older persons with dementia (cognitive stimulation therapy is also known as 'reality orientation')^[259] (Level II). The process involved the use of a reality orientation board that showed personal and orienting information. The topics included using money,

word games, the present day, and famous faces. The focus of these sessions was to promote information processing rather than to prompt recall of factual knowledge. The authors concluded that:

- Cognitive stimulation therapy groups improved cognitive function and quality of life for people with dementia;
- The results compared favourably with trials of drugs for dementia (i.e. acetylcholinesterase inhibitors);
- Cognitive stimulation therapies can be used in diverse settings; and
- Cognitive stimulation therapies are enjoyable for people with dementia, and the use of this type of cognitive training does not lead to adverse reactions such as frustration, as some studies previously indicated.

Although this RCT provides promising results for residents with cognitive impairment, the merits of such an approach with residents requiring a palliative approach were not considered and, therefore, further research is required.

The Australian Pain Society (2004)^[94] has developed guidelines relating to pain management. These guidelines advocate principles regarding the use of CAM therapies. These principles are reproduced here, with some adaptations, due to their clarity:^[94]

- For safety reasons, members of the aged care team must always be informed before a CAM therapy is used (for example, St John's wort interacts with numerous prescription medications). This principle also facilitates a comprehensive care plan to be developed based on the needs of each resident;
- To reduce the risk of possible assault claims, clear approval from the resident, whether cognitively or communication impaired, bedridden or fully capable, is essential before a CAM therapy is used on the recommendation of family, friends, staff or doctors;
- Residents who are interested in any CAM therapy should be fully informed about its safety and effectiveness;
- CAM therapists should be carefully selected so that the resident has confidence in their credentials and qualifications; and
- It is advisable to check with private health insurers to determine whether a CAM therapy is covered.

CAM therapies may be beneficial for some residents, depending on their symptoms, culture, and beliefs about the use of such therapies. There is some evidence to support the use of a limited number of CAM therapies for pain management, symptom alleviation and improvement in quality of life. However further studies, particularly focusing on palliative care and RACFs, are still required.

Guideline: Complementary Therapies

	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
44. Generally, those who are unable to tolerate or who refuse pain medications as part of a palliative approach may benefit from appropriate complementary therapies. These therapies may also fit better with culturally specific preferences and enhance resident's sense of control.	246	II
45. The combination of traditional analgesic treatments with acupuncture, TENS, relaxation and imagery, and hypnosis may be helpful for symptom management because they may reduce intractable pain, thereby improving quality of life.	246	II
46. The use of acupuncture, acupressure, and muscle relaxation with rebreathing training or rebreathing training combined with coping strategies may reduce dyspnoea and improve function (e.g. walking ability).	246	II
47. The use of aromatherapy massage for residents with advanced dementia may reduce the incidence of disturbed behaviour, the level of anxiety and improve quality of life.	247	II
	249	II
	248	III-1
48. A massage with essential oils is beneficial for reducing levels of anxiety and improving quality of life for people receiving a palliative approach.	247	II
	250	III-1
49. The use of Ginkgo for older persons with mild to moderate dementia or age-associated memory impairment is not recommended because it was found to have no beneficial effects.	258	II



A review of the literature on physical frailty in older persons found that frailty referred not only to physical wellbeing but had psychological and social dimensions as well^[260] (Level III-3). Similarly, a palliative approach focuses not only on physical symptoms but also on psychological care. Psychological care is concerned with the psychological and emotional wellbeing of the resident and their family, including issues such as self-esteem and adapting to ageing, illness and the RACF environment. The fundamental principle for psychological support is an accurate diagnosis of the factors contributing to psychological distress.

Psychological distress is the term applied predominantly to anxiety, phobic and depressive symptoms.^[261] The most common psychological problems for residents requiring a palliative approach are depression, confusion and anxiety, with depression being one of the most prevalent psychiatric problems among older persons in general^[261] (Level IV). Changes in the resident's emotional and cognitive abilities reflect both psychological and biological effects of the person's medical condition and treatment. Psychological distress, therefore, may be associated with physical distress. For instance, individuals suffering from anxiety may experience increased physical or somatic pain and compromised immune function^[262] (Level EO). Therefore, the interactive effects of psychological and physical wellbeing need to be carefully considered.

Depression and anxiety are generally considered to be reactions to loss and to threats associated with end-of-life illnesses. Confusion can also be a complicating factor at this time. The presence of depressive symptoms can make palliation of pain difficult to achieve^[263] (Level QE), particularly for residents who have dementia and are dying^[264] (Level IV). Both somatic and psychological symptoms of depression and anxiety can make a diagnosis more difficult^[265] (Level EO). For example, anxiety might appear as nausea or dyspnoea, and depression may manifest as intractable pain. These symptoms may respond poorly to medical interventions and not appear to be based on medical pathology.^[265]

However, psychological well-being can be supported by and may be improved by good and truthful communication between individuals and those who provide their care^[266] (Level QE). In an examination of patient preferences of ordinary nursing and personal care, irrespective of more substantive palliative issues, communicating effectively was seen as important^[267] (Level QE). The following story illustrates the need for good communication and family involvement in the assessment of a resident's psychological distress.

Vignette 8: Mr Poulton's story

It was time for the regular review of Mr Poulton's care, his two sons being invited to attend. They were also anxious to discuss with the doctor what they perceived as signs of their father's depression. Mr Poulton, aged 89, suffered the debilitating effects of Parkinson's disease, as well as cardiac problems and signs of dementia. Given the choice, he wanted to come to the meeting "to speak for myself." The process of asking him about his response to life in the RACF was painfully slow, because his replies were given in halting, hesitant speech. "What do you miss most?" he was asked. "The loss of speech" he replied, with some emotion. His older son explained that, until he was 85, Mr Poulton had been a regular participant in an elite play-reading group, having significant skills in performing Shakespeare's characters. Through the ensuing discussion, it became evident that more understanding was needed on the part of the aged care team when communicating with Mr Poulton. It was also agreed that medication might help to lift his mood. His care plan was adjusted to highlight his preference for more time to be spent on verbal communication than trying to improve his mobility: "I don't mind if I can't walk, but I do mind if I can't have a chat." Some of the aged care team and family members had perceived his low mood as indicating a wish for death. Although severely disabled and increasingly dependent, he said with animation, "I hope I can look forward to a few more years yet."

7.1 DEPRESSION

Depression is one of the most common psychiatric disorders in older persons and is a significant concern within both aged care and palliative care. Evers and colleagues (2002)^[268] (Level IV) undertook a study on depression among residents with dementia and residents without dementia from a US long term care facility. They found that depression was a major concern for both groups in the last six months of life and that under diagnosis of depression was an important concern. Similar findings exist for Australian residents; for example, it has been suggested that 40% of residents in Australian RACFs were mildly depressed^[269] (Level QE), with higher rates of depression evident in residents in high-care facilities compared to low-care facilities^[270] (Level EO).

Prevalence rates of depression range from between 25% and 45% among people receiving palliation^[263] (Level QE), yet the percentage of those receiving treatment for depression is significantly lower. Findings suggest that potentially large numbers of psychologically distressed residents are not receiving appropriate psychological care^[261] (Level IV).

Psychological symptoms differ in severity, persistence and quality from 'normal' distress. Residents with depression may be unhappy about their current situation and speak of little else than their wish to be 'done with it'. This expression may be a manifestation of the burden they feel they are to others, a pervasive loss of interest and pleasure, and/or an overall feeling of apathy. Depression is frequently associated with suicidal thinking^[271] (Level QE) and the aged care team should be aware of the increased risk of completed suicides among older persons, in particular among older men who have recently been bereaved^[272] (Level III-3). Although suicide attempts or requests may seem understandable, they are often an indication of clinical depression, and referral for psychological assessment should be made^[63] (Level IV).

7.1.1 Death statements and depression

A comprehensive assessment and appropriate response to a resident’s expression of a desire for death is vitally important^[63] (Level IV). Depression is frequently undiagnosed and should therefore be considered as a potential reason for ‘desire to die’ statements^[63] (Level IV).

Diagnosing depression in people with a terminal illness or in the end stage of the ageing process can be complicated (see Table 9 for symptoms of depression). An alternative to the *Diagnostic Statisticians Manual* (4th ed) (DSM-IV)^[273] criteria for depression is available (see table 10) and may be a useful guide for members of the aged care team who are suitably trained^[274] (Level IV).

Physical manifestations of depression may also be useful in screening for depression in RACF residents. A number of symptoms have been described that may be indicators of depression (see Table 9). If several of these symptoms are apparent to the aged care team, the resident should be referred to an appropriate professional (e.g. geriatrician or psychologist).

Loss of interest or pleasure	Agitation or retardation
Depressed mood	Fatigue
Sleep disturbance	Altered appetite
Poor pain and symptom control	Flattened mood (lack of expression in situations that previously had been pleasurable)
Suicidal thoughts	Frequently expressed death desire
Inability to think or concentrate	Recurring thoughts of suicide or death
Feelings of worthlessness, hopelessness or helplessness, inappropriate guilt, and/or persistent negativism	Social withdrawal (attempts to remain away from people when previously would engage, no connection with care givers)

7.1.2 Assessment

It is important to note that no tool currently exists that can assist in distinguishing between delirium, depression and dementia; therefore, different screening tools are required for each. Depression can be screened by the use of very brief scales that are suitable for use with residents. A one-question screening tool has been accepted as an appropriate way of identifying those people requiring a more detailed clinical assessment for depression^[274] (Level IV). The tool found to be most effective was the Mental Health Inventory (1-Item Version; MHI-1)^[275] which asks: “How much of the time over the past month have you felt downhearted and sad?”^[274] (Level IV). Possible responses range from 1 to 6, as shown below, with a score of 3 or higher indicating potential depression. The aged care team could incorporate this question into their plan of care as a simple way of screening residents for depression.

TABLE 16: MENTAL HEALTH INVENTORY (1-ITEM VERSION)^[275]

Question: How much of the time over the past month have you felt downhearted and sad?	
Response choices:	
All of the time 6	Some of the time 3
Most of the time 5	A little of the time 2
A good bit of the time 4	None of the time 1

It should be noted that the MHI-1 is only recommended for *identifying* those who require further assessment for depression; it should not be used in the place of a *formal assessment* for depression, such as the Geriatric Depression Scale or the Cornell Scale.

The Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) is available in two formats: a 30-item scale (GDS-30)^[276] and a 15-item scale (GDS-15).^[277] The GDS is an assessment tool to assist in determining depression for older persons, including those in long-term care. A study of the GDS found the use of this scale for screening for depression could increase the frequency of treatment or referral of people in long-term care by primary care physicians^[278] (Level II). A recent study of the GDS-15 found that the tool was suitable for diagnosing depression in the over 85-year-old population^[279] (Level QE). Additionally, the authors recommended setting a low cut-off point of 2 out of 3 points to provide GPs with a reliable sensitivity for ensuring that people with clinical depression would not be overlooked. The GDS's suitability for older persons with cognitive impairment has yet to be determined^[279] (Level QE).

The Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia (CSDD) is a 19-item instrument using information gathered from an interview with the person with dementia and a caregiver (e.g. family member or staff member).^[280] The scale's items were developed based on a literature review to determine the experience of depression for people with or without dementia and information based on a questionnaire administered to geriatric psychiatrists and other experts in the field. The scale categorises depression into five content areas:^[280]

1. mood-related signs (anxiety, sadness, lack of reactivity to pleasant events, irritability);
2. behavioural disturbances (agitation, retardation, multiple physical complaints, loss of interest);
3. physical signs (appetite loss, weight loss, lack of energy);
4. cyclic functioning (mood swings, difficulty falling asleep, multiple awakenings during sleep, early morning awakenings); and
5. ideational disturbances (suicide, poor self-esteem, pessimism, mood-congruent delusions).

The CSDD was found to be a reliable scale of depressive symptoms among older persons with dementia ^[281] (Level IV). Additionally, CSDD scores have demonstrated moderate to high correlations with clinical diagnoses of major depression for older persons with or without dementia.^[281] However, further analysis of the CSDD found evidence of four factors rather than the five proposed by the authors of the CSDD^[282] (Level IV). These results indicate that the CSDD sub-scales should be interpreted with some caution until further studies determine

the factor structure of the CSDD. In the interim, this scale appears preferable to the GDS, given the reliability of the CSDD for assessing depression in older persons with dementia.

The CSDD and GDS are available as part of a kit called Challenge Depression, which aims to reduce the level of depression in Australian RACFs. Visit www.dementia.com.au/depression.htm for further information regarding this kit.

7.1.3 Management

Pharmacological management

The first factor that is generally considered when choosing pharmacological interventions is the resident's life expectancy^[283] (Level EO). According to Martin and Jackson (2000)^[283] (Level EO), residents who are expected to live for more than two months may have time to respond to antidepressants, such as SSRIs (selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors). These medications have fewer side effects than other antidepressants and are less sedating.

If a resident's life expectancy is anticipated to be less than two months, it is suggested that a psychostimulant will relieve their symptoms quicker than an antidepressant^[283,284] (Level EO; Level IV). Psychostimulants may also stimulate appetite and promote a sense of wellbeing. Residents with psychomotor slowing, advanced dementia, dysphoric mood, debilitating weakness or fatigue may also benefit from the 'energising effect' of these drugs^[285] (Level EO). Methylphenidate is considered the most appropriate of these for use in a palliative approach^[284] (Level IV).

Irrespective of which intervention is preferred, psychological changes in residents should never be dismissed as untreatable or inevitable. Improvements in the resident's psychological state can be achieved in spite of deteriorating physical functioning. Failing to investigate the resident's psychological state would deny them appropriate treatment, thus projecting a sense of hopelessness.

Non-pharmacological management

Counselling and psychiatric interventions can improve the psychological wellbeing of residents in the palliative phase of their ageing or illness. Residents may need an opportunity to verbalise their feelings, beliefs and regrets about death and dying. Emotional and social supports are important characteristics of intervention techniques. Simply providing a listening ear can be therapeutic. These types of interventions, combined with assessment and treatment of depression, confusion and anxiety, are essential components of a palliative approach^[64] (Level QE).

Residents and their families should be given an explanation of how the ageing or illness process is progressing. They may also need to express their feelings without fear of censure or abandonment. However, a recent study found that the aged care team may have difficulty determining symptoms of depression, because residents may be reluctant to disclose their feelings for fear of being labelled a 'bad' or 'weak' person^[263] (Level QE). An open, supportive environment can promote trust, which could help to overcome this barrier and help the resident's adjustment process, thus enabling them to move towards accepting their situation.

Education and training in communication skills for the aged care team could also help to remedy this barrier to open communication. Knowing the resident's previous personality and psychological state is helpful in identifying high-risk individuals or those with developing symptoms. The family's observations of any recent changes in the resident's mental state should also be noted.

7.2 ANXIETY

Anxiety is an arousal state. People experience anxiety in different ways, but the following three elements are considered to be common symptoms^[286] (Level III-3):

1. A conscious feeling of fear and danger without the ability to identify immediate objective threats that could account for these feelings;
2. A pattern of physiological arousal and bodily distress that may include miscellaneous physical changes and complaints, such as heart palpitations, faintness, feeling of suffocation, breathlessness, diarrhoea, nausea or vomiting; and
3. A disruption or disorganisation of effective problem-solving and mental control, including difficulty in thinking clearly and coping effectively with environmental demands.

Anxiety symptoms are considered more stable than depression symptoms and it is believed that anxiety may be related to the trait of neuroticism^[286] (Level III-3). Hence, a resident's psychological history should be documented to determine any previous episodes of anxiety.

7.2.1 Assessment

There are various validated assessment tools for screening anxiety. This list provides summaries of differing findings on the suitability of these tools with either older persons, recipients of a palliative approach, or both:

- The *Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale* (HADS) was used in a palliative unit for recognition and treatment of depression and anxiety. The researchers concluded that those administering the HADS needed time and skill to deal with the issues it raised. This was due to a finding that an increase in the prescription of antidepressants had occurred and that depression was being over-diagnosed. This, however, may partly be attributed to the influence of the scales used^[287] (Level IV).
- *Visual Analogue Scales* (VAS) is a 10-cm linear scale for use with people receiving a palliative approach. The VAS correlated well with both the depression sub-scale and total score of the HADS and was found to be quick and easy to complete for most people^[288] (Level IV). However, concerns have been raised regarding the VAS, particularly that people with advanced dementia have difficulty completing this scale, so its use with this group is not recommended.
- The *Hopkins Symptoms Checklist* was found to provide many referenced syndrome classifications to the *Diagnostic Statisticians Manual* (3rd ed) (DSM-III) when used with older persons. Anxiety measures were the most accurate determinates of DSM-III classifications for mental health disorders^[289] (Level QE).

7.2.2 Management

Non-pharmacological management

The effects of gentle massage on two groups of high-care residents were examined (those suffering from chronic pain and those with dementia who were exhibiting anxious or agitated behaviours)^[290] (Level IV). Pain scores declined at the end of each phase, and anxiety scores declined in two of the three phases. Eighty-four percent of the care assistants reported that the residents enjoyed receiving tender touch, and 71% thought this type of massage improved their ability to communicate with the residents. The researchers concluded that the aged care team must be made aware that touch, gentleness, and compassion are integral parts of care.

7.3 CONFUSION

Residents experiencing confusion may appear forgetful, and disoriented to time and place, and exhibit changes in mood or behaviour^[265] (Level EO). The two main clinical syndromes associated with confusion are delirium, which is potentially reversible, and dementia, which is usually permanent. It can be difficult to discern between confusion, dementia and delirium; therefore, a comprehensive assessment of a resident undertaken by relevant allied health care practitioners is preferable.

7.3.1 Assessment

A standardised confusion assessment method is available that enables non-psychiatric clinicians to detect delirium quickly in older persons^[291] (Level III-2). The confusion assessment instrument consists of nine operationalised criteria from the DSM-III-R. The diagnoses made by the confusion assessment method were validated against the diagnoses made by psychiatrists. It was concluded that the confusion assessment method is sensitive, specific, reliable and easy to use for identifying delirium and could be appropriate for suitably qualified members of the aged care team to use.^[291]

Cacchione (2002)^[292] (Level III-2) examined four acute confusion instruments within the long-term care setting. The four instruments were evaluated against Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), the GDS, and the DSM-IV. The Visual Analog Scale for Acute Confusion (VAS-AC) was found to be most appropriate for general screening in the aged care setting.

An Australian survey considered the effectiveness of Victorian aged care assessment teams (ACATs) for the management of people with dementia^[293] (Level IV). The researchers found that ACATs were effective in differentiating between clients with dementia and those who were disoriented. The following considerations are used by ACATs to successfully differentiate between a person with cognitive impairment and a person with another psychiatric problem:^[293]

- The *primary diagnosis* is recorded in broad categories only. The most common primary diagnosis indicating a psychogeriatric problem is dementia.
- Orientation to time and place, mobility and continence is recorded in detail. Each type of orientation is measured by four levels of disability: 'always' and 'often' disoriented are considered to identify a person with a cognitive impairment, whereas 'sometimes' or 'never' disoriented identify those with another psychiatric problem.

ACATs also use comprehensive assessment to screen for cognitive impairments, particularly dementia, and other mental illnesses, such as depression. This assessment includes the MMSE to screen for dementia, accompanied by a detailed history.^[293] The team also includes carers, when available, to assist in the process of assessment and to provide history and care preferences for the person being assessed.

7.4 DELIRIUM

Delirium refers to a clouding of consciousness, which is primarily “characterised by disordered attention, thinking, and perception”^[294, p. 696] (Level IV). The severity of the delirium fluctuates and can worsen after dark.^[295] Most prevalence studies of delirium have been conducted in hospitals with medically ill people, in whom the prevalence rate was about 25%^[295] (Level EO). Delirium may involve paranoid ideas, which may manifest as an idea that the food has been poisoned, for example. Residents with delirium may be noisy, demanding or aggressive, which may upset or harm others. Family members or care providers may report a rapid and drastic decline in the resident’s functioning, which is useful in distinguishing delirium from dementia.

7.4.1 Assessment

One study explored the course of delirium in 193 older persons in acute care and found that the symptoms of delirium (e.g. inattention, disorientation, and impaired memory) were present up to 12 months following diagnoses for older persons with or without dementia^[294] (Level IV). Despite this similarity, the researchers’ reported that the duration of the first episode of delirium was longer for those with dementia compared with those who had no cognitive impairment at baseline measurements. Additionally, a longer initial episode of delirium was predictive of a worse prognosis such as long-term functional and cognitive disabilities.

Although, the focus of the study was an acute care setting, the findings are likely transferable to the aged care context.^[294] For example, the researchers’ reported difficulty in distinguishing delirium from dementia with many participants diagnosed as having both disorders, which is the same issue that aged care team members have in Australian RACFs.^[293] Therefore, a thorough assessment of symptoms is required, which includes consideration of the persistence of symptoms (e.g. inattention, disorientation, and impaired memory)^[294] (Level IV). Those residents with dementia are likely to have these three symptoms plus a gradual decline in their ability to undertake the activities of daily living. Those residents with delirium while have the same three symptoms are more likely to have a sudden deterioration in their capacity to complete the activities of daily living^[294] (Level IV).

The following table provides some indicators to assist aged care team members in distinguishing delirium from dementia. This table is provided as a guide only and it is recommended that an expert in psychiatric disorders such as a geriatrician, geropsychologist or a psycho-geriatrician is consulted for diagnostic purposes.

TABLE 17: DISTINGUISHING DELIRIUM FROM DEMENTIA		
Indicators	Delirium	Dementia
Onset	Acute or subacute	Insidious
Course	Fluctuating, usually resolves over days to weeks	Progressive
*Activities of daily living (ADLs)	Gradual decline in ability to do ADLs	Sudden deterioration in ability to do ADLs
Conscious level	Often impaired, can fluctuate rapidly	Clear until later stages
Cognitive defects	Poor short term memory, poor attention span	Poor short term memory, attention less affected until severe
Hallucinations	Common, especially visual	Often absent
Delusions	Fleeting, non-systematised	Often absent
Psychomotor activity	Increased, reduced, or unpredictable	Can be normal

*British Medical Journal, 2002, 325 (7365), 644–647, with permission from the BMJ Publishing Group^[295] * This indicator was added to the table developed by Brown (2002), and it is based upon the work of McCusker and Colleagues (2003)^[294]*

7.4.2 Management

A systematic review of the effectiveness of prevention interventions for delirium in hospitalised patients found that most interventions involved psychiatric or medical assessments, and support education or reorientation, which had little effect for older persons due to comorbid disorders^[296] (Level II).

Prescribed drugs and acute infections are perhaps the most common cause of delirium, particularly in older persons^[295] (Level EO). Many prescribed drugs that can induce delirium are sedating drugs such as benzodiazepines and narcotic analgesics. Precipitating causes also need to be considered. These include the person's age, co-morbid physical illness or dementia, and environmental factors (such as visual or hearing impairment, social deprivation, and being moved to a new environment)^[295](Level EO). Good management of delirium requires^[294,295] (Level IV; Level EO):

- identifying and treating the underlying causes;
- providing environmental and supportive measures;
- prescribing drugs aimed at managing symptoms; and
- following-up with a regular clinical review.

7.5 DEMENTIA (PSYCHOLOGICAL ASPECTS)

Dementia is a syndrome of progressively deteriorating cognitive function associated with an underlying disease process such as Alzheimer's disease. A resident who exhibits a diminished ability to communicate and comprehend requires specialised psychological care and support (see Chapter 5, 'Advanced dementia', for more information). This should include the family as appropriate, and should be instituted as part of a palliative approach. For the resident with dementia, psychological support will include alternative communication strategies. For the family, psychological support will address issues such as an ongoing sense of loss and difficulty in finding a caring role^[30,297] (Level QE; Level QE). (See Chapters 8, 'Family support', and 9, 'Social support, intimacy and sexuality'.)

7.5.1 Assessment

There are various assessment tools available for determining a resident's level of cognitive impairment. One that is frequently used and recommended is the MMSE.^[298] Nurses generally undertake the routine administration of the MMSE in palliative settings and it is frequently used in aged care.

The aged care team needs to be aware of the limitations of the MMSE in residential aged care settings or palliative care settings, because the usual cut-off scores for mental competence (i.e. >21–22) for interpreting the MMSE may not be appropriate. For example, a recent study considered the question: "Does not knowing where I am mean I don't know what I like?"^[299] (Level IV). The authors found that a high proportion of older persons in RACFs could answer questions on their quality of life, even when significant cognitive defects were present. Many of their research participants were considered significantly cognitively impaired, with more than half scoring 17 or below on the MMSE, yet the residents were still able to convincingly answer the majority of questions posed. This finding requires further research, but it does bear important consideration when working with residents with dementia, particularly the need for ongoing communication with them regarding their preferences.

Behavioural disturbances

There is a wide variation in rates of behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia reported in RACFs^[269] (Level QE). One study found that over 90% of residents exhibited at least one behavioural disturbance. Specifically, there was evidence of psychosis in 60%, depressed mood in 42% and activity disturbance or aggression in 82% of residents^[269] (Level QE). More functionally impaired residents with a diagnosis of psychosis had higher behavioural and psychological symptom rates. The researchers concluded that behavioural disturbances are frequently associated with psychosis and / or depression. Unfortunately, there is little evidence regarding assessment and management. It is suggested that the need for psychogeriatric services for RACFs is essential in aiding the aged care team in dealing with such residents.

7.5.2 Management

Psychological/psychiatric intervention is recommended when the resident presents with various psychological symptoms that have lasted longer than a few weeks^[265] (Level EO). However, it

is recognised that in many rural and remote areas it is difficult to access a geriatrician, psycho-geriatrician, or psychologist on a regular basis to complete assessments and management plans for residents. In such situations the use of Telemedicine, Telehealth or other forms of electronic communication could overcome such a barrier, as could the involvement of a GP to complete the assessment.

In instances of psychological distress, referral to a palliative team may be beneficial because of the team's expertise in assessment and care of people with psychological changes during final illnesses. The literature demonstrates the existence of this expertise in the area of depression, anxiety, agitation and loss^[271,300,301] (Level QE; Level QE; Level EO).

Guideline: Psychological Support		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
Depression		
50. The use of the Geriatric Depression Scale to screen residents for depression can increase the frequency with which treatment is provided for this disorder by prescription or via referral to appropriate health care providers.	278	II
51. Suicide attempts or requests for a hastened death are often an indication of clinical depression. An active response that addresses depression, hopelessness, and social support can improve the resident's wellbeing and reduce the desire for a hastened death.	63	IV
Anxiety		
52. Gentle massage can reduce anxiety levels or agitated behaviours for residents with chronic pain and / or dementia.	290	IV
Delirium		
53. A thorough assessment of the symptoms of delirium is required, which includes consideration of the persistence of symptoms (e.g. inattention, disorientation, and impaired memory) to accurately and quickly detect delirium in older persons, which increases residents' frequency of treatment and referral.	291, 294	III-2 IV
Dementia		
54. Many residents can answer questions regarding their quality of life even when significant symptoms of dementia are present. Therefore, a resident's preferences for quality of life concerns should still be sought and incorporated in decision-making to improve their satisfaction with the care they receive.	299	IV
Psychological distress		
55. Incorporating the use of a specialised palliative team who have some expertise in the assessment and care of those with depression, agitation, loss and / or anxiety is beneficial for residents who require a palliative approach.	271, 300	QE

CHAPTER 8

FAMILY SUPPORT



The palliative approach generally refers to the family as the ‘unit of care’. However, such a view is often tied to the more traditional western society view of the family as a nuclear entity based on biological or marital ties. Modern society does not always reflect this traditional view of the family and, therefore, the aged care team’s notions of ‘family’ must be much broader and more inclusive.

8.1 WHAT IS A FAMILY?

A family member can be considered as any person who is part of the central core in the support network of an individual, including non-family carers. Palliative Care Australia (1999)^[302] uses the Canadian Palliative Care Association (1998)^[303] definition of family:

“... those closest to the patient in knowledge, care and affection. This includes the biological family, the family of acquisition (related by marriage/contract), and the family of choice and friends (not related biologically, by marriage/contract.”^[302, p. 10]

Based on this definition, family could include carers, friends, neighbours or the aged care team; this description extends the boundaries beyond biological and legal relationships. Both definitions highlight that a person considered as family by a resident might not be a relation by birth or marriage.

8.2 FAMILIES AND A PALLIATIVE APPROACH

For some family members this may be their first experience of a palliative approach or their first experience of impending death. They need an opportunity to have privacy to attend to such matters as:

- treatment decisions;
- family members’ history; and
- tensions that may surface at this time (e.g. relationships, financial concerns).

The family will also require education on how a palliative approach works, and an explanation of the signs of impending death, to reduce the family’s fears.

Research undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of a palliative approach has suggested that a palliative approach may be at least of equal value and may often be of more value to the family than to the person who is dying^[304] (Level III-2). The evidence suggests that families value not only technically competent physical care, but also regard emotionally sensitive care as especially

important^[305] (Level QE). Families appreciate good communication with those who provide care to their family member, affirmation from the care providers that the families input is valued, and permission from care providers for families to withdraw at times from the care-giving situation^[306] (Level QE). Families describe the importance of time with aged care team, being kept informed about the resident's condition, and being treated as if they have an active and equal role in the care planning process^[32,77] (Level IV; Level QE).

Specific palliative approach interventions found to be helpful to families include:

- access to 24-hour medical and nursing advice (in rural and remote areas a 24-hour service is not always possible to maintain, and that support may be available through metropolitan based services after hours)^[307] (Level QE);
- use of family conferences to obtain and share information^[308,309] (Level III-2; Level QE);
- attention by the aged care team to the resident as a 'whole person'^[310] (Level QE); and
- competent pain management and comfort measures^[308] (Level III-2).

The family's trust in the aged care team is essential, helping them build a satisfactory partnership with the team^[311] (Level QE). By entering into a care-giving partnership with the aged care team, some family members are able to express their love for the resident through contributing to their care^[312,313] (Level QE; Level QE). Therefore, if a resident is admitted towards the end of their illness trajectory or ageing process, the aged care team needs to help the family build a satisfactory partnership as members of the team^[36] (Level QE). To build this trust and fulfil families' needs, extra time and good communication skills are required from all the aged care team, including medical practitioners^[309] (Level QE). The direct involvement of families at all stages of the resident's care is preferable, including prior to admission.

Residents receiving a palliative approach need emotional and spiritual support to accept the reality of their life-limiting condition, as do the family members. Staff members sometimes become surrogate family members and may also need support^[314] (Level QE).

Family members who have had a loved one die in a palliative care setting appear to accept the loss and adapt to their new life situation reasonably well^[306] (Level QE). However, this adjustment is dependent on the relationship with the person who is dying and the age of both the relative and the individual receiving a palliative approach. Therefore, it is important to prepare friends and relatives of an impending death so that they can cope with the loss more successfully.^[315] Parkes (1999)^[315] (Level EO) suggests that worrying and grieving are important processes to be worked through before and after a death and should not be ignored out of fear of upsetting a person. He argues that the process of worrying is how people prepare for an upcoming loss and is dependent on receiving clear information.

If the resident has been recently admitted, particularly from a palliative care unit, hospital or hospice, the effect of the transfer on the resident and their family needs to be considered by the aged care team^[36,311,316] (Level QE; Level QE; Level QE). One study found that family and carers of recently transferred residents perceived that the resident did not adjust to the move and that this resulted in additional trauma for everyone^[36] (Level QE). Some families perceived the transfer of their relative from a hospice to an RACF as a traumatic experience. In some instances, the level of subsequent care by the aged care team for the resident and their family negated these initial feelings^[36] (Level QE).

Depression was also found to occur for wives following the placement of their husbands in an RACF^[317,318] (Level QE; Level QE). Such a transition was likely to involve stress, loneliness, identity changes, ambivalence, and a sense of loss and grief (e.g. guilt, anger)^[318](Level QE) for residents, family and carers. However, if residents and their family were involved in decision making^[319] (Level QE), and were supported pre- and post-transfer, they reported higher levels of satisfaction^[316](Level QE).

Recognition by the aged care team that family members have contributed significantly to the resident's wellbeing prior to admission may also help build a positive partnership^[307] (Level QE). Similarly, giving the family the option of having an ongoing care-giving role can also help them cope with any separation anxiety they might feel and can also provide positive benefits for their family member with dementia^[319] (Level QE). Keeping families informed and responding to any dissatisfaction they express about the care being given may reduce the complexity of the family's grief reaction and guilt over the resident's admission to the RACF^[307] (Level QE).

8.3 DOCUMENTING FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS

When the aged care team has an understanding of the social relationships and functioning of a family they are better able to provide appropriate family care^[310] (Level QE). This can be aided by such tools as a genogram (see Appendix K) or an ecomap (see Appendix L), which help visually document the resident's significant relationships. The genogram usually includes three generations and covers the basic family structure, information on individual family members and family relationships. Discussion while constructing a genogram typically focuses on family illnesses, deaths, stress, and coping mechanisms of the resident and the family^[320] (Level QE). The ecomap extends beyond the genogram to include formal and informal social supports. It can therefore help in assisting the aged care team to understand the broader experience of loss and grief for those whose friend or relative is admitted to residential care.

An ecomap or genogram can also include information such as the type of participation individual family members wish to have in the care of the resident. Family members can raise at this time their need for training so they can be involved in daily activities such as feeding, particularly if the resident has swallowing difficulties. It is important to remember, however, that not all families wish to be involved in the ongoing care of the resident and this should be accepted and respected by the aged care team in a non-judgmental manner, as is illustrated in the following story.

Vignette 9: Joan's story

Joan always appeared aloof and distant on the infrequent visits to her husband. Now that Joan's husband Tom was dying, the aged care team wanted to involve Joan by encouraging her to visit more often and to assist with his care. Joan felt there was an expectation placed upon her, until she explained the situation to a nurse she trusted: "I know I may seem uncaring but I'm exhausted from looking after him 24 hours a day at home. I just can't do any more. We haven't been a close couple for many years and I find it such a relief to have some life of my own at last". When this explanation was conveyed to other members of the care team, it reinforced the concept of individualised care, grounded in a comprehensive assessment of family processes, expectations and goals.

8.4 FAMILY INVOLVEMENT

The role of the family in decision-making centres on the need for individualised care and providing a link to the community. The family's role is also important in providing relevant personal history and information about the resident's preferences^[77,297,305] (Level QE; Level QE; Level QE). The role of family members as advocates for high-care residents may be limited by the weak position they may have in the organisation and by the complexity of their relationships with the aged care team^[305,321] (Level QE; Level QE). It might be beneficial for members of the team to encourage families to voice any concerns they may have and to encourage their participation in the resident's care, if this does not already occur. The following story illustrates how the aged care team can initiate family member involvement in their relative's care.

Vignette 10: Doreen's story

Doreen faithfully visited her sister, Vera, for several hours each day. She was usually asked to leave the bedside when the aged care team were in attendance until a particularly intuitive nurse asked, "Doreen would you like to assist in Vera's care? Is there anything particular you would like to do for her?", "Yes", came the immediate response. "I would like to help make her comfortable. I could even wash her ... You see ... when I was about eight years old and Vera would have been about fifteen, I was very ill with a high fever. I remember Vera sponging me and it seemed at the time she helped save my life. I can't do much for Vera now that she's dying but I would like to repay her in some small way." Doreen was pleased to be included in the care team, massaging Vera's limbs with fragrant oil and assisting the nursing staff with other care when appropriate. When Vera died the following entry was noted in the record of care: "Vera's sister wanted to assist by washing Vera's body and dressing her in a favourite nightgown." Doreen expressed her appreciation for this involvement, stating it had assisted her in closing the final chapter in the relationship with her sister, to whom she owed so much.

In the context of aged care, the family may play a particularly important role in assisting with managing symptom distress, communicating with the resident, and assisting with their physical care needs^[322] (Level III-2). Families benefit from emotional support and an opportunity to discuss their concerns about the resident's illness or ageing process^[107] (Level QE). Holding a family conference can facilitate this support and information exchange^[107,308,309] (Level QE; Level III-2; Level QE). The conference forum enables families to be involved, as well as enabling them to provide an assessment of their needs should they choose. This involvement and assessment is considered an essential element of a palliative approach^[313] (Level QE), yet families are often unprepared for this role. Therefore, support to the families, providing training where required and enabling their involvement in care, may be beneficial. This support does not have to be provided by the aged care team only. It is equally appropriate for the aged care team to make referrals to pastoral care workers and social workers (where available) when support for the family is identified and required^[323] (Level QE).

Health deterioration and death of the resident may also impact upon the physical and emotional health of family members^[304,324] (Level III-2; Level III-3). Family caregivers may also be older and have pre-existing health problems of their own. There is some evidence to indicate that spouses and family members who are non-English speaking may experience more burden

than other family members^[325] (Level QE). The caregiver burden has been found to be heaviest on spouses, followed by daughters, other relatives and then sons^[326] (Level IV). Some spouses, especially those of residents with advanced dementia, report feeling like a ‘married widow’^[317] (Level QE) and the term ‘quasi-widowhood’ is used in the gerontology literature^[318] (Level QE). Quasi widowhood captures the dilemma for this group of women: still married yet living separately from their husbands and in many ways without the partner they related to previously.

A number of studies report the difficulties families experience when coping with changes in the mental status of their relative^[321,327] (Level QE; Level QE). Management of agitated delirium is a frequent source of conflict between families and nurses^[23,24] (Level QE; Level QE). Family members of confused or unconscious people may have higher expectations of nurses than family members of people who are lucid^[308,328] (Level III-2; Level III-2). The higher the variance between expectations and perceptions, the poorer the family functioning in the bereavement period will be^[328] (Level III-2). These findings may be particularly relevant to RACFs, where the incidence of residents with advanced dementia is high. Families who witness a difficult or poorly managed death may experience more grief, guilt and regret in the bereavement period^[329] (Level IV). For example, poorly managed pain or shortness of breath is extremely distressing for family members to witness and they may feel guilt later if they believe that the resident suffered a difficult death.

These findings highlight the necessity for the aged care team in RACFs to consider the family’s needs so that the capabilities and resources of all members are considered appropriately^[330] (Level EO). Additional management strategies could involve a member of the multidisciplinary team (e.g. social worker or chaplain/pastoral care worker) identifying family members who have difficulty coping and instigate appropriate social support^[317,323] (Level QE; Level QE). In-house support could also be provided via support group meetings. Family members who attend support group meetings seem to have lower burden levels, possibly because they receive social support and information^[331] (Level IV).

Guideline: Family Support		REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
56.	Involving the family to assist with symptom distress, communicating and assisting with the physical care needs of the resident can improve the aged care team members’ relationship with the family.	322	III-2
		313	QE
57.	Health deterioration and the death of the resident may impact upon the physical and emotional health of family members. Family members’ depression and health problems should, therefore, be informally monitored by the aged care team and, where appropriate, support provided to offset the effects of caregiving stress.	304	III-2
		324	III-3
		309	QE
58.	Families appreciate good communication with the aged care team, affirmation that their input is valued, and permission to withdraw at times from the caregiving situation. When these needs are addressed families experience increased satisfaction with care.	306, 307, 325	QE
59.	Family conferences can provide emotional support to family members and an opportunity to discuss concerns about the resident’s illness / ageing process. Such discussion benefits families and ultimately improves the quality of life for the resident.	308, 322 107, 313	III-2 QE

SOCIAL SUPPORT, INTIMACY AND SEXUALITY



A palliative approach takes into account the social needs of the resident, such as the need for social support, and also considers issues of intimacy and sexuality.

9.1 SOCIAL ISOLATION AND SOCIAL SUPPORT

Although many RACFs provide a caring, comfortable environment, residents may still experience feelings of social isolation during this final phase of their life. Social isolation is considered to occur when a person has a limited network of family and friends^[332] (Level IV). Social isolation in older persons has been found to lead to poorer psychological wellbeing, such as depression, and diminished functional health^[332] (Level IV). However, it has been suggested that it is not merely the amount of contact that is predictive of these poor health outcomes; rather, it is the individual's perception, appraisal and interpretation of that contact that are most important^[333] (Level IV).

More recently, Fratiglioni and colleagues (2000)^[333] (Level IV) found that it was not the number of relationships but the quality of those relationships that determined feelings of isolation. The authors also found that an extensive social network, for instance a network that included satisfying social contact, appeared to protect against the development of dementia. A poor or limited social network was found to increase the risk of dementia by 60%. Fratiglioni and colleagues surmised that extensive social networks might delay the onset of dementia by providing emotional and intellectual stimulation and practical support^[333] (Level IV). Further research is required to test this hypothesis. However, the evidence to date suggests that individuals who have limited social networks are at greater risk of poorer outcomes.

9.1.1 Assessment

To help maintain the resident's links with their social network, it may be beneficial to assess their level of social support^[332] (Level IV). Determining a resident's prior social history can be helpful in understanding their social network. A Genogram has been found to be useful in facilitating discussion with residents and/or their families^[320,334] (Level QE; Level EO) (see Section 8.3, 'Documenting family relationships', and Appendix K). Mapping a network of support via the Genogram can vary in the time it takes, depending on factors such as individual differences and cultural diversity. An ongoing commitment to updating the Genogram ensures that it remains current.

9.1.2 Management

The aged care team needs to provide opportunities for residents to maintain and begin new social relationships. Determining pre-existing social supports and promoting social integration of residents can reduce social isolation. It is recognised however, that the aged care team may not have the time to assess a resident's social supports. A referral to a social worker or pastoral care worker may help to ensure the resident's needs are addressed. Volunteers can also play a role in helping to provide social support for residents receiving a palliative approach.

It is important to remember that not all residents perceive that they are lacking in social support and as a consequence may not wish to participate in social activities. Their wishes should be respected first and foremost. It is also important to acknowledge that some residents may consider the aged care team as family (and vice versa), especially those with whom they have established meaningful relationships. Research supports the occurrence of surrogate family bonds by staff members in RACFs, particularly for those facilities in rural locations^[314] (Level QE).

9.2 INTIMACY AND SEXUALITY

The following WHO (2002)^[335] definition of sexuality provides a basis for understanding the importance of sexuality for the resident:

“Sexuality is a central aspect of being human throughout life and encompasses sex, gender identities and roles, sexual orientation, eroticism, pleasure, intimacy and reproduction. Sexuality is experienced and expressed in thoughts, fantasies, desires, beliefs, attitudes, values, behaviours, practices, roles and relationships... Sexuality is influenced by the interaction of biological, psychological, social, economic, political, cultural, ethical, legal, historical and religious and spiritual factors. Sexual health requires a positive and respectful approach to sexuality and sexual relationships, as well as the possibility of having pleasurable and safe sexual experiences, free of coercion, discrimination and violence. For sexual health to be attained and maintained, the sexual rights of all persons must be respected, protected and fulfilled.”

Intimacy and sexuality are basic human needs that are often neglected in discussions about the wellbeing of people receiving a palliative approach, particularly those in RACFs. However, sexuality does not disappear as a person ages. A person's ability to express their sexuality and have this need recognised with understanding and care may be an important factor in enhancing a person's wellbeing. It is easy to assume that residents requiring palliation would have other more important things than sexuality with which to be concerned, but this is not always the case. An individual's needs in this area require the aged care team to be non-judgmental and comfortable in acknowledging and discussing issues of sexuality.

Residents living in the same care facility are essentially compelled to live together until their death. Therefore, it should not be surprising to find that intimate relationships are sometimes formed. Residents who form relationships may be content to simply enjoy talking and participating in activities together. Companionship may be all that is sought and needed. However, there may also be a desire to express mutual affection in physical ways. Therefore, the aged care team needs to be aware of residents' requirements regarding intimacy and sexuality. For instance, residents need to have appropriate privacy to ensure their intimacy needs are met.

Rather than denying the existence of residents' intimacy and sexuality needs, the aged care team is encouraged to acknowledge the legitimacy of such needs^[336,337] (Level QE; Level QE).

Acknowledging the importance of touch is also important for both the aged care team and residents^[338] (Level IV). If residents experience touch primarily through routine handling procedures they may perceive touch in a negative way (even though it is not the intention of the aged care team to cause pain or discomfort); they may, therefore, miss opportunities to experience touch in a positive way. Access to massage therapists could help to meet a resident's need for caring and gentle touch. However, family might also be able to fulfil this role.

In a study of institutionalised older persons and gerontology nurses covering numerous topics, including female sexuality, Nay found that older women longed for closeness, touch and intimacy but were often afraid to reach out to other women in this way^[336] (Level QE). Due to a loss of control over much of their lives, the only pleasure available to them was self-pleasuring and fantasy, but guilt, lack of privacy, and fear of being 'caught' negated these options. Nay also found that gerontology nurses who held ageist views of older women's sexuality were not providing holistic care. Until such ageist views are changed, the author considered that it was not possible to provide care aimed at maximising potential, independence and control.^[336]

In another study of older female residents, Butts (2001)^[338] (Level IV) found that comfort touch (i.e. back rub, massage, hand holding, a friendly hug) improved residents' perceptions of themselves. These perceptions included self-esteem, wellbeing and social processes, health status, life satisfaction, self-actualisation, faith and belief. Butts concluded that nurses in RACFs could enhance residents' sense of wellbeing and self-regard through comfort touch. Similar findings resulted when tender touch massage was trialled with residents with reductions noted in pain scores and improved communication between aged care team members and residents^[290] (Level IV).

9.2.1 Assessment

The few studies of sexuality in long-term care residents that have been conducted suggest that although sexuality is important for older people, several barriers exist that affect sexuality being expressed such as poor self-image, poor health status, communication difficulties with care providers, lack of appropriate or adequate information, and limited opportunities due to lack of partners, living arrangements and age-related changes^[339-341] (Level QE; Level QE; Level QE).

To ensure appropriate support for residents' sexuality and intimacy needs, aged care team members should first assess the resident's sexual and intimacy needs and concerns^[336,337] (Level QE; Level QE). Such an assessment should also include consideration of the resident's privacy and comfort^[341] (Level QE).

The PLISSIT model^[342] has been recommended by various experts as an appropriate method for assessing older person's sexuality issues.^[343-345] The PLISSIT model has four components (the capitalised letters for each component form the acronym PLISSIT) that are described in the following table.

Abbreviation	Level of Information	Aim of the level	Example question
P	Permission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To obtain permission from the resident to discuss or deny sexual activity. To enhance communication about concerns, feelings and perceptions To answer questions regarding age-related changes To reassure the resident that his / her sexual activities and feelings are normal. Sexual behaviours that are inappropriate should not be endorsed To encourage the acceptance of the resident's sexuality. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are you sexually active? Can you tell me how you express your sexuality? Do you have any sexual difficulties or concerns that you were wondering about? How would you rate your sex life now on a scale of 1 to 10 with 1 being the very lowest?
LI	Limited Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To provide relevant factual information about sexual concerns, problems or issues. To provide knowledge, change negative attitudes and refute sexual myths; for example, sexual activity is not important to older people who are frail. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where have you got your sexual information from (e.g. TV, magazines)? What do you think could make your sex life better? Would you like more information about sexuality?
SS	Specific Suggestions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To provide appropriate supports or behavioural or therapeutic interventions that assists the resident to overcome a limited or short-term problem. To provide interventions that are individualised and culturally appropriate to the resident's specific needs. These interventions should be readily accessible to aged care team members. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you experience any symptoms of discomfort before, during or after sexual activity that concerns you?
IT	Intensive Therapy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Referral to resolve problems that are complex or emotional and which interfere with the resident's sexual expression such as long-term depression. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would you be willing to see someone qualified to help you with your feelings of guilt?

The PLISSIT model is not a diagnostic tool, but a method of assessment that assists in determining appropriate interventions^[343] (Level EO). Such interventions may include referral to an appropriate allied health practitioner such as a social worker, should the aged care team member feel that more in-depth information or counselling is required (based on the completion of the first three steps). While the tool is helpful in providing an assessment of the resident's sexual needs or issues, its reliance on self-report requires that the resident is cognitively intact; thus the tool may not be appropriate for many residents. However, Davies and Colleagues (1998)^[346] (Level EO) have suggested that the tool can be used with the partners of resident's with Alzheimer's Disease. Intervention studies are required to determine whether the PLISSIT model is an appropriate tool for assessing the sexuality and intimacy needs of residents, particularly those with advanced dementia who would likely require a proxy member such as family to be involved in the assessment.

9.2.2 Management

Imaginative programming and provision of leisure pursuits in RACFs leads to many and various opportunities for social contact between the aged care team, residents and families, as illustrated by the following story.

Vignette 11: The masquerade ball

It was the evening of the masquerade ball. The facility's lounge was a blaze of colour, the musicians were playing and the wheelchair dancing commenced. Ethel and Doris were sitting together, their respective husbands too ill and frail to join the festivities. Ethel and Doris, each in her early 80s, visited their husbands daily, returning to their empty homes, experiencing the emotional and physical impact of 'pre-widowhood'. "Would you like to dance?" one of the aged care team asked Ethel. "Who, me?" Ethel replied, overcome by surprise. Linking arms, staff member and relative danced to the old tunes, Ethel flushed with excitement and stimulated by her memories. "Do you know, Fred and I used to go dancing every Saturday night? Since his stroke, we've never even been able to embrace. I think that's the first time anyone has put their arms around me for months. Thanks so much." Doris plucked up courage to join hands with a male resident in a wheelchair. Joe, usually passive and uncommunicative, tapped his feet as Doris twirled him around, daring to give him a hug at the end. "Thanks for the dance", she said. Joe seemed to grow taller and straighter in his chair, beaming a rare smile.

Although there needs to be a positive acceptance of residents' need for intimacy and sexuality, it should also be acknowledged that any coercive or unsafe behaviours are unacceptable. Measures need to be taken to ensure the protection of any resident who is deemed vulnerable on this basis. Similarly, cases of hyper-sexuality should also be addressed immediately for the sake of all concerned. For example, public masturbation is unacceptable because it can affront other residents' sense of dignity; therefore, any resident wishing to engage in this activity should be directed to their own room (if private) or to a room designated for private purposes. The aged care team needs to acknowledge that even behaviour that is unacceptable is driven by some unmet need. The challenge is to seek to understand the message in order to help the resident to fulfil this need in acceptable ways.

Although companionship and intimacy between two residents may appear benign at first glance, these relationships may be objectionable to their spouses or adult children^[337] (Level QE). Researchers found that residents and spouses were less tolerant than staff of residents masturbating, engaging in sexual relationships, viewing sexual materials, and making sexual approaches to staff.^[337] Privacy was the primary determinant of appropriateness for behaviours for all groups. Staff and spouses were more likely than residents to endorse counselling for behaviours perceived as inappropriate.

It would appear that any form of intimacy between residents may be problematic.^[341] A simple problem-solving framework offers a process for addressing these types of concerns:

1. Describe and document the behaviour in objective terms. Then ask "Is this really a problem and, if so, for whom?" If it is a problem that exists for a resident, the aged care team, family members or the facility then further assessment is required to identify the triggers of the problem or the real issue; and
2. Develop a plan of care to be implemented and evaluate it to determine its effectiveness.

Advocacy for residents should be foremost during this process. Therefore, a great deal of education and counselling of the aged care team, families and other residents may be required to assist them in accepting the need for residents to express intimacy and sexuality^[339,345,347] (Level QE; Level EO; Level EO). Members of the aged care team invariably project their own sense of personal morality in these situations and thus their spiritual and cultural standards may be challenged^[337] (Level QE). The complex issues of intimacy and sexuality should be included in staff orientation programs and in ongoing education and training programs for the aged care team^[341] (Level QE). Members of the aged care team may also need to air their concerns about close relationships among residents, and a process to facilitate this discussion should be available as required. Residents and families are generally left out of training programs; families who have concerns about these issues deserve an opportunity to discuss their feelings.

9.3 CONFIDENTIALITY

Although most members of the aged care team are trained in the importance of confidentiality throughout their various careers, issues of sexuality require an additional reminder of confidentiality requirements^[341] (Level QE). It is normal practice for a staff member to share with their co-workers information about the resident's care. Although this information-sharing is valuable in promoting teamwork within a palliative approach, discretion is required when it comes to sharing information with colleagues about a resident's intimacy and sexuality needs^[341] (Level QE). Two key questions to ask before sharing such confidences are:

- 1) Do I need to document this?
- 2) Do I need to tell my colleagues this information?

This process should prevent any unnecessary disclosures, thereby maintaining the resident's dignity.

Consideration of residents' sexuality should be a routine component of care; however, in practice it is often neglected^[341] (Level QE). There may be an assumption that as the resident is receiving a palliative approach their sexuality needs are not important. Although this may be the case, the aged care team needs to be aware of any cues regarding needs for intimacy or sexuality from residents and to facilitate support for these needs as appropriate.

Guideline: Social Support, Intimacy and Sexuality

	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
Social Support		
60. A lack of social support may lead to deteriorating psychological wellbeing, depression, and diminished functional health. Therefore, a thorough assessment of the resident's social network is required, including the resident's perception, appraisal and interpretation of the contact that is most important to them. Appropriate interventions can then be undertaken with a view to arresting / improving the resident's condition.	332, 333	IV
Intimacy		
61. The use of comfort touch (e.g. massage, hand-holding) by the aged care team can enhance residents' sense of well-being and self-regard, improve communication between aged care team members and residents and decrease residents' levels of pain.	290, 338 336	IV QE
Sexuality		
62. Aged care teams who have received ongoing education regarding the importance of residents' intimacy and sexuality needs and who are discrete when sharing such information with their colleagues, provide residents with more holistic care; thereby improving residents' well-being.	336, 337, 341	QE



ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER ISSUES



The values underpinning both a palliative approach and aged care in Australia are strongly grounded in the non-Indigenous culture that dominates health and social services. Meeting the needs of Indigenous Australians requires that non-Indigenous Australian controlled RACFs adopt a respectful attitude and be directed by the unique values, beliefs and experiences of Indigenous Australian residents, their family members and community^[348,349] (Level EO; Level EO). For example, a recent study on terminal illness in rural Indigenous Australian communities found that participants were aware of the availability of health services^[350] (Level QE) but preferred care to be provided by the family for as long as possible, and for some people dying on their home country had considerable spiritual significance. Willis (1999)^[349] (Level EO) discusses Indigenous Australians' strong preference for care to be provided by particular relatives and the importance of traditional healers. Complex family relations may mean that the appropriate decision-makers are not the immediate caregivers.

From the perspective of a member of the aged care team adopting a palliative approach, the decisions of Indigenous Australian residents and their family and significant community members may not be consistent with the views of some team members. However, consistent with a palliative approach, the role of the aged care team is to work with the resident, family, community members and relevant services to find ways to enable the resident's goals to be achieved. A recent assessment of Indigenous Australians requiring a palliative approach identified a number of examples of services being able to improve the quality of palliative services while respecting residents' wishes to die in a particular community^[133] (Level QE).

10.1 BACKGROUND

In addition to their distinct culture, other aspects of Indigenous Australians' experience have led to a large burden of unresolved grief and loss that is inherent in Indigenous Australian communities as a result of premature death, family separation and community breakdown. This can have far-reaching effects and impact significantly on the dying and bereavement experience of Indigenous Australians and their family members. It also affects the ability of Indigenous Australian health workers to provide ongoing care. With a life expectancy of 20 years less than non-Indigenous Australians, and a high burden of chronic illness, health problems usually associated with people in their seventies or eighties are more prevalent among much younger Indigenous Australians.^[133] A consequence is that Indigenous Australian residents requiring a palliative approach are likely to be relatively young compared with non-Indigenous Australian residents.

The delivery of a palliative approach among Indigenous Australians is a largely underdeveloped area of health care. Indigenous Australians tend to access both mainstream palliative services and RACFs infrequently. Factors associated with this infrequent use of palliative services

include lack of information about these services, culturally inappropriate care provided, and the lack of availability of the services in rural and remote areas where Indigenous Australians are more likely to live than non-Indigenous Australians.

Indigenous Australians' access to primary health care has gradually improved through the establishment of Indigenous Australian community-controlled health organisations that are able to offer culturally appropriate and accessible care. However, across Australia few services are funded specifically to provide a palliative approach to Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander peoples^[133] (Level QE). Nevertheless, there are Indigenous Australian residents and staff in many RACFs.

10.2 PROVIDING APPROPRIATE SUPPORT

Members of the aged care team need to be proactive in their efforts to provide appropriate support for Indigenous Australian residents and family members.

First, this requires being aware of the resident's cultural identity, that is, whether the resident and the resident's family identify themselves as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islanders. Importantly, Indigenous Australian residents may have non-Indigenous Australian family members. Additionally, family members of non-Indigenous Australian residents may be Indigenous Australians.

Second, the use of Indigenous Australian aged care team members, Indigenous Australian health workers and liaison officers are an important though often under-used resource for consulting with the individual resident, their family and other significant cultural or community members^[133] (Level QE). Sullivan recommends initiating protocols to involve an appropriate cultural broker (such as an Indigenous Australian health worker) in the care team, with the resident's and family members' consent^[133] (Level QE).

Third, RACFs will be better placed to meet the needs of Indigenous Australian residents and family members if they establish dialogue and relationships with the local Indigenous Australian community and particularly the relevant Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Organisation. These groups can provide valuable referral services and advice about local cultural issues regarding dying to ensure that Indigenous Australian residents' and families' total needs are met.

Finally, Eckerman and colleagues (1992)^[351] (Level EO) warn about transporting simplistic views of traditional values into rural and urban settings. Traditional Indigenous Australian culture is far from simple. Adopting a standard view of Indigenous Australian culture and expecting it to 'fit' every Indigenous Australian does not do justice to a complex culture. Indigenous Australian populations are not, of course, homogenous. Indigenous Australians live in a wide spectrum of ecological zones, speak several hundred languages and have varying religious and healing practices, diets, family traditions, political structures and economic strategies. Eckerman and colleagues take this even further with an exhortation "to be aware... of differences within cultural groups [and] see Aboriginal people as individuals"^[351, p. 9] All members of the aged care team should receive locally delivered cultural awareness training.

In March 2002, the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing commissioned a study, led by Dr Kate Sullivan, into the palliative care needs of Indigenous Australians across Australia. This study identified significant issues in the delivery of a palliative approach to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and made recommendations about how these gaps in service might be addressed^[133] (Level QE). The following guidelines have been extrapolated from this study's findings.

Guideline: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Issues		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
63. Respectful attention to the individual needs of Indigenous Australian residents, taking into account their beliefs regarding illness, healing, comfort, care practices, location of care, and death and dying is required to provide Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander residents with culturally appropriate and satisfactory care.	133	QE
64. Aboriginal health workers, liaison officers, other Indigenous Australian health care practitioners and community organisations have important knowledge about local cultural values and individual situations and should be involved when developing protocols and when working with Indigenous Australian residents to deliver the best possible care.	133	QE
65. Regular review of Indigenous Australian residents' needs is required because their needs may change over time. Communication in the resident's own language will enhance understanding and attention to his / her needs.	133	QE





Australia has a rich multicultural population. According to the 2001 census, 22% of Australia's population was born overseas representing over 200 different ancestries.^[352] Recent statistics indicate that 25% of permanent residents in RACFs were born overseas and 13.6% were from countries or regions other than Oceania, the UK, Ireland and North America. Almost 60% of these residents live in either New South Wales or Victoria.^[353] As migration to Australia is as old as European settlement itself, many other residents would have had parents or grandparents born in these other regions, although a statistical enumeration is not available. Residents and family members from culturally and linguistically diverse populations may have particular needs that members of the aged care team should address in order to provide compassionate and effective palliative approach to care.

Definitions of culture refer to a set of beliefs, values, norms and practices that are learned, shared, and dynamic and which influence individuals' thoughts, expressions and actions in a patterned way. Culture is not static; it changes over time and place and between and within persons. No individual can be reduced either to a simplistic set of cultural norms or to a set of exotic or quaint rules.

Multiculturalism is now a defining characteristic of contemporary Australian life. Not only are there many cultural groups but, importantly, many relationships exist between groups. Within nations, societies and communities there are dominant and marginalised cultures. The dominant culture of an RACF may favour certain styles of behaviour and ways of talking and interacting, and privilege certain residents over others.

Conflicting points of view often centre on what the notions of equality and equity involve. Some argue that it means treating everyone in the same way. Others argue that it means acknowledging difference, especially when that difference is associated with disadvantage. However, one of the issues that is clear in Australia is that power is not distributed evenly within or between cultures. The dynamics of power relationships and the way they affect individuals and groups must be an integral part of our understanding of cultures. Such an understanding is essential for the creation of RACF environments and guidelines that will value diversity, and thus promote the emotional and physical health of all members of that community.

All residents require careful assessment to ensure that assumptions are not made for cultural needs based on a resident's language abilities alone. The need for the aged care team to understand the health and caring perspectives of different cultural groups is especially important in the context of a palliative approach. It is often at this stage that residents and families turn to more culturally familiar and comforting beliefs and practices. It is considered that the aged care team cannot provide a sensitive palliative approach to minority ethnic groups unless there is a greater understanding about the meaning of death and dying from the cultural

perspective of these communities^[354] (Level EO). When the aged care team responds sensitively to the cultural beliefs and practices of residents and families, their satisfaction with care is increased^[355] (Level EO).

Unfortunately, evidence suggests that members of the aged care team frequently experience communication difficulties with those they care for if they are from different cultural and linguistic backgrounds. These team members can experience stress and frustration caring for ethnic minority patients^[356] (Level QE). Communication difficulties are reported to compromise the provision of holistic care, including psychosocial support. Furthermore, physical care may be sub-optimal as well^[357] (Level QE). Nurses have been shown to consistently rate the level of pain experienced by individuals differently if they do not share a common language or if the person being cared for is from another culture^[348] (Level EO).

Vignette 12: Cultural understandings

An older woman from Pakistan was admitted to a hospital with malignant bowel obstruction. The family, who had limited English, had indicated they did not want more cancer treatment and surgery was not an option. While her symptoms were well controlled she was deteriorating and it was likely that she was going to die in a few days; this was explained to the family. Some members of the aged care team became upset when they saw a family member trying to force-feed a mixture of rice and broth to their mother. An explanation of why their mother could not be given food was given and the family was shown how to give good mouth care. The family yet again was seen force-feeding their unresponsive mother. This time when staff talked to the family they asked them why they were continuing to feed their mother. They explained that they were disturbed by the cold water and ice chips being given and were afraid that they were weakening her further. To counteract the cold fluids they wanted to give her something warm. Together, staff and family agreed that the mother would be given warm water for mouth care, supplemented by occasional use of the clear broth. All parties were satisfied and there were no more conflicts between the family and aged care team.

Hall and colleagues (1998)^[358]

11.1 TRANSCULTURAL COMMUNICATION

Whenever possible, information about a palliative approach should be provided to culturally and linguistically diverse residents and their families in their own language^[359] (Level II). To adequately respond to the needs and concerns of residents and families of other cultural backgrounds, explicit efforts must be made to identify a shared understanding and negotiate a solution. Sensitive communication of this kind can diffuse conflict about care by pointing to alternative strategies that are culturally acceptable to the resident, their family and the aged care team^[358] (Level EO).

It is important to recognise that consent to medical treatment cannot be given unless the resident (or their proxy) demonstrates that they have a clear understanding of what the treatment involves. One-way conversations consisting of ‘explaining’ procedures are not evidence that communication or understanding has taken place. Within this context, the importance of using interpreter services cannot be over-emphasised.

11.2 ASSESSMENT

The best approach to identifying the residents' cultural needs is to assess their individual practices and beliefs. This could be done at the same time as the spiritual assessment, depending on the resident's ability to do so, because cultural and spiritual beliefs can overlap. Whenever possible, involvement of the resident's family should be sought, to assist the team's understanding of the resident's cultural beliefs. For some cultures it is the family, rather than the resident, who make decisions and this needs to be clearly determined^[360] (Level EO). Any assessment needs to be mindful of the comfort level for the resident regarding their ability to talk about death or dying^[360] (Level EO).

11.3 MANAGEMENT

Cultural sensitivity requires that the aged care team be aware of how culture forms residents' values, beliefs and basic assumptions; the team must also acknowledge that difference exists and have respect for these differences^[361] (Level QE). 'Cultural competence' refers to the aged care team's knowledge and skills rather than their attitudes^[361] (Level QE). To be culturally competent, an aged care team needs to have cultural sensitivity, skills in communication, use of interpreters, and an awareness of non-verbal communication^[361] (Level QE).

An alternative term to cultural sensitivity and competence is 'cultural brokerage'^[358] (Level EO). The principles are the same as for cultural competence, but some steps have been articulated that may be beneficial for RACFs to consider when developing appropriate cultural support. These steps are:

- having an awareness of one's own framework of attitudes, values and beliefs, and of its potential impact on the care provided to residents receiving a palliative approach;
- establishing open, non-threatening relationships;
- using effective communication skills to elicit important aspects of other people's framework of attitudes, values and beliefs;
- using effective communication skills to share important aspects of one's own framework; and
- negotiating common ground.

(Adapted from Hall et al., 1998)^[358] (Level EO)

To help the aged care team in becoming cultural brokers, education on cultural diversity is recommended^[356,361] (Level QE; Level QE).

Privacy issues are also relevant and have different levels of importance for varying cultural groups. For example, some Chinese residents receiving a palliative approach may experience a cultural conflict with the aged care team^[362] (Level EO). 'Face' is a term commonly used within Chinese cultures and refers to respect not just from family and friends but also respect from others, in this case the aged care team^[363] (Level IV). To 'save face' (maintain respect), any attempt at open discussions between family members and the aged care team will involve very little input or discussion from family members^[363] (Level IV). This behaviour is sometimes referred to as 'a conspiracy of silence' and may lead to frustration for the aged care team and family members^[362]

(Level EO). This silence is based on a belief that to discuss dying is the same as wishing that person dead, and such discussion may even lead to their death^[362] (Level EO). Other cultures may also have beliefs and practices that will direct how privacy is to be handled. Most importantly, individuals within a cultural group may not share these beliefs, highlighting the importance of an individualised assessment of the resident’s and their family’s culture.

11.4 INTERPRETER SERVICES

When involving an independent interpreter, the aged care team should explain the interpreter’s role and the issues of confidentiality to the resident and/or their family. The resident’s consent for the interpreter to be involved during decision-making processes must be obtained before commencing. In rural and remote areas, using telephone interpreter services is recommended. The National Accreditation Authority for Translators and Interpreters (NAATI) is also recommended, depending on availability, particularly for rural and remote areas.

Generally, the involvement of family members, friends or other unqualified people to assist with interpreting is not considered prudent practice because family members and/or friends may inadvertently or deliberately censor or alter information. Additionally, as with unqualified people, their language skills may be inappropriate to enable them to work effectively under such circumstances. The issue of the interpreter’s gender also needs to be considered, because for many cultures it is inappropriate to speak with the opposite gender about health care issues.

Guideline: Cultural Issues		REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
66.	Education about cultural diversity is recommended for aged care teams to enhance understanding of care preferences of residents from varying cultural groups. Efforts to accommodate these preferences promote individualised care that benefits the residents’ and their families’ well-being.	356, 361	QE
67.	Where possible, provide information about a palliative approach to residents from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds in their own language because this enhances cultural sensitivity for residents and their families and ensures adequate and appropriate care.	359 356	II QE



Dein and Stygall (1997)^[364] (Level EO) explored whether being religious helped or hindered in coping with a chronic illness, and distinguished between religion and spirituality. Their review showed that religion is a common coping mechanism that may have a positive effect. The importance of an effective coping mechanism is that it could reduce the psychological morbidity associated with chronic and terminal illness, and therefore, to overlook the spiritual component of a palliative approach by ignoring spiritual matters would be an omission of care^[365] (Level EO).

Spirituality's role as a coping mechanism was supported by a review of studies dealing with religion and spirituality^[366] (Level EO). The reviewers found that most studies have shown that religious involvement and spirituality seem to be associated with positive health outcomes. Six themes associated with spirituality have been identified: needs for religion, need for companionship, need for involvement and control, need to finish business, need to experience nature and need for a positive outlook^[367,368] (Level QE; Level EO).

Spirituality was found to be an important predictor of the quality of life of individuals receiving a palliative approach^[367,369,370] (Level QE; Level QE; Level QE). Indeed, impending death is considered a powerful stimulus for reflection on the significance of life and destiny for residents in RACFs^[371] (Level IV). Providing spiritual support is a responsibility of the aged care team that must be fulfilled to enhance a resident's quality of life^[367] (Level QE).

Research indicates that people are receptive to carefully worded inquiries about their spiritual and religious beliefs^[372] (Level QE) and that providing spiritual support was an area identified as important by families^[373] (Level QE). Aspects of spiritual support included staff talking about religion and spiritual matters, visits from clergy, and prayers.^[373] Additionally, early assessment of the spiritual needs of residents is important and should not be relegated to a later stage of the illness.

12.1 ASSESSMENT

Spiritual assessment is an ongoing process. Understanding the resident's current or desired practices, attitudes, experiences and beliefs assists in meeting their spiritual needs^[367] (Level QE). Unfortunately few spiritual assessment tools have been validated; however, one tool that has been validated is the System of Belief Inventory (SBI).^[374] The SBI is a self-report inventory that measures two domains: 1) Presence and importance of religious and spiritual beliefs and practices, and 2) the value of support from a religious / spiritual community.^[374]

The SBI originally contained 54 questions and was developed for use with cancer patients; however, the tool was revised to broaden the applicability to people with non-cancer diagnoses and to shorten the number of questions to 15 (SBI-15R) to reduce participant burden^[375]

(Level IV). Subsequent studies using the SBI-15R found it was a valid and highly reliable assessment tool that was appropriate for use with people who had non-cancer diagnoses, were older, and from other cultures, such as Australian, German and Israeli [375–378] (Level IV; Level IV; Level IV; Level IV).

Irrespective of whether a validated spiritual assessment tool is used or not, the aged care team needs to determine whether a resident embraces some form of spirituality and the ways in which they practise this belief. Simply asking a resident which religion they belong to is not an adequate means of determining spiritual needs. Some suggestions about how the aged care team might begin discussions of spiritual needs are given in the following table.

TABLE 19: HOW TO ASSESS SPIRITUAL NEEDS
Possible questions:
How are you in yourself?
What is your source of hope and strength?
What are your spiritual needs?
Are there ways we might help with your spiritual needs or concerns?
Things to look for:
Social Isolation;
Depression;
Resident questioning meaning of their existence;
Resident seeking spiritual assistance;
Resident attendance at spiritual services; and
Religious items.

Reprinted from GERIATRIC NURSING, 20(3), Hicks, T.J.J., Spirituality and the elderly: nursing implications with nursing home residents, 144–146, [379], © 1999, with permission from Elsevier.

Similarly, obtaining a comprehensive social/family history that includes these issues from the resident or family at time of admission may help identify the resident’s own past and present resources for their spiritual care^[367] (Level QE). Spiritual assessment is best conducted in a trusting environment by a person with adequate interpersonal skills who can engage the views of the resident and the family through a conversational style rather than a fact-finding interrogation^[367] (Level QE).

Whether a resident’s spiritual care involves public or private practices, their privacy needs to be respected and an opportunity given to carry out their practices^[367,373] (Level QE; Level QE). Spiritual counselling and support are essential to a palliative approach and may help give access to rites and rituals that offer symbolic meaning to residents^[380] (Level QE). Social isolation, questions on the meaning of life, depression or a search for spiritual assistance may indicate that a resident requires spiritual attention.

A regular review of spiritual needs will guide practice, ensuring that spiritual care is flexible and adaptable^[367,373] (Level QE; Level QE), meeting the needs of the resident and family, particularly when needs change (e.g. when death is imminent)^[371] (Level IV). Also evidence indicates that people who profess stronger spiritual beliefs are able to resolve their grief more quickly after a death of a close friend or relative^[381] (Level QE). The following story illustrates the importance of a regular review.

Vignette 13: Jack's story

Jack was quick to respond to the question on admission regarding religion. "Just put down nil", he said. "I've never been interested and it's too late now." Over the next few months, Jack became more and more acquainted with the new chaplain, who would always acknowledge him on her visits to his roommate. When his roommate died, Jack went to the funeral in the chapel and was very comforted by the way the chaplain acknowledged his roommate's life and his place in the facility. She acknowledged the grief of other residents, stopping to speak to each one present at the funeral. A few days later Jack asked the nurse, "Do you think I could have that chaplain visit me when my time comes? She does a good funeral and I'd like her to do mine!" And so, a relationship of trust was established between Jack and the chaplain. She listened to Jack and took careful note of his requests, so that when the time came for Jack's funeral there was a distinctively personal element.

12.2 MANAGEMENT

Spiritual care involves assisting residents to articulate those things that are important to them personally. Spiritual care involves sensitive listening, rather than providing answers. It is not necessary for the aged care team to share the same spiritual beliefs as the resident in order to understand the resident's spiritual needs, nor is the aim of spiritual care for members of the aged care team to impose their own views^[382] (Level QE). This care includes an awareness of the feelings of isolation the resident may experience at the end-of-life^[39] (Level QE). Table 20 provides an overview of spiritual interventions for the aged care team.

TABLE 20: SPIRITUAL INTERVENTIONS TO SUPPORT RESIDENTS	
1.	Allow the resident to guide all interventions.
2.	Silent support: Be with the resident; Provide a supportive presence; Avoid judgment.
3.	Liaison: Coordinate services and people (e.g. chaplains/pastoral care workers, family, friends) as requested by the resident; Ensure access to spiritual activities (e.g. Bible study, worship ceremonies); Obtain requested spiritually related items (e.g. books, rosaries, statues, videos, music); Avoid interrupting the resident during spiritual activities.
4.	Active listening: Engage in conversation with the resident; Be alert to the resident's comfort level — watch for eye contact, bodily movement (turning away, restlessness) and disengagement from conversation; Repeat themes of the conversation to ensure accurate interpretation.

Reprinted from GERIATRIC NURSING, 20(3), Hicks, T.J.J., Spirituality and the elderly: nursing implications with nursing home residents, 144–146, [379], © 1999, with permission from Elsevier

12.3 CHAPLAINS AND PASTORAL CARE WORKERS

Chaplains and pastoral care workers can provide spiritual care to people in a variety of settings. Chaplains usually have been ordained into their religion, though this may not always be the case. The inclusion of either a chaplain or pastoral care worker in an aged care team creates a palliative approach that considers each resident's spiritual care needs.

In a recent UK study, 73% of residential facilities surveyed (N = 1,500) had requested the assistance of someone from the Christian faith to help care for a dying resident^[380] (Level QE). Fourteen percent had asked for support from other faiths, such as Judaism, Baha'ism and Humanism. External help was sought because 67% of the RACF managers felt that it would benefit the resident. This suggests that the aged care team may perceive increased spiritual needs at the time of death and readily seek assistance from people who are well trained in the area of spirituality.^[380] These findings point to the relevance of access to chaplains and pastoral care workers for residents requiring a palliative approach. However, unless the resident wants such spiritual support, a visit from a chaplain or pastoral care worker can be considered as an intrusion, as is illustrated in the following story.

Vignette 14: Alison's story

Alison had never learned to read or write, having been considered too 'slow' for normal schooling. After entering the RACF aged 89, her life flourished. She made friends with another resident, entered into all the social events and attended the weekly chapel service. The pastor would visit twice a week and read Bible stories to Alison. Although she would remain politely attentive, the aged care team who knew her well perceived that she was not interested despite the pastor's best efforts to engage her. The aged care team was unsure whether to act on Alison's behalf, as they were reluctant to intrude into 'religious' territory. However, one time Alison was also able to speak on her own behalf when the pastor asked if she would like to have further visits from him. "No thank you" was her response to the query and the pastor respected her preference.

To be effective in providing spiritual support, the chaplain or pastoral care worker should have experience and knowledge about spiritual issues and should be an integral part of a multidisciplinary team^[367] (Level QE). However, other members of the aged care team are often asked questions relating to spiritual matters by residents and these are best addressed at the time by the aged care team in an open, non-judgemental manner^[367] (Level QE).

Guideline: Spiritual Support

	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
68. A multidisciplinary aged care team that responds in an open, non-judgmental manner to residents' questions regarding spiritual needs, and that involves a chaplain / pastoral care worker with experience and knowledge of spiritual issues, is likely to provide appropriate spiritual support to residents, which will enhance their quality of life.	371	IV
	367, 380	QE
69. A palliative approach supports residents and families to express their unique spirituality. Respecting their privacy and providing an opportunity for them to continue their spiritual practices enhances a resident's spiritual care and their quality of life, as does spiritual counselling.	371	IV
	367, 369	QE
70. Understanding the resident's current or desired practices, attitudes, experiences and beliefs by obtaining a comprehensive history, assists in meeting the spiritual needs of a resident, as does a regular review.	371	IV
	367, 373	QE





Palliative care services have historically relied on the involvement and contribution of volunteers, contributions that are considered valuable to the success of these services^[383,384] (Level QE: Level IV). Volunteers have been found to be helpful in providing personal support, and to possess adequate interpersonal skills,^[383,385] as is illustrated in the following story.

Vignette 15: Joyce and Miss Walker

“She just crept into my heart.” This comment was made by Joyce, a volunteer, who spontaneously offered to give a eulogy at Miss Walker’s funeral. Joyce had been assigned to assist Miss Walker with her meals whenever she could spare the time. Now bed-bound with little independent movement, experiencing the inexorable decline of Alzheimer’s disease, Miss Walker seemed to recognise Joyce at each visit. “I think it’s the perfume. I always wear the same perfume and talk to Miss Walker about it. I just chatter on when I’m feeding her. She probably doesn’t understand a word I say, but I carry on anyway. I also think she likes my singing! Although she never says a word I’m sure she knows me.” At the funeral, the priest referred to Miss Walker as “a person we know little about”. Joyce, one of the four people present, disagreed: “I know a lot about her. May I say a few words please?” Unknown to the aged care team, Joyce had pieced together some of Miss Walker’s past, so that she could talk to her about her early life as a dancer and a dressmaker. Joyce’s contribution transformed an impersonal, perfunctory funeral into a lively celebration of Miss Walker’s life.

RACFs’ access to volunteers varies widely, as does the role volunteers play within a facility. However, as volunteers are an integral part of palliative teams providing support and assistance to clients^[384] (Level IV), it is suggested that residents in RACFs would similarly benefit^[386] (Level EO).

Coordination of volunteers

Effective inclusion of volunteers requires appropriate support and supervision. To facilitate the inclusion of volunteers into the facility, the aged care team may require education in managing volunteers in an RACF setting, or preferably, a coordinator of volunteers’ position could be introduced.

A coordinator who has the requisite experience and/or training in volunteer support^[385] (Level EO) can provide ongoing supervision and support. A coordinator of volunteers is a person responsible for the recruitment, training, placement and ongoing support of volunteers and for liaising with members of the aged care team to ensure volunteer roles are clearly defined and meeting the needs of residents^[384] (Level IV). The criteria considered important for selecting a suitable candidate for the role of coordinator of volunteers are maturity and highly developed

interpersonal and leadership skills^[385] (Level EO). For success in this role, it is important for the coordinator to have autonomy and authority, and for the position to be salaried^[385] (Level EO).

Coordinators of volunteer services have reported that a wider range of volunteers is entering the unpaid palliative workforce^[385] (Level EO). The potential contributions of this varied group of volunteers are valued. However, there is a notable gap in the literature related to how to screen, train, monitor and evaluate volunteer services. Given the magnitude of the volunteer work performed, the vulnerability of residents receiving volunteer services, and the relatively unsupervised support provided by volunteers, greater attention to personal issues, standards of volunteer care, and related management issues for this unpaid workforce is needed. Policies and procedures relating to volunteer recruitment, selection, orientation and training can inform volunteer involvement and ensure that volunteer roles are clearly defined. It is suggested that RACFs contact organisations such as Volunteering Australia to review their standards on these matters (see Appendix I 'Resource List').

The education and ongoing supervision of volunteers who may provide support to residents and their families can help provide a service that is valued by residents and carers^[384,387] (Level IV; Level QE). Following a suitable recruitment, orientation and matching process, volunteers may act as a companion and confidant to the resident and their family^[382,384] (Level QE; Level IV). Volunteers may also provide bereavement care if they have been trained in this area, and receive ongoing support and supervision^[388] (Level QE).

Two issues raised in the literature that need to be addressed via the orientation and ongoing supervision of volunteers is the lack of commitment by some volunteers and volunteers not knowing the appropriate boundary between themselves and family members after the bereavement period^[388,389] (Level QE; Level EO). These issues are paramount, particularly if volunteers are to be involved in providing bereavement support.

Another factor that needs to be considered by the coordinator of volunteers is that a wider range of volunteers is entering the unpaid palliative workforce; significantly, those who have been actively serving as a volunteer for 4 years or less are more likely to be working full-time or part-time^[390,391] (Level QE; Level EO).

The following vignette illustrates the appropriate involvement of a volunteer with a resident who was dying.

Vignette 16: Frank and Andrew

Frank had been admitted to an RACF on discharge from hospital. His condition deteriorated rapidly and the staff contacted the local palliative service for support. As Frank had limited family support, Frank agreed that he would like Andrew, a volunteer from the palliative service, to sit with him. On his last visit, Andrew found that Frank was no longer responsive, but appeared agitated and restless. Andrew sat with Frank for several hours, playing his guitar. "I don't know if it was any help but he seemed calmer while I was there," said Andrew. The staff reported that Frank did in fact settle while Andrew was with him. Frank died peacefully several hours later.

Guideline: Volunteer Support

	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
71. The integration of trained volunteers into multidisciplinary teams can enhance the provision of a palliative approach to residents.	384	IV
	383	QE
72. Ongoing support and education from a trained coordinator of volunteers will increase the effectiveness of volunteers as members of a multidisciplinary team providing a palliative approach.	384	IV
	383	QE
73. Suitably screened and matched volunteers may act as companions and confidantes, which can help residents and their families to feel more supported.	384 383, 388	IV QE





How people die remains in the memories of those who live on.^[392]

This chapter focuses on care of the residents and their families when death appears imminent. The key points are discussed, but other publications — such as the *Oxford Textbook of Palliative Medicine*,^[56] *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*^[109] and *Care of the Dying Patient: A Pathway to Excellence*^[393] — should also be consulted to complement the information given here. Many of the symptoms discussed should also be considered alongside other references to symptom management in this document.

14.1 WHAT IS OPTIMAL END-OF-LIFE CARE?

The quality of end-of-life care can vary from person to person, due to differing beliefs, values, culture, spirituality and basic assumptions. This makes the term ‘optimal end-of-life care’ difficult to define, and even more difficult to accurately measure. Despite these obstacles, various theories abound as to what are the common factors that exist when optimal end-of-life care is achieved. The following list should help aged care team members raise their awareness about some of these common factors for optimal end-of-life care. Residents who are dying may need to:

- know when death is coming, and to understand what can be expected;
- be able to maintain a sense of control and have their wishes given preference;
- be afforded dignity and privacy;
- have control over pain relief and other symptom control;
- have choice over where their death occurs (RACF, home or elsewhere);
- have access to information and excellent care;
- have access to spiritual and emotional support as required;
- have access to a palliative approach;
- have control over who is present and who shares the final moments;
- be able to issue advance care plans, which ensures that their wishes are respected;
- have time to say goodbye; and
- not have life inappropriately prolonged.

(Adapted from the Centre for Policy on Ageing, 1999)^[394]

This list may serve as a reminder for the aged care team to assess these issues each time they are aware of a resident's imminent death, so they can take comprehensive steps towards optimal end-of-life care. The list may also act as an agenda for a family conference, prompting the resident and their family to express their ideas of what optimal end-of-life care means to them.

It is also important at this time to determine the appropriateness of unwanted or unhelpful interventions (also known as 'clinical futility') for residents who are dying. Determining clinical futility is a step towards providing a successful palliative and end-of-life approach^[24] (Level QE). For residents without an established advance care plan (see Chapter 4, 'Advance care planning'), particularly when discussions regarding end-of-life wishes have been unfruitful or have not occurred, clinical futility can be an issue for the resident, their family and carers, and the aged care team^[23] (Level QE).

14.2 RECOGNISING WHEN A RESIDENT IS DYING

Yedidia and MacGregor (2001)^[395] (Level QE) have identified seven themes that characterise the attitudes and perspectives that people may confront when dying. These themes are; struggle (living and dying are difficult), dissonance (dying is not living), endurance (triumph of inner strength), coping (finding a new balance), incorporation (belief system accommodates death), quest (seeking meaning in death), and volatile (unresolved and unresigned). Aged care team members familiarity with such life and dying themes may facilitate their provision of more appropriate care and support for residents.


The capacity of individual's who are dying to accept their impending death seems to be positively affected when their primary caregiver accepts death and the terminal prognosis is appropriately communicated^[396] (Level QE).

End-of-life care refers to the phase where death may be expected within a period of hours to several days. Determining when the terminal phase has commenced may be difficult. Most residents have multiple clinical diagnoses involving multi-system pathology, and the diagnosis of dying is often made only by exclusion.^[397] There are different illness trajectories for people with cancer, organ system failure and dementia (as shown in Figure 4):

- *Cancer*. Residents diagnosed with cancer have a more predictable course and can usually perform activities of daily living until quite late in their disease process (see Section 2.2.1, 'Prognostication', for further details).
- *Organ system failure*. Residents dying of chronic organ system failure tend to follow a second trajectory. With conditions such as heart failure, obstructive lung disease and cirrhosis, those affected may be ill for many months or even years, with occasional exacerbations. Each exacerbation may trigger fears of death, with uncertainty regarding the resident's ability to recover from the most recent episodes.
- *Dementia/frailty*. A large and increasing number of people with progressive disabilities such as strokes, dementia and frailty due to old age may experience a third trajectory and need long-term help with activities of daily living; they will generally experience a more gradual decline in health^[13] (Level QE). The most common symptoms during the last year of a person's life with dementia are mental confusion (83%), urinary incontinence (72%), pain (64%), low

mood (61%), constipation (59%) and loss of appetite (57%)^[77] (Level QE). Symptoms commonly experienced during the last 48 hours of a resident's life include pain, dyspnoea, respiratory congestion, delirium, dysphagia, fever and muscle twitching (myoclonus)^[398] (Level IV).

FIGURE 4: GENERAL TRAJECTORIES OF FUNCTION AND WELLBEING OVER TIME IN EVENTUALLY FATAL CHRONIC ILLNESSES



Permission to reproduce this figure received from the Author^[13, p. 930]

Recognising when the death of a resident without cancer is imminent is more difficult to determine due to co-morbidities^[38] (Level IV). The aged care team and families need to be made aware that for some residents death may occur more quickly than expected, while for others the dying process may be longer than expected. However, immediately before death (within hours to days) several of the following symptoms and signs may be present:

- peripheral shutdown and cyanosis;
- changes in respiratory patterns (e.g. ‘Cheyne Stokes’ breathing);
- drowsiness or reduced cognition (e.g. not responding to verbal and/or physical stimuli);
- uncharacteristic or recent restlessness and agitation;
- retained upper airway secretions; and
- cardiac — hypotension, tachycardia.

If a resident's death appears to be imminent, the resident's doctor should be informed immediately and an assessment conducted to determine if there are any reversible causes. When a decline is unexpected, assessment should be aimed at identifying causal factors, which could include assessment of temperature, heart rate, bowels, urination, respirations, blood pressure, urinalysis, hydration status and level of response.

Where death is imminent and reversible causes have been excluded, all interventions should be reconsidered in terms of benefit versus harm, and the resident's comfort should remain the ultimate goal. Consideration should be given to ceasing interventions such as oxygen, parenteral

therapy, blood glucose monitoring, PEG/nasogastric feeding, and unnecessary medications.

There is no single description of an individual's last hours of life, and the aged care team needs to be alert to individual differences and the resident's reactions to their impending death. The family may also require more support at this time and the aged care team needs to be able to provide this. The aged care team is in a unique position to assist families in coping with the loss of a relative during the dying process and after death has occurred^[373] (Level QE). This support may need to be practical as well as emotional^[399] (Level QE). (See Chapters 8 'Family support', and 15, 'Bereavement support', for further information).

The following story highlights some of the responses residents and their families may have when facing imminent death.

Vignette 17: Ann and Mr Wells' family

Ann, the nurse in charge, was aware that Mr Well's death was imminent and she phoned the family accordingly. Knowing the family, through her many discussions with them over the previous year, she was aware of the difference of opinion between some family members regarding the palliative approach to care and she knew that one daughter was concerned about the recent introduction of opioids. Ann's colleague thought it was "too late" to call a family meeting: "Anyway, it's not our business to get involved in their family dispute!" Ann knew otherwise and gave the family this option: "You realise your father's condition is deteriorating, and as I've explained before, we can never predict precisely when death will occur. I'm aware some of you are uncomfortable with the morphine infusion, so I wonder if you'd like to meet with the doctor and myself about 2.30p.m. It's important that the whole team, which includes the family, agrees with the plan of care. It's also important, particularly at this stage, to ask ourselves again, what is in your father's best interests? This meeting will give you the opportunity to openly discuss any questions and concerns."

14.3 MEDICATION ISSUES

Practical comfort measures are very important in managing end-of-life symptoms, and are often more effective than other interventions. Medical intervention is, however, frequently required for specific symptoms. Several medications are recommended in the next section, but for specific information such as dose levels relevant resources such as the *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*^[109] or the *Australian Medicine Handbook Drug Companion Aged Care*^[147] should be consulted.

RACFs, in consultation with GPs and local pharmacies, should develop strategies to ensure that residents who are approaching death have ready access to common terminal phase medications. It is best to have the relevant medication orders and prescriptions ready for possible symptoms in the end-of-life phase.^[109] For example, restlessness, delirium and excessive respiratory secretions are common symptoms that can develop quickly, so relevant medications should be readily available, with sufficient ranges of dose to deal with a worsening of symptoms; these should be ordered by the GP.

When the resident is approaching death, the use of oral medications should be reviewed. Some medications, such as hypoglycaemics, anticoagulants and antihypertensives, may no longer

be relevant, and may even worsen symptoms if continued^[146] (Level EO). However, such decisions should be made through negotiations between the resident and/or the family and the doctor. The *Guidelines for Medication Management in Residential Aged Care Facilities*^[400] should be consulted when making any decisions about medication management; although these guidelines are neither evidence-based nor specific to palliative care they provide sufficient guidance regarding medication management in general in RACFs.

Oral administration of medications is not feasible if there are swallowing difficulties, nausea, intestinal obstruction and decreased level of consciousness. Some medications are amenable to being crushed for ease of swallowing; however, many result in adverse effects. Therefore, it is recommended that the *Guidelines for Medication Management in Residential Aged Care Facilities*^[400] is first referred to because it contains a list of medications and their effects when crushed altered. For example, when Oxycodone (Oxycontin) is crushed its absorption characteristics become altered.^[400, p. 51]

Alternatively, some medications may be administered in transdermal, sublingual or rectal routes; however, in most circumstances subcutaneous administration will be required for effective symptom management. The subcutaneous route is preferred to other parenteral routes (intramuscular, intravenous and epidural) because it is less invasive and has fewer risks of infection.

Syringe drivers (e.g. the Graseby Pump) are commonly used for a palliative approach in the home-care setting to administer subcutaneous medications when death is imminent and other routes of administration (e.g. oral) are not appropriate. However, their use should be governed by the need for 24-hour administration of a medication and/or staff resource considerations. Common issues regarding the use of syringe drivers, including practical advice and drug compatibilities, are discussed in the *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*.^[109] RACFs need to develop strategies to facilitate ready access to a syringe driver (should one be required) and information on relevant medications. If more than one syringe driver is being used in a facility, they should be of the same make and model to reduce the risk of error.

Where appropriate, members of the aged care team (including GPs) should be selected for training in the setting up and maintenance of syringe drivers. Local community palliative services and the syringe driver manufacturers should also be contacted where necessary to assist in developing syringe driver strategies and protocols.

14.4 MANAGEMENT OF END-OF-LIFE CARE

Although most end-of-life care can be provided in the RACF, if a resident's symptoms remain uncontrolled the aged care team should consult the nearest community palliative service. It is also important to clarify the wishes of the resident and their family concerning hospitalisation. Ideally, residents should remain in their familiar environment (i.e., the RACF), provided the aged care team has access to the necessary resources for optimal symptom management. (See Section 2.3, 'Where can a palliative approach be provided?' for further information on the suitability of RACFs to provide a palliative approach).

14.4.1 Pain and discomfort

When death is imminent, the resident's comfort is paramount. However, it is a myth that uncontrollable pain frequently develops in the last hours of life when it has previously not been

a problem^[401] (Level EO). (Information on the pharmacological and non-pharmacological management of pain has already been provided (see Section 6.2, ‘Pain management’) and is not restated here). All residents who are dying are at risk of rapid skin breakdown, and the associated discomfort should be avoided by proactive management. If turning the resident to relieve pressure causes them distress, then turning should be avoided, unless the position change ultimately leads to greater levels of comfort^[402] (Level EO). (See also Section 6.10, ‘Skin integrity’, for further discussion of skin care and the use of special mattresses). However, it is also important to consider the close tactile comfort of gentle repositioning. For a very small number of residents with advanced cancer there is a risk of catastrophic terminal haemorrhage (e.g. erosion of a large artery). Planning for this event is important so that if haemorrhage occurs then medications to lessen pain and agitation are readily available. An opioid such as morphine and/or a benzodiazepine such as midazolam are recommended.^[109]

14.4.2 Delirium, restlessness and sedation

Delirium is commonly associated with agitation or restlessness. However, there are difficulties with defining restlessness and delirium^[403] (Level EO). (See Chapters 5, ‘Advanced dementia’ and 7, ‘Psychological support’ for further discussion of issues relevant to cognitive impairment). Yet, if delirium goes undiagnosed or is poorly managed then the family and health care practitioners will have unpleasant memories of the resident’s death^[327,404] (Level QE; Level III-2). Common signs of delirium and restlessness include:

- pulling at bed clothes;
- frequent changes of position (being unable to relax physically);
- myoclonus;
- moaning; and
- calling out (often incoherently)

Management of delirium involves addressing reversible causes (such as urinary retention and constipation) and medication side effects.^[404] The common pharmacological intervention for delirium is haloperidol and the benzodiazepine drug, clonazepam.^[109] Clonazepam is a long-acting agent and can assist in easing restlessness. It can be administered in droplet format sublingually or subcutaneously. Sedation in end-of-life care is warranted when symptoms are unrelieved (including existential or psychological distress). There are, however, various levels of sedation, and medications should be titrated according to effect^[405,406] (Level III-3; Level EO). For recommended doses of sedating medications see the *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*.^[109]

14.4.3 Respiratory secretions

Respiratory congestion — the ‘death rattle’ — is usually evident when residents approaching death cannot clear upper respiratory tract infections. This occurs in approximately 92% of people who are dying^[407] (Level EO). Although most residents who experience respiratory congestion are likely to be unconscious, it has been suggested that uncontrolled respiratory congestion may contribute to dyspnoea and restlessness.^[403,405] Furthermore, uncontrolled and exacerbating respiratory congestion can cause distress to families^[240,405] (Level IV; Level III-3).

Treatment involves changes in positioning and use of medications^[159] (Level EO). Although suctioning may be appropriate where there are copious thick secretions in the upper airways, routine suctioning is not recommended. Medications such as hyoscine hydrobromide or atropine sulphate may be helpful.^[109] If respiratory congestion is present in a resident who does not appear to be imminently dying, then a diagnosis of cardiac failure needs to be considered.

14.4.4 Dyspnoea and changes in breathing patterns

As the resident's death approaches, breathing patterns may alter significantly, ranging from slow to irregular to rapid. Cheyne-Stokes breathing is usually associated with apnoea, followed by a period of rapid breathing. Family members need to be advised that these changes in breathing are a normal part of the dying process, and should be reassured that the resident is not necessarily distressed by these changes^[239,241] (Level II; Level QE). A small proportion of residents may benefit from oxygen therapy; however, the need for this should be comprehensively assessed before commencement and its effectiveness closely monitored^[243] (Level EO). The decision to administer oxygen should be made by the GP, who should also prescribe the appropriate rate. Recommendations on the use of oxygen therapy for end-of-life care are given in the *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*.^[109] (See Section 6.12, 'Dyspnoea', for a further discussion of oxygen therapy).

14.4.5 Elimination issues

Urinary output decreases as death approaches. Urinary retention should be considered if the resident is restless. If catheterisation is required to relieve retention, it does not necessarily follow that the catheter should remain in situ. Routine catheterisation for residents who are dying should be avoided as it may cause unnecessary discomfort. Incontinence can usually be managed with absorbent pads^[408] (Level QE).

The other important consideration is the resident's dignity; for example, what does 'dying with dignity' mean to this particular resident and their family? Wherever possible, even when death is imminent, the aged care team should arrange a family conference to explore the resident's and/or family's wishes, fears and anxieties. Cultural and spiritual needs should also be formally evaluated, particularly if a frank discussion of death is culturally inappropriate.

14.4.6 Family conference

It is important for the resident and the family to be prepared for the resident's death, and for this a family conference is recommended^[308] (Level III-2). Such a conference needs to take place in privacy and in an appropriate setting for discussion to occur. During the conference the resident and family need to understand that the emphasis at this stage of life is on ensuring comfort and that the palliative approach does not mean withdrawing care^[309] (Level QE). Families appreciate when aged care team members are 'doing everything' for a person who is dying such as comfort care, educating and informing the family, and providing appropriate medications^[241,409] (Level QE; Level QE). Care encompasses knowing what to do as well as how to stay present during suffering^[409] (Level QE). The conference also permits a reciprocal exchange of information and can help educate family members on what to expect. Typical questions that families may have include:

- What are the signs that death may be imminent?
- What are the usual changes to the body when dying?

It would be appropriate to initiate a discussion of the common changes that occur when death is imminent, if the family have not already raised these questions.

Other important issues to raise include the following signs that may be observed in the person who is dying:

- a decrease in consciousness;
- an inability to swallow;
- changes in breathing patterns;
- peripheral shutdown; and
- incontinence.

Assurance should be given that the resident's comfort will be the primary goal of care. Some questions the family may ask at this point include:

- Can we talk to them? (It should be presumed that the resident can still hear them even when death is imminent.)
- Can we hug them (or hold hands, touch, play music, bring the grandchildren, etc)?
- What will happen to their body after they've died? (Assurance needs to be given that the body will be cared for in a dignified and culturally appropriate manner).

14.4.7 Site of care

When the resident is in a shared room, the aged care team need to ensure that the choices of the resident and their family, and of other residents and their families, are respected wherever possible. Often the resident and family members may prefer to maintain the company and comfort of others in the same room. A resident who is dying should not be moved without prior consent of the resident and/or the family, except in an emergency.

Other options may include transfer to:

- an inpatient hospice if appropriate care is not available in the RACF;
- a single room in the RACF (depending on resident's or family's choice and availability of rooms);
- a more private space for selected periods of the day or night (depending on resident's or family's choice and availability of space); and
- their home for the final days (as long as there is adequate community support services, e.g. palliative care, and making sure to keep the RACF bed open, within the requirements of the legislation, in case re-admission is needed. Home care may be an important option to explore for some residents and families, empowering them in their decision-making role. For others, of course, it may be inappropriate to discuss the option of home care).

14.5 PREPARING FOR IMMINENT DEATH — PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Formalised plans aid the provision of optimal end-of-life care. To this end, the following list contains various tasks or concerns that may require attention from the aged care team. The list is only a suggested guide and provides a starting point for RACFs needing to develop policies and practices on preparing for a resident's death:

1. Check for documentation of advance care plans, particularly regarding preferences for site of care and resuscitation orders.
2. Check availability of the GP, including after hours. If pertinent ask that the GP visit to confirm the likelihood of imminent death.
3. Determine if the local community palliative service has the capacity to provide a consultancy service if required.
4. Check that all equipment, drugs, etc, are readily available and accessible, especially after hours.
5. Consider dignity issues (e.g. What is the most appropriate clothing for the resident — day clothes or bed attire — and would they prefer to remain in their bed or be transferred to a chair?).
6. Consider the physical comforts and needs of family members and other visitors. This might include information and assurance regarding 24-hour visiting.
7. Confirm the proposed funeral plans with key family members.
8. Confirm contact details and the family's preferences for visiting (particularly at the time of death and/or immediately after death).

14.6 SIGNS OF DEATH

The following list provides a guide to the common signs of death. These may be particularly beneficial for family members or carers who ask for an explanation of the signs of death. Not all the signs should be discussed, only those that seem appropriate to the particular circumstances. Near the time of death:

- there is an absence of pulse;
- breathing ceases;
- the pupils are fixed and dilated;
- the body becomes pale;
- body temperature decreases;
- muscles and sphincters relax;
- urine and faeces may be released;
- eyes may remain open;
- the jaw may fall open; and
- trickling of fluids internally can be heard from the resident's body.

(Adapted from Ferris, von Gunten and Emmanuel, 2003)^[401] (Level EO)

14.7 CARE AFTER THE RESIDENT HAS DIED

The aged care team needs to be familiar with the details of relevant legal requirements, which vary among the States and Territories. The *Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care*^[109, p. 106] indicate that when a death has occurred:

- a determination must be made that life is extinct. This may be performed by a GP or an RN in some states, or only by a GP in other states;
- a Certification of Death must be completed. This does not have to be done by the GP who pronounced life extinct, but the treating medical practitioner is usually the one to complete this document; and
- if there is uncertainty about the cause of death (e.g. if there has been a fall that may have contributed to the resident's death), then the coroner may need to be informed.

However, as the legal requirements do vary throughout Australia, each RACF should formulate their own policies and procedures, depending on legal requirements and their local circumstances. These should be developed with a view to being sufficiently flexible to incorporate diverse cultural and spiritual issues. Policies and procedures might include:

- determining that death has occurred;
- notification of death (dependent on State or Territory legislation, cultural issues, and RACF policy). This may also vary according to the RACFs proximity to an acute hospital and/or other services. The procedure would include the order in which people should be notified (e.g. GP, family, funeral director, senior management);
- notifying other residents, family members and the aged care team about the resident's death;
- the role of the GP (e.g. in relation to signing the death certificate);
- care of the resident's clothing and belongings;
- giving the resident's family and the aged care team the chance to say their farewells before the resident's body is removed (cultural practices and personal wishes should also be given preference). The need to provide formal debriefing for the aged care team should also be included when drafting policies and procedures;
- how to care for the resident's body after death, including acknowledging cultural sensitivities and family wishes, removing equipment from the body, and removing the body from the RACF (having first determined that the coroner does not need to be involved);
- funeral preparations; and
- evaluating outcomes of care delivery.

14.8 SUMMARY

There is no one approach to caring for someone whose death is imminent. Although various strategies and interventions have been suggested, the circumstances will always vary. However, with comprehensive assessment and a multidisciplinary team approach, residents dying in RACFs can receive the best of care. This does not occur without advance planning and appropriate

education of the aged care team and families, supported by clear policies and procedures. These elements contribute to family members' increased confidence that the aged care team will meet the needs of residents who are dying by respecting their choices wherever possible.

In order to respect the resident's and family's end-of-life choices, it is important to reassess the situation when death seems imminent. With careful planning, a conference can be arranged with the aged care team, the GP and the family to make sure the goals of care are agreed on, and to check that the resident's preferences are respected. The time spent ensuring there is a reciprocal exchange of information will contribute greatly to satisfaction with the outcome for both the aged care team and the family, leaving positive memories for those who remain.

Guideline: End-of-life (Terminal) Care		
	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
74. To be actively "doing everything" for a dying resident, in terms of medication, positioning, and other medical and nursing interventions, together with talking to, and spending time or being present with the resident helps family members cope with the dying process.	241, 409	QE
75. Well planned family conferences, conducted in private and attended by the GP and other members of the aged care team, provide an opportunity for building trust and discussing end-of-life issues of concern, which improves the family's satisfaction with the care that is provided.	308	III-2
	309	QE





When a resident dies, loss and grief may be experienced by the aged care team, the family, and other residents. To assist in understanding bereavement, the following definition of terms is provided:

- *Loss* is the severing or breaking of an attachment to someone or something, resulting in a changed relationship.
- *Grief* is the normal response to loss. It includes a range of responses: physical, mental, emotional and spiritual. These are usually associated with unhappiness, anger, guilt, pain and longing for the lost person or thing.
- *Bereavement* is the total reaction to a loss and includes the process of healing or 'recovery' from the loss. Although there are similarities in people's responses, there are also marked differences. Each person will grieve and recover in their own way.

15.1 CHARACTERISTICS OF GRIEF, LOSS AND BEREAVEMENT

The models that are frequently used for grief assume that it is an illness; hence, grief reactions become outcome focused (e.g. 'the person will recover'). Such assumptions focus interventions on an individual's 'deficits', suggesting there is something 'wrong' with the person who is grieving. However, grief can be unresolved, complicated or delayed and should not be regarded as something to get over or recover from. The aim of grief counselling is not to prevent stress or to try to get the person to 'let go' or 'leave the past behind'. Rather it aims to promote competence; grief should be considered as a process that occurs during a person's life cycle, so it is assumed that people already have skills and abilities they can use to help them through the process.

Grief is a beneficial process as it can provide continuing bonds with the person who has died, and these bonds are dynamic and changing. These bonds can provide continuity, comfort and solace.

It is impossible to predict how an individual will respond to the death of someone for whom they cared. The following table provides some guidance as to how people make meaning of their grief, loss and bereavement.

TABLE 21: GRIEF, LOSS AND BEREAVEMENT INDICATORS		
Grief is felt according to the individual's:	Loss varies for each person, but may include feelings of loss of:	Bereavement is not a simple reaction to a single event, it is:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Culture 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A life 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emotional
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Family 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A relationship 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Personality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The role (their concept of 'self') they had in a relationship 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economic
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developmental stage (development never stops — it is where you understand self in relation to others) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A way of life 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spiritual

The following table shows psychological characteristics of grief, though not all these will be experienced by those who mourn.^[410]

TABLE 22: PSYCHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS IN GRIEF	
Characteristic:	How it may be expressed:
Numbness or denial	"This hasn't really happened."
Guilt	"If only I had..." "I should have ..."
Anger	"Why did she do this to me?"
Sadness (Under denial, guilt, and anger is often a pervasive sadness.)	"I am alone, and life will never be okay now."
Ambivalence	Fluctuating between love and anger
Anxiety	Feeling of loss of control Tears flow with little or no provocation A nervousness or sensitivity to what others say, do, or do not do.
Unfairness	"It's not fair!"
Intense yearning	"I wish, I wish — Oh how I wish!"

The following table outlines other common reactions to grief.

Mental	Emotional	Physical	Behavioural	Spiritual
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disbelief/confusion • Preoccupation • Sense of the dead person's presence • Hallucinations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anxiety • Fear • Sadness • Anger • Guilt • Inadequacy • Hurt • Relief • Loneliness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hollowness in the stomach • Tightness in the chest (chest pain) • Tightness in the throat • Digestive and related problems • Over-sensitivity • A sense of de-personalisation • Breathlessness • Muscle weakness • Lack of energy (or a deep and total fatigue) • Dry mouth • Insomnia • Loss of appetite 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Crying • Sleep disturbance (either a lack or an increased need for sleep) • Sighing • Restlessness and over-activity • Appetite disturbances • Absent mindedness • Social withdrawal • Dreams of the deceased • Avoiding reminders of the deceased • Searching and calling out for the deceased • Visiting places and carrying reminders of the deceased, or treasured objects which belonged to them 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feelings of anger • Feelings of alienation from God • Feeling that hope appears lost • Feeling that life has lost its meaning

(See Chapter 12, 'Spiritual support', for further information about spiritual matters)

15.2 EXTREME GRIEF

People naturally experience grief after a death, but for some the reaction is so strong that it moves beyond 'normal' grief to what is known as pathological or 'complicated' grief. In recent years the criteria for complicated grief have been redefined.^[411] Horowitz and colleagues (1997)^[411] argue that some prolonged and turbulent grief reactions include symptoms that differ from the DSM-IV^[273] criteria for major depressive disorders. Consequently, the authors have developed observer-based definitions of 30 symptoms^[411] (Level QE). Complicated grief disorder was characterised by a smaller set of the assessed symptoms. These include bereavement (loss of spouse, other relative or intimate partner) occurring at least 14 months ago (12 months is avoided because of possible intense reactions around the anniversary date).

The following common experiences were also identified as characteristic of complicated grief disorder:^[411]

- intense intrusive thoughts;
- pangs of severe emotion;
- distressing yearnings;
- feeling incredibly alone and empty;

- excessively avoiding tasks reminiscent of the deceased;
- unusual sleep disturbances; and
- maladaptive levels of loss of interest in personal activities.

However, it was suggested that the definition of complicated grief and the distinction between complicated (or pathological) grief and normal grief is not straightforward and that there is a critical lack of evaluation.^[412] Given this lack of agreement of definitions, rather than determining the individual's grief response it would be more appropriate for the aged care team to be aware that some people require more support than others do when grieving.

15.3 ASSESSMENT

The risk factors identified for the need for bereavement support were circumstances; factors near or at the time of death such as personal and social issues.^[413] Kissane and colleagues (1997)^[413] (Level QE) suggest that bereavement support should start with assessment of family functioning and promoting healthy functioning before the death occurs. This is supported by a study that used measures of bereavement symptoms and psychological morbidity to predict symptoms of grief^[414] (Level IV). Despite the importance of bereavement assessment, the evidence indicates that bereavement follow-up in palliative care services in various countries were less than ideal and formalized risk assessment procedures were even less so^[415,416] (Level QE; Level QE).

Assessing those who might need more bereavement support is essentially a 'balancing act', based on observations, with clinical judgment and input from the aged care team, other residents, and family. The aged care team may feel that a person needs further support if:

- the death was traumatic;
- the death is less 'real' for them for some reason (e.g. unable to attend funeral, the death occurred overseas or interstate);
- they believe the death was preventable;
- they are ambivalent towards the person who died;
- there is decreased role diversity;
- they have decreased social support;
- there are pre-existing factors (e.g. psychiatric disorder);
- they are facing other crises at the same time; and
- it was an overly prolonged death.

15.4 MANAGEMENT

The literature supports the effectiveness of bereavement services indicating increased emotional, mental and physical stability of those who survive the death^[417] (Level EO). For example, spouses of patients who died in a hospice showed fewer signs of depression and anxiety and greater social involvement at 12-months bereavement than hospital survivors^[418] (Level QE). However, there are few such services found in aged care facilities in Australia.

Kellehear (2002)^[419] argues that the current focus of bereavement care puts the emphasis on personal control and the ability to adapt to loss (Level EO). A new direction in bereavement care stresses the importance of creating a supportive environment in the communities in which bereaved people live and encourages a renewal of meanings through transformation instead of the previous 'letting go' of the attachment. In this light, skilled but unprofessional bereavement workers can adequately manage bereavement care, if coordinated and supervised by a professional bereavement worker. Social workers were found to be effective grief work facilitators.^[420] However, the role of the social worker in palliative care still needs to be qualified by service receivers^[323,420] (Level QE; Level QE).

Bereavement care in rural and remote areas has additional challenges to overcome such as levels of stress due to role conflict and definition, and poor debriefing opportunities for care providers, poor access to health services, poor quality of services for residents and their families^[350,421,422] (Level QE; Level QE; Level IV). The evidence suggests that a bereavement service that encompasses bereavement support and follow-up for any cause of death works well in country areas^[423] (Level QE).

Focused management of bereavement support reduces risk and improves bereavement outcomes,^[413,414] whereas untargeted support may not have such an overall beneficial effect^[315] (Level EO). Similarly, the aged care team will require increased awareness and knowledge about grief and loss issues for residents, their families, and for other staff involved with the resident who died. This increased awareness can enhance early identification of distress and implementation of appropriate support^[415] (Level QE). Cultural differences may also affect the ways in which people respond to death (see Chapter 11, 'Cultural issues', for further information).

15.4.1 Public acknowledgment of death

Death is the ultimate rite of passage and needs to be marked in a public way^[424] (Level EO), such as a memorial service^[425,426] (Level EO; Level EO). There is considerable anecdotal evidence that suggests that a chance for family members to say 'goodbye' is crucial, especially if they were not present at the death^[315] (Level EO). This is also true for friends of the person who has died, other residents of the RACF, and the aged care team^[427-429] (Level EO; Level EO; Level QE). Cultural differences may also affect the ways in which individuals respond to death^[361,430] (Level QE; Level IV) (see Chapter 11, 'Cultural issues').

A WorkCover NSW report (2000)^[431] (Level EO) indicates that a significant source of stress for members of aged care teams was the issue of unresolved grief. Mourning rituals facilitate psychological recovery and are helpful to aged care team members, who do not often attend to the task of mourning because they are busy attending to the next resident's admission^[432,433] (Level EO; Level QE). Memorial services are effective for facilities providing a palliative approach. The evidence suggests that these services are a valuable resource for residents, family members, the aged care team and volunteers and that memorial services are appropriate for the grieving process^[429,433] (Level QE; Level QE). The following story provides an example of a memorial service that included the use of a reflections book:

Vignette 18: Reflections

When provided with a small reflections booklet after each resident's death, staff welcomed the opportunity to make a personal comment. Examples included, "Anna was a kind and affectionate soul who despite her pain was grateful for the comfort we could provide."
"I remember Anthony was a trial at times, though I understood his frustration. I enjoyed him very much on his good days and I'll miss him and Leila." "I will always remember Noel for his huge smile and his beautiful, kind manner."

However, formalised grief and bereavement services in RACFs are limited. One study found that 55% of RACFs sent sympathy cards, and 64% attended the funeral if the resident died while in the facility^[434] (Level QE). Most RACFs surveyed did not offer any follow-up bereavement support, either in the form of information, referrals or phone calls^[415] (Level QE). Although families find bereavement support a positive experience^[433,435] (Level QE; Level QE), it has been suggested that if the focus is on ensuring the best care possible, families are more likely to cope well with the death of their family member^[413] (Level QE).

15.4.2 Bereavement support for other residents

The evidence suggests that the support needs of residents is often centred on practical and emotional support^[429] (Level QE). It is interesting to note that, in addition to the expected negative consequences, there can be benefits for other individuals who witness a death. For example, hospice patients who witnessed a fellow patient's death found this awareness of dying to be both comforting and distressing^[436] (Level III-3). The authors found that those that had witnessed a death were significantly less depressed than those patients who had not had this experience. This finding is relevant for RACFs where concern has been expressed regarding the impact of a resident's death on those sharing the same room.

15.4.3 Bereavement support for the aged care team

The aged care team will likely experience loss following the death of residents with whom they have established meaningful relationships^[314,409] (Level QE; Level QE). Therefore the team should be provided with opportunities to formally acknowledge the loss, and have access to adequate bereavement support^[429,437] (Level QE; Level QE). One study reported that members of an aged care team who had experienced many deaths of residents reported being significantly more comfortable when thinking and talking about death to residents who were dying^[438] (Level IV). However, these same people still had high levels of death anxiety when measured, which led the authors to conclude that these members of the aged care team were more able to separate their own anxiety from their willingness to discuss death and dying with residents. This would appear to indicate that even members of the aged care team who have experienced many deaths might still require access to support services in order to discuss their own anxieties^[438] (Level IV).

Emotional support for the aged care team could include bereavement support services, stress management training, and debriefing sessions^[22] (Level IV). Volicer (1997)^[439] (Level EO) argues for the inclusion of bereavement support in the aged care setting, as is the practice in many palliative services (e.g. memorial services, debriefing meetings for the aged care team,

follow-up visits to bereaved family members). For example, a simple ceremony, held annually in the RACF chapel (or lounge) can provide an opportunity for aged care team members to acknowledge the life and death of the residents who died in the last 12 months. This represents a public acknowledgment that each resident's life and death has not passed unnoticed, but remains recorded in the RACFs history.

These types of bereavement support should take into consideration all personnel that work at the facility, as well as other residents and families. However, not everyone will feel the need to participate and this should also be respected.

15.4.4 Bereavement support for residents with advanced dementia

The mourning process is not exclusive to those who are cognitively able to manage the grieving process. Residents with advanced dementia are also affected by grief and loss, but may not have the cognitive skills to resolve or make sense of their grief. A resident's fluctuating lucidity may make it difficult for the aged care team and family to determine what the resident knows, understands or comprehends regarding the death of another resident. Benbow and Quinn (1990)^[440] (Level QE) recommended that the aged care team be honest and consistent with residents, allowing them time to grieve even if they forget the details. Protecting residents from the truth can create greater confusion, because the story will not match the reality. Residents with advanced dementia may need bereavement support for an extended length of time before they can accept the reality of the loss.

15.4.5 Bereavement support for family members

Davidson (2003)^[441] (Level EO) formulated an evidence-based protocol for family bereavement support before and after the death of a resident that includes a number of bereavement support interventions. One study assessed a range of psychosocial variables on family functioning covering the experience of the illness, death and funeral, spousal health, family coping, sources of support, use of ritual, and completion of estate duties^[413] (Level QE). The authors concluded that the nature of family functioning was a key aspect of social support in influencing the outcome of bereavement^[413] (Level QE). In other words, the more social support the family had access to, the better they could cope with the bereavement^[399,414] (Level QE; Level IV).

Grief responses are more likely to be difficult for spouses of residents due to recent multiple losses such as friends or family^[442] (Level QE). Additionally, for those spouses who were not depressed prior to the death of their partner are more likely to be vulnerable to depression post-bereavement^[324,443] (Level III-3; Level IV). A further concern is the development of emotional loneliness, particularly for those older family members who have difficulty in making new personal contacts due to social anxiety^[444] (Level IV). These studies indicate the importance of bereavement follow-up for family members, particularly when the resident has a spouse.

Often, listening to and understanding the multiple losses that a family member may have experienced acknowledges their loss and validates their feelings^[409]. Providing families with the option to stay with the resident during the night can help reduce their concern that the resident will die alone. Having been present with the resident during the last stages of the illness may also provide them with a sense of comfort as they will have witnessed the care provided, they

may have been able to contribute to the care, and knowing that their family member did not die alone^[409] (Level QE). Accommodation, such as a portable bed, may be all that is required to meet this need. The following story indicates the need for RACFs to formulate a systematic approach to bereavement so that family expectations are clear.

Vignette 19: Mollie

Mollie had cared for her husband at home for eight years until his dementia made him increasingly dependent and debilitated. She felt nothing but guilt and remorse because of the decision to have him admitted to residential care. Spending many hours of every day assisting with her husband's care, Mollie also made herself available to assist other residents, particularly with leisure activities. When her husband died, the aged care team wondered how Mollie would cope, as she had no close family. Her husband, and now the RACF, had become her whole life. "I used to feel guilty that I'd put him in here", she said. "Now I feel guilty that I didn't take the step much earlier. He's received such wonderful care, much better than I could have done at home. This is my second home." When she was asked, Mollie indicated that she had no need for formal bereavement counselling. "No, I don't want to talk to anyone, particularly a stranger. Everyone here understands me." Mollie continued to come to the RACF each day for several months, until she felt ready to reshape her life in another context.

Many families also mourn the loss of relationship with the person with advanced dementia and may require support in dealing with this 'double death'. People with dementia have often been termed 'the living dead' and family members, in particular spouses, find the progressive degeneration difficult to watch and the grieving process is often protracted and painful^[317,325] (Level QE; Level QE). So difficult is the loss of relationship for a spouse whose partner has advanced dementia they may classify themselves as a "married widow"^[317,318] (Level QE; Level QE).

RACFs that have a dementia support group in place where issues of grief and loss are addressed may help provide families with the support they require. One study found that support groups for bereaved seniors enhanced satisfaction with support given, diminished feelings of loneliness and positively increased their emotional wellbeing^[331] (Level IV). This study was conducted with widows living by themselves in the community, so the findings, while pertinent to this discussion, might not be applicable to males and younger people (e.g. children of residents); hence there is a need for further research. Further research is also required to determine the suitability of support groups in communal settings, such as RACFs, to assist residents to cope with the deaths of other residents.

Access to a confidant has also been found to be one of the best indicators as to whether a person will require bereavement support^[445,446] (Level EO; Level QE). If a person has at least one friend in whom they can confide this enhances their resilience in successfully adjusting to bereavement.

Guideline: Bereavement Support

	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
76. Members of the aged care team can experience loss following the deaths of residents with whom they have established meaningful relationships. Therefore, they may require opportunities to formally acknowledge their loss and access to adequate bereavement support to reduce their levels of death anxiety and their risk of complicated grief. Aged care team members who have experienced many deaths may still require access to these support services.	429, 433, 437	QE
77. A memorial service is a useful ritual to assist in bereavement support of residents, family members, aged care team members, and volunteers, because it facilitates the grieving process by acknowledging the lives and deaths of residents, which reduces levels of death anxiety and the risk of complicated grief.	433, 435	QE
78. The greater the level of social support that a family can access, the better their ability to cope with the bereavement of their family member; however, it is the quality of the support rather than the quantity that enhances this resilience.	414 399, 413	IV QE



MANAGEMENT'S ROLE IN IMPLEMENTING A PALLIATIVE APPROACH



Managing an RACF requires a significant set of business and organisational skills. Regulatory requirements have led to many facilities using management and business frameworks that enable the processes of control, feedback and development to be handled systematically. Frameworks such as business cycle planning and quality management systems enable organisations to focus on their responsibilities and can lead to improved performance in the facility^[447] (Level QE).

Quality management systems aim to assure customers a consistent and improving level of service, while business planning ensures that operationally the organisation formally assesses needs, revenues and resources. Integral to both processes is the role of communication with customers and with the aged care team.

Introducing a palliative approach is a strategic decision for an RACF in providing continuing care for their residents through to the end-of-life. This will require the organisation to include a palliative approach in their formal planning and monitoring cycles, and to commit the appropriate resources to its implementation. Among the resources required are aged care team members, management time, training and education costs, appropriate facilities, reporting requirements and access to external supports.

Although education and training are essential elements of successful implementation of a palliative approach they may not, by themselves, bring about the desired change^[448,449] (Level QE; Level EO). Other factors have been identified as influencing the success of changing practices. For example, a commitment of adequate resources is fundamental to implementing changes in practices^[6,450] (Level QE; Level QE). The organisational culture and leadership in the operational area can also be important in introducing and sustaining change^[451–453] (Level EO; Level EO; Level EO). Therefore, boards of management should be included when training in a palliative approach is undertaken by RACFs, to ensure that the entire organisation understands the philosophy of providing a palliative approach.

The context for the change and the process of facilitation can also affect the change environment^[373,454] (Level QE; Level EO). Time restrictions and a lack of appropriate members for an aged care team have also been identified as impediments to change. Implementing a palliative approach in an RACF requires attention to these potential barriers, as well as focused problem-solving.

Management structures and processes

There are many RACFs whose management has embraced a palliative approach to care. Some examples of these include:

- having a section about a palliative approach in the RACFs public relations material (e.g. brochures);
- advising all residents upon admission about the policies and procedures for implementing a palliative approach;
- setting up relevant systems to enable referral to specialised palliative teams when required; and
- developing formal mechanisms for involving all visiting GPs in formulating and implementing palliative approach policies.

Guideline: Management's role in implementing a palliative approach

	REF NO.	EVIDENCE LEVEL
79. Implementation of formal management systems in RACFs that support the introduction and maintenance of a palliative approach through the allocation of appropriate resources will improve residents' and families' satisfaction and enhance the quality of care that the aged care team is able to provide.	6, 447, 450	QE



REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. (2003). *WHO definition of palliative care*. Retrieved August 11, 2003, from World Health Organization Web site: <http://www.who.int/cancer/palliative/definition/en/print.html>
2. Carr, D. B., Fischer, D. S. & Markman, M. (1994). Cancer: when to focus on palliation. *Patient Care*, 28, (3), 123–126.
3. Palliative Care Council of South Australia. (1996). *Good palliative care project: final report*. Retrieved September 4, 2002, from Palliative Care Council of South Australia Inc. Web site: <http://www.pallcare.asn.au/good-ndx.htm>
4. Kristjanson, L. J., Toye, C. T. & Dawson, S. (2003). New dimensions in palliative care: a palliative approach to neurodegenerative diseases and final illness in older people. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 179, (Suppl. 6), S42–44.
5. Giles, L. C., Cameron, I. D. & Crotty, M. (2003). Disability in older Australians: projections for 2006–2031. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 179, (3), 130–133.
6. De Bellis, A. & Parker, D. (1998). Providing palliative care in Australian nursing homes: issues and challenges. *Geriatrics*, 16, (3), 17–23.
7. Keay, T. J. (1999). Palliative care in the nursing home. *Generations*, 23, (1), 96–98.
8. Blackburn, A. M. (1989). Problems of terminal care in elderly patients. *Palliative Medicine*, 3, 203–206.
9. NHMRC. (1999). *A guide to the development, implementation and evaluation of clinical practice guidelines*. Retrieved August 18, 2002, from National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Web site: <http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/cphome.htm>
10. Cochrane Collaboration. (2002). *Cochrane Reviewers' Handbook 4.2.2*. Retrieved August 15, 2002, from The Cochrane Collaboration Web site: <http://www.cochrane.dk/cochrane/handbook/hbook.htm>
11. Campbell Collaboration. (2002). *Campbell Collaboration Guidelines*. Retrieved August 15, 2002, from the Campbell Collaboration Web site: <http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/Fralibrary.html>
12. Morrison, R. S. & Siu, A. L. (2000). Survival in end-stage dementia following acute illness. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 284, (1), 47–52.
13. Lynn, J. (2001). Perspectives on care at the close of life. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 285, (7), 925–932.

14. Singer, P. A., Martin, D. K. & Kelner, M. (1999). Quality end-of-life care: patient's perspectives. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 281, (2), 163–168.
15. McWhinney, I. R., Bass, M. J. & Donner, A. (1994). Evaluation of a palliative care service: problems and pitfalls. *British Medical Journal*, 309, (6965), 1340–1342.
16. Abernethy, A. P., Currow, D. & Butler, T. (2002). *Searching electronic bibliographic databases for palliative care articles*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Australian and New Zealand Society of Palliative Medicine, Townsville, Queensland.
17. Rice, P. L. & Ezzy, D. (1999). *Qualitative research methods: a health focus*. South Melbourne, Victoria: Oxford University Press.
18. O'Gorman, S. M. (1998). Death and dying in contemporary society: an evaluation of current attitudes and the rituals associated with death and dying and their relevance to recent understandings of health and healing. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 27, 1127–1135.
19. McGrath, P. (2001). Keeping the hospice spirit alive in client satisfaction surveys. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 17, (2), 78–85.
20. Ingleton, C. (1999). The views of patients and carers on one palliative care service. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 5, (4), 187–195.
21. Reynolds, K., Henderson, M., Schulman, A. & Hanson, L. C. (2002). Needs of the dying in nursing homes. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 5, (6), 895–901.
22. Addington-Hall, J. M., MacDonald, L. D. & Anderson, H. R. (1990). Can the Spitzer Quality of Life Index help to reduce prognostic uncertainty in terminal care? *British Journal of Cancer*, 62, (4), 695–699.
23. Travis, S. S., Bernard, M., Dixon, S., McAuley, W. J., Loving, G. & McClanahan, L. (2002). Obstacles to palliation and end-of-life care in a long-term care facility. *Gerontologist*, 42, (3), 342–349.
24. Travis, S. S., Loving, G., McClanahan, L. & Bernard, M. (2001). Hospitalization patterns and palliation in the last year of life among residents in long-term care. *Gerontologist*, 41, (2), 153–160.
25. Komaromy, C., Sidell, M. & Katz, J. (2000). The quality of terminal care in residential and nursing homes. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 6, (4), 192–200.
26. Teno, J., Weitzen, S., Fennell, M. & Mor, V. (2001). Dying trajectory in the last year of life: does cancer trajectory fit other diseases? *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 4, (4), 451–452.
27. Lo, R. S. K. & Woo, J. (2001). Palliative care in old age. *Reviews in Clinical Gerontology*, 11, (2), 149–157.
28. Billings, J. A. (2000). Palliative care. *British Medical Journal*, 321, (7260, Suppl. 2), 555–558.
29. Stull, D. E., Cosby, J., Bowman, K. & McNutt, W. (1997). Institutionalization: a continuation of family care. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 16, (4), 379–402.
30. Tilse, V. (1998). Family advocacy roles and highly dependent residents in nursing homes. *Australian Journal on Ageing*, 16, (1), 20–23.

31. Hanson, L. C., Danis, M. & Garrett, J. (1997). What is wrong with end-of-life care? Opinions of bereaved family members. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 45, (11), 1339–1344.
32. Hines, S. C., Glover, J. J., Babrow, A. S., Holley, J. L., Badzek, L. A. & Moss, A. H. (2001). Improving advance care planning by accommodating family preferences. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 4, (4), 481–489.
33. National Health & Medical Research Council. (1998). *NHMRC standards and procedures for externally developed clinical practice guidelines*. Retrieved August 18, 2002, from National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Web site: <http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc>
34. Teno, J. M., Casey, V. A., Welch, L. C. & Edgman-Levitan, S. (2001). Patient-focused, family-centered end-of-life medical care: views of the guidelines and bereaved family members. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 22, (3), 738–751.
35. Barr, F., Graham, S. & Ireland, A. W. (2002). Treatment choices in life threatening illness: attitudes and preferences of elderly Australian veterans and War Widows. *Australasian Journal on Ageing*, 21, (4), 178–194.
36. Maccabee, J. (1994). The effect of transfer from a palliative care unit to nursing homes—are patients' and relatives' needs met? *Palliative Medicine*, 8, (3), 211–214.
37. Palliative Care Australia. (2002). *Palliative care — service provision in Australia: a planning guide*. Deakin West, Australian Capital Territory: Author.
38. Glare, P. J., Virik, K., Jones, M., Hudson, M., Eychmuller, S., Simes, J. & Christakis, N. A. (2003). A systematic review of physicians' survival predictions in terminally ill cancer patients. *British Medical Journal*, 327, (7408), 195–197.
39. McKinlay, E. M. (2001). Within the circle of care: patient experiences of receiving palliative care. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 17, (1), 22–29.
40. Lobchuk, M. M. & Stymeist, D. (1999). Symptoms as meaningful “family culture” symbols in palliative care. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 15, (4), 24–31.
41. Clare, J. & De Bellis, A. (1997). Palliative care in South Australian nursing homes [corrected] [published erratum appears in *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing* (1997)15(1):19]. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 14, (4), 20–28.
42. Avis, M., Jackson, J. G., Cox, K. & Miskella, C. (1999). Evaluation of a project providing community palliative care to nursing homes. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 7, (1), 32–38.
43. Hunt, R. W., Fazekas, B. S., Luke, C. G. & Roder, D. M. (2001). Where patients with cancer die in South Australia, 1990–1999: a population-based review. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 175, (10), 526–529.
44. Hurley, A. C., Volicer, B. J. & Volicer, L. (1996). Effect of fever-management strategy on the progression of dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, 10, (1), 5–10.

45. Ackermann, R. J. & Kemle, K. A. (1999). Death in a nursing home with active medical management. *Annals of Long Term Care*, 7, (8), 313–319.
46. Keay, T. J., Fredman, L., Taler, G. A., Datta, S. & Levenson, S. A. (1994). Indicators of quality medical care for the terminally ill in nursing homes. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 42, (8), 853–860.
47. Clare, J. & De Bellis, A. (1997). Palliative care is occurring in nursing homes. In S. Surguy & M. Annells (Eds.), *Aged Care Monograph* (pp. 51–74). Adelaide, South Australia: Australian Institute of Nursing Research.
48. Flacker, J. M., Won, A., Kiely, D. K. & Iloputaife, I. (2001). Differing perceptions of end-of-life care in long-term care. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 4, (1), 9–13.
49. Hanson, L. C., Henderson, M. & Menon, M. (2002). As individual as death itself: a focus group study of terminal care in nursing homes. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 5, (1), 117–125.
50. Hurley, A., Volicer, B. J., Mahoney, M. A. & Volicer, L. (1993). Palliative fever management in Alzheimer patients: quality plus fiscal responsibility. *Advances in Nursing Science*, 16, (1), 21–32.
51. Mitchell, G., Bowman, J., McEniery, J. & Eastwood, H. (1999). *The blue book of palliative care: evidence based clinical guidelines for primary practitioners* (3rd ed.). Ipswich, Queensland: Ipswich & West Moreton Division of General Practice.
52. Hanratty, B., Hibbert, D., Mair, F., May, C., Ward, C., Capewell, S., Litva, A. & Corcoran, G. (2002). Doctor's perceptions of palliative care for heart failure: group focus study. *British Medical Journal*, 325, 581–585.
53. Larson, C. E. & LeFasto, F. M. J. (1989). *Teamwork: what must go right; what can go wrong*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
54. Vachon, M. (1997). Recent research into staff stress in palliative care. *European Journal of Palliative Care*, 4, (3), 99–103.
55. Maddocks, I., Parker, D., McLeod, A. & Jenkin, P. (1999). *Palliative care nurse practitioners in aged care facilities*. Adelaide, South Australia: Flinders University of South Australia.
56. Doyle, D., Hanks, G. W. C. & MacDonald, N. (Eds.). (2003). *Oxford textbook of palliative medicine*. (3rd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
57. Turner, K., Chye, R., Aggarwal, G., Philip, J., Skells, A. & Lickis, J. N. (1996). Dignity in dying: a preliminary study of patients in the last three days of life. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 12, (2), 7–13.
58. Chochinov, H. M., Hack, T., Hassard, T., Kristjanson, L., McClement, S. & Harlos, M. (2002). Dignity in the terminally ill: a cross-sectional, cohort study. *Lancet*, 360, (9350), 2026–2030.
59. Chochinov, H. M. (2002). Dignity-conserving care — a model for palliative care. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 287, (17), 2253–2260.

60. World Health Organization Quality of Life Group. (1993). Study protocol for the World Health Organization project to develop a Quality of Life assessment instrument (WHOQOL). *Quality of Life Research*, 2, 153–159.
61. Clinch, J. J. (Ed.). (1996). *The Functional Living Index — Cancer (FLIC): ten years later*. Quality of Life and Pharmacoeconomics in Clinical Trials (2nd ed.). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott-Raven.
62. Van Loon, R. A. (1999). Desire to die in terminally ill people: a framework for assessment and intervention. *Health & Social Work*, 24, (4), 260–268.
63. Breitbart, W., Rosenfeld, B., Pessin, H., Kaim, M., Funesti-Esch, J., Galiotta, M., Nelson, C. J. & Brescia, R. (2000). Depression, hopelessness, and desire for hastened death in terminally ill patients with cancer. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 284, (22), 2907–2911.
64. Chochinov, H. M., Wilson, K. G., Enns, M. & Mowchun, N. (1995). Desire for death in the terminally ill. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 152, (8), 1185.
65. Muskin, P. R. (1998). The request to die: role for a psychodynamic perspective on physician-assisted suicide. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 279, (4), 323–328.
66. Cherny, N. I., Colyle, N. & Foley, K. M. (1994). The treatment of suffering when patients request elective death. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 10, (2), 71–79.
67. Bascom, P. B. & Tolle, S. W. (2002). Responding to requests for physician assisted suicide: “These are uncharted waters for both of us”. *Journal of American Medical Association*, 288, (1), 91–98.
68. Molloy, D. W., Guyatt, G. H., Russo, R., Goeree, R., O’Brien, B. J., Bedard, M., Willan, A., Watson, J., Patterson, C., Harrison, C., Standish, T., Strang, D., Darzins, P. J., Smith, S. & Dubois, S. (2000). Systematic implementation of an advance directive program in nursing homes: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 283, (11), 1437–1444.
69. Commonwealth Department of Health & Ageing. (2001). *Code of ethics and guide to ethical conduct for residential aged care*. Retrieved November 17, 2002, from the Australian Government Department of Health & Ageing Web site: <http://www.health.gov.au>
70. Costello, J. (2002). Do Not Resuscitate orders and older patients: findings from an ethnographic study of hospital wards for older people. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 39, (5), 491–499.
71. Hume, S. K. (1991). Support in a time of need. The team approach at Mercy Medical Hospice addresses medical, emotional, and spiritual needs. *Health Progress*, 72, (7), 40–45.
72. Wurzbach, M. E. (2002). Public policy: end-of-life treatment decisions in long-term care. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 28, (6), 14–21.
73. Schwartz, C. E., Wheeler, H. B., Hammes, B., Basque, N., Edmunds, J., Reed, G., Ma, Y., Li, L., Tabloski, P. & Yanko, J. (2002). Early intervention in planning end-of-life care with ambulatory geriatric patients: results of a pilot trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 162, (14), 1611–1618.

74. Rempusheski, V. F. & Hurley, A. C. (2000). Advance directives and dementia. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 26, (10), 27–34.
75. Norton, S. A. & Talerico, K. A. (2000). Facilitating end-of-life decision-making: strategies for communicating and assessing. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 26, (9), 6–13.
76. Forbes, S., Bern-Klug, M. & Gessert, C. (2000). End-of-life decision making for nursing home residents with dementia. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 32, (3), 251–258.
77. High, D. M. & Rowles, G. D. (1995). Nursing home residents, families, and decision making: towards an understanding of progressive surrogacy. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 9, (2), 101–117.
78. Hurley, A., Volicer, L., Rempusheski, V. F. & Fry, S. T. (1995). Reaching consensus: the process of recommending treatment decisions for Alzheimer patients. *Advances in Nursing Science*, 18, (2), 33–43.
79. McGough, K. & Ladd, L. (1999). Facilitating communication and collaboration across the continuum: a transportable plan of care. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 29, (7/8), 42.
80. Wise, C. T., Steeves, R. H., Pruzinsky, T., Wiens, A., Plowfield, L. & Fletcher, J. (1994). End-of-life health care planning. *Quality of Life — A Nursing Challenge*, 3, (3), 54–60.
81. Wasson, K., Tate, H. & Hayes, C. (2001). Food refusal and dysphagia in older people with dementia: ethical and practical issues. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 7, (10), 465–471.
82. Gillick, M. R. (2000). Sounding board: rethinking the role of tube feeding in patients with advanced dementia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 342, (3), 206–210.
83. Ahronheim, J., Morrison, S., Morris, J., Baskin, S. A. & Meier, D. E. (2000). Palliative care in advanced dementia: a randomized controlled trial and descriptive analysis. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 3, (3), 265–273.
84. van der Steen, J. T., Ooms, M. E., Mehr, D. R., van der Wal, G. & Ribbe, M. W. (2002). Severe dementia and adverse outcomes of nursing home-acquired Pneumonia: Evidence for mediation by functional and pathophysiological decline. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50, (3), 439–448.
85. Gibson, D., Benham, C. & Racic, L. (Eds.). (2002). *Older Australia at a glance*. (3rd ed.). Canberra, Australian Capital Territory: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare.
86. Casarett, D., Takesaka, J., Karlawish, J., Hirschman, K. B. & Clark, C. M. (2002). How should clinicians discuss hospice for patients with dementia? Anticipating caregivers' preconceptions and meeting their information needs. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, 16, (2), 116–122.
87. Kabel, A. & Roberts, D. (2003). Professionals' perceptions of maintaining personhood in hospice care. *International Journal of Palliative Care*, 9, (7), 283–289.
88. Kitwood, T. & Bredin, K. (1992). Towards a theory of dementia care: personhood and well-being. *Aging & Society*, 12, 269–287.

89. McCarthy, M., Addington-Hall, J. & Altmann, D. (1997). The experience of dying with dementia: a retrospective study. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 12, (3), 404–409.
90. Weiner, D., Peterson, B. & Keefe, F. (1999). Chronic pain-associated behaviours in the nursing home: resident versus caregiver perceptions. *Pain*, 80, (3), 577–588.
91. Cohen-Mansfield, J. & Lipson, S. (2002). Pain in cognitively impaired nursing home residents: how well are physicians diagnosing it? *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50, (6), 1039–1044.
92. Horgas, A. L. & Tsai, P. (1998). Analgesic drug prescription and use in cognitively impaired nursing home residents. *Nursing Research*, 47, (4), 235–242.
93. Ferrell, B. A., Ferrell, B. R. & Rivera, L. (1995). Pain in cognitively impaired nursing home patients. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 10, (8), 591–598.
94. Australian Pain Society. (2004). *Residential aged care pain management guidelines*. Crows Nest, New South Wales: Author.
95. Warden, V., Hurley, A. C. & Volicer, L. (2003). Development and psychometric evaluation of the pain assessment in advanced dementia (PAINAD) scale. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 4, 9–15.
96. Abbey, J., De Bellis, A., Easterman, A., Parker, D., Giles, L. A. & Lowcay, B. (2004). The Abbey pain scale: A 1-minute numerical indicator for people with end-stage dementia. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 10, (6), 6–13.
97. Volicer, L., Hurley, A. C. & Blasi, Z. V. (2001). Scales for evaluation of end-of-life care in dementia. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, 15, (4), 194–200.
98. Kovach, C. R., Weissman, D. E., Griffie, J., Matson, S. & Muchka, S. (1999). Assessment and treatment of discomfort for people with late-stage dementia. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 18, (6), 412–419.
99. Hurley, A. C., Volicer, B. J., Hanrahan, P. A., Houde, S. & Volicer, L. (1992). Assessment of discomfort in advanced Alzheimer patients. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 15, (5), 369–377.
100. Evers, M. M., Purohit, D., Perl, D., Khan, K. & Marin, D. B. (2002). Palliative and aggressive end-of-life care for patients with dementia. *Psychiatric Services*, 53, (5), 609–613.
101. van der Steen, J. T., Graas, T., Ooms, M. E., Wal, G. & Ribbe, M. W. (2000). When should physicians forgo curative treatment of pneumonia in patients with dementia? Using a guideline for decision-making. *Western Journal of Medicine*, 173, (4), 274–277.
102. Evans, D., Wood, J., Lambert, L. & Fitzgerald, M. (2002). *Physical restraint in acute and residential care: a systematic review, number 22*. Retrieved September 30, 2002, from Joanna Briggs Institute Web site: <http://www.joannabriggs.edu.au>
103. Alzheimer's Society. (2002). *Food for thought*. Retrieved August 23, 2002, from Alzheimer's Society Web site: <http://www.alzheimers.org.uk>
104. Ramritu, P., Finlayson, K., Mitchell, A. & Croft, G. (2000). *Identification and nursing management of dysphagia in individuals with neurological impairment*. Retrieved September 12, 2004, from Joanna Briggs Institute Web site: <http://www.joannabriggs.edu.au>

105. Joanna Briggs Institute. (2000). Identification of nursing management of dysphagia in adults with neurological impairment. *Best Practice*, 4, (2), 6.
106. Doody, R. S., Stevens, J. C., Beck, C., Dubinsky, R. M., Kaye, J. A., Gwyther, L., Mohs, R. C., Thal, L. J., Whitehouse, P. J., DeKosky, S. T. & Cummings, J. L. (2001). Practice parameter: management of dementia (an evidence-based review). *Neurology*, 56, (9), 1154–1166.
107. Monahan, D. J. (1995). Informal caregivers of institutionalised dementia residents: predictors of burden. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*, 23, (3/4), 65–82.
108. Cartwright, A. (1993). Dying when you're old. *Age & Ageing*, 22, (6), 425–430.
109. Therapeutic Guidelines Writing Group. (2001). *Therapeutic guidelines palliative care version 1: Therapeutic Guidelines*. North Melbourne, Victoria: Therapeutic Guidelines Limited.
110. Steinhauer, K. E., Christakis, N. A., Clipp, E. C., McNeilly, M., McIntyre, L. & Tully, J. A. (2000). Factors considered important at the end of life by patients, family, physicians, and other care providers. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 284, (19), 2476–2482.
111. Fainsinger, R., Miller, M. J. & Bruera, E. (1991). Symptom control during the last week of life on a palliative care unit. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 7, (1), 5–11.
112. Wenger, N. S., Oye, R. K., Desbiens, N. A., Phillips, R. S., Teno, J. M., Connors, A. F. J., Liu, H. L., Zensky, M. F. & Kussin, P. (1996). The stability of DNR orders or hospital readmission. *Journal of Clinical Ethics*, 7, (1), 48–54.
113. Fins, J. J., Miller, F. G., Acres, C. A., Bacchetta, M. D., Huzzard, L. L. & Rapkin, B. D. (1999). End-of-life decision-making in the hospital: current practice and future prospects. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 17, (1), 6–15.
114. Happ, M. B., Capezuti, E., Strumpf, N. E., Wagner, L., Cunningham, S., Evans, L. & Maislin, G. (2002). Advance care planning and end-of-life care for hospitalized nursing home residents. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50, (5), 829–835.
115. Vig, E. K., Davenport, N. A. & Pearlman, R. A. (2002). Good deaths, bad deaths, and preferences for the end of life: a qualitative study of geriatric outpatients. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, 50, (9), 1541–1548.
116. Bruera, E., Kuehn, N., Miller, M. J., Selmer, P. & MacMillan, K. (1991). The Edmonton Symptom Assessment System (ESAS): a simple method for the assessment of palliative care patients. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 7, (2), 6–9.
117. Kristjanson, L. J., Pickstock, S., Yuen, K., Davis, S., Blight, J., Cummins, A., Dean, A. A., Tinnelly, K. & Cousins, K. (1999). *Development and testing of the revised Symptom Assessment Scale (SAS): final report*. Perth, Western Australia: Edith Cowan University.
118. Ashburn, M. A. & Staats, P. S. (1999). Management of chronic pain. *Lancet*, 353, (9167), 1865–1869.
119. Hased, C. (1999). Cancer and chronic pain. *Australian Family Physician*, 28, (1), 17–21.
120. Dickinson, J. A. (1988). Symptom control in palliative care. *Australian Prescriber*, 11, (4), 78–82.

121. Saunders, C. M. (1998). Foreword. In D. Doyle, G. W. C. Hanks, & N. MacDonald (Eds.), *Oxford textbook of palliative medicine* (2nd ed.) (pp. v–viii). New York: Oxford University Press.
122. Greenstreet, W. (2001). The concept of total pain: a focused patient care study. *British Journal of Nursing*, 10, (19), 1248–1255.
123. Bernabi, R., Gambassi, G., Lapane, K., Landi, P., Gatsonis, C., Dunlop, R., Lipsitz, L., Steel, K. & Mor, V. (1998). Management of pain in elderly patients with cancer [erratum appears in JAMA 1999 Jan 13, 281(2):136]. *Journal of American Medical Association*, 279, (23), 1877–1882.
124. Teno, J. M., Bird, C. & Mor, V. (1999). *The prevalence of treatment of pain in United States nursing homes*. Providence, RI: Center for Gerontology and Health Care Research, Brown Medical School.
125. McClean, W. J. & Higginbotham, N. H. (2002). Prevalence of pain among nursing home residents in rural New South Wales. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 177, 17–20.
126. Miller, S. C., Mor, V., Wu, N., Gozalo, P. & Lapane, K. (2002). Does receipt of hospice care in nursing homes improve management of pain at the end of life? *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50, (3), 507–515.
127. Sloman, R., Ahern, M., Wright, A. & Brown, L. (2001). Nurses' knowledge of pain in the elderly. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 21, (4), 317–322.
128. Gloth, F. M., III. (2001). Pain management in older adults: prevention and treatment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 49, (2), 188–199.
129. Health Care Committee. (1994). *Medication for the older person*. Retrieved December 10, 2003, from the National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Web site: <http://www.nhmrc.gov.au>
130. American Geriatrics Society. (2002). The management of persistent pain in older persons. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50, (Suppl. 6), S205–224.
131. Lockhart-Wood, K. (2001). Nurse-doctor collaboration in cancer pain management. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 7, (1), 6–16.
132. Manfredi, P., Breuer, B., Wallenstein, S., Stegmann, M., Bottomley, G. & Libow, L. (2003). Opioid treatment for agitation in patients with advanced dementia. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 18, (8), 700–705.
133. Sullivan, K., Johnston, L., Colyer, C., Beale, J., Willis, J., Harrison, J. & Welsh, K. (2003). *Indigenous palliative care needs study — final report*. Canberra, Australian Capital Territory: Commonwealth of Australia.
134. McCaffery, M. & Pasero, C. (1999). *Pain: clinical manual* (2nd ed.). St Louis, MO: Mosby, Inc.
135. Mercadante, S., Radbruch, L., Caraceni, A., Cherny, N., Kaasa, S., Nauck, F., Ripamonti, C. & De Conno, F. (2002). Episodic (breakthrough) pain: consensus conference of an expert working group of the European Association for Palliative Care. *Cancer*, 94, (3), 832–839.

136. Horgas, A. L. & Dunn, K. (2001). Pain in nursing home residents: comparison of residents' self-report and nursing assistants' perceptions. *Journal of Geriatric Nursing*, 27, (3), 44–53.
137. Kamel, H. K., Phlavan, M., Malekgoudarzi, B., Gogel, P. & Morley, J. E. (2001). Utilizing pain assessment scales increases the frequency of diagnosing pain among elderly nursing home residents. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 21, (6), 450–455.
138. Gloth, F. M., Scheve, A. A., Stober, C. V., Chow, S. & Prosser, J. (2001). The Functional Pain Scale: reliability, validity, and responsiveness in an elderly population. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 2, (3), 110–114.
139. Closs, S. J., Barr, B., Briggs, M., Cash, K. & Seers, K. (2004). A comparison of five pain assessment scales for nursing home residents with varying degrees of cognitive impairment. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 27, (3), 196–205.
140. Joanna Briggs Institute. (2001). *Pain assessment tools*. Retrieved July 10, 2002, from Joanna Briggs Institute for Evidence Based Nursing & Midwifery Web site: <http://www.joannabriggs.edu.au>
141. Cleeland, C. S., Gonin, R., Hatfield, A. K., Edmonson, J. H., Blum, R. H., Stewart, J. A. & Pandya, K. J. (1994). Pain and its treatment in outpatients with metastatic cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 330, (9), 592–596.
142. Wynne, C. F., Ling, S. M. & Remsburg, R. (2000). Comparison of pain assessment instruments in cognitively intact and cognitively impaired nursing home residents. *Geriatric Nursing*, 21, (1), 20–23.
143. Pasero, N. (1997). Pain control: using the Faces scale to assess pain. *American Journal of Nursing*, 97, (7), 19–20.
144. Melding, P. S. (2002). Can we improve pain management in nursing homes? *Medical Journal of Australia*, 177, (1), 5–6.
145. Du Pen, S. L., Du Pen, A. R., Polissar, N., Hansberry, J., Kraybill, B. M., Stillman, M., Panke, J., Everly, R. & Syrjala, K. (1999). Implementing guidelines for cancer pain management: results of a randomised controlled clinical trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 17, (1), 361–370.
146. Australian Medicines Handbook Pty. Ltd. (2002). *Australian Medicines Handbook* (Vol. 2002). Melrose Park, South Australia: Author.
147. Australian Medicines Handbook Pty. Ltd. (2003). *Australian Medicines Handbook Drug Choice Companion: Aged Care*. Melrose Park, South Australia: Author.
148. World Health Organization. (1996). *Cancer pain relief: a guide to opioid availability*. Retrieved August 18, 2002, from World Health Organization Web site: <http://www.who.int>
149. Zech, D. F. J., Grond, S., Lynch, J., Hertel, D. & Lehmann, K. A. (1995). Validation of World Health Organization guidelines for cancer pain relief: a 10-year prospective study. *Pain*, 63, (1), 65–76.

150. Sindrup, S. H. & Jensen, T. S. (1999). Efficacy of pharmacological treatments of neuropathic pain: an update and effect related to mechanism of drug action. *Pain*, 83, (3), 389–400.
151. Allcock, N., McGarry, J. & Eikan, R. (2002). Management of pain in older people within the nursing home: a preliminary study. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 10, (6), 464–471.
152. Bannwarth, B., Pehourcq, F., Lagrange, F., Matoga, M., Maury, S., Palisson, M. & Le Bars, M. (2001). Single and multiple dose pharmacokinetics of acetaminophen (paracetamol) in polymedicated very old patients with rheumatic pain. *Journal of Rheumatology*, 28, (1), 182–184.
153. Stockler, M., Vardy, J., Pillai, A. & Warr, D. (2004). Acetaminophen (Paracetamol) improves pain and well-being in people with advanced cancer already receiving a strong opioid regimen: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled cross-over trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 22, 3389–3394.
154. Towheed, T. E., Hochberg, M. C., Shea, B. J. & Wells, G. (1997). Analgesia and non-aspirin, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for osteoarthritis of the hip. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1997, Issue 4, Art. No: CD000517. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000517.
155. Wienecke, T. & Gøtzsche, P. C. (2004). Paracetamol versus nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for rheumatoid arthritis. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 1, Art. No: CD003789. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003789.pub2.
156. McNicol, E., Strassels, S. A., Goudas, L., Lau, J. & Carr, D. B. (2005). NSAIDs or paracetamol, alone or combined with opioids, for cancer pain. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 2, Art. No: CD005180. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005180.
157. Pargeon, K. & Hailey, B. J. (1999). Barriers to effective cancer pain management: a review of the literature. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 18, (5), 358–368.
158. O'Neill, B. & Fallon, M. (1997). ABC of palliative care: principles of palliative care and pain control. *British Medical Journal*, 315, 801–804.
159. Ahmedzai, S. (1998). Palliation of respiratory symptoms. In D. Doyle, G. W. C. Hanks, & N. MacDonald (Eds.), *Oxford textbook of palliative medicine* (2nd ed.) (pp. 349–378). New York: Oxford University Press.
160. Abernethy, A., Currow, D., Frith, P., Fazekas, B., McHugh, A. & Bui, C. (2003). Randomised double-blind placebo-controlled crossover trial of sustained-release morphine for the management of refractory dyspnoea. *British Medical Journal*, 327, (7414), 523–529.
161. Hanks, G. W. C. & Cherny, N. (2003). Opioid analgesic therapy. In D. Doyle, G. W. C. Hanks, N. Cherny, & K. Calman (Eds.), *Oxford textbook of palliative medicine* (3rd ed.) (pp. 351–355). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
162. Carroll, D., Moore, R. A., McQuay, H. J., Fairman, F., Tramer, M. & Leijon, G. (2001). Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic pain. *The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews*, Issue, 4.

163. Latham, N., Anderson, C., Bennett, D. & Stretton, C. (2002). Progressive resistance strength training for physical disability in older people. *The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews*, Issue, 4.
164. Liao, S. & Ferrell, B. A. (2000). Fatigue in an older population. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 48, (4), 426–430.
165. Tyler, L. S. & Lipman, A. G. (2000). Fatigue in palliative care patients. In A. G. Lipman, K. C. Jackson II, & L. S. Tyler (Eds.), *Evidence based symptom control in palliative care: systematic reviews and validated clinical practice guidelines for 15 common problems in patients with life limiting disease* (pp. 129–142). New York: Pharmaceutical Products Press.
166. Piper, B. F., Dibble, S. L., Dodd, M. J., Weiss, M. C., Slaughter, R. E. & Paul, S. M. (1998). The Revised Piper Fatigue Scale: psychometric evaluation in women with breast cancer. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 25, 677–684.
167. Winningham, M. L., Nail, L. M., Burke, M. B., Brophy, Cimprich, B., Jones, L. S., Pickard-Holley, S., Rhodes, V., St Pierre, B., Beck, S., Glass, E. C., Mock, V., Mooney, K. H. & Piper, B. F. (1994). Fatigue and the cancer experience: the state of the knowledge. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 21, (1), 23–36.
168. Glaus, A., Crow, R. & Hammond, S. (1996). A qualitative study to explore the concept of fatigue/tiredness in cancer patients and in healthy individuals. *European Journal of Cancer Care*, 5, (Suppl. 2), S8–23.
169. Porock, D., Kristjanson, L. J., Tinnelly, K., Duke, T. & Blight, J. (2000). An exercise intervention for advanced cancer patients experiencing fatigue: a pilot study. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 16, (3), 30–36.
170. Richardson, A. & Ream, E. (1996). The experience of fatigue and other symptoms in patients receiving chemotherapy. *European Journal of Cancer Care*, 5, (1), 24–30.
171. Piper, B. F., Linsey, A. M. & Dodd, M. J. (1987). Fatigue mechanism in cancer. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 14, 17–23.
172. Coackley, A., Hutchinson, T., Saltmarch, P., Kelly, A., Ellershaw, J. E., Marshall, E. & Brunston, H. (2002). Assessment and management of fatigue in patients with advanced cancer: developing guidelines. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 8, (8), 381–387.
173. Montgomery, P. & Dennis, J. (2002). *Physical exercise for sleep problems in adults aged 60+* (Cochrane Review). The Cochrane Library, Issue 3, 2003.
174. Montgomery, P. & Dennis, J. (2002). Cognitive behavioural interventions for sleep problems in adults aged 60+ (Cochrane Review). *The Cochrane Library*, Issue 3, 2003.
175. Tsay, S. L. (2004). Acupressure and fatigue in patients with end-stage renal disease—a randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 41, (1), 99–106.
176. Milne, A. C., Potter, J. & Avenell, A. (2003). Protein and energy supplementation in elderly people at risk from malnutrition (Cochrane Collaboration). In *The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews*, Issue 3. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

177. Berry, E. M. & Marcus, E.-L. (2000). Disorders of Eating in the Elderly. *Journal of Adult Development*, 7, (2), 87–89.
178. Kamel, H. K., Malekgoudarzi, B. & Pahlavan, M. (2000). Inappropriate use of therapeutic diets in the nursing home. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 48, (7), 856–857.
179. Kayser-Jones, J., Schell, E. S., Lyons, W., Kris, A. E., Chan, J. & Beard, R. L. (2003). Factors that influence end-of-life care in nursing homes: The physical environment, inadequate staffing, and lack of supervision. *The Gerontologist*, 43, 76–84.
180. Allison, S. P. (2000). Malnutrition, disease, and outcome. *Nutrition*, 16, (7–8), 590–593.
181. Katz, I. R., Beaston-Wimmer, P., Parmelee, P. A. & Friedman, E. (1993). Failure to thrive in the elderly: exploration of the concept and delineation of psychiatric components. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry & Neurology*, 6, (Jul/Sep), 161.
182. Kumlien, S. & Axelsson, K. (2002). Stroke patients in nursing homes: eating, feeding, nutrition and related care. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 11, 498–509.
183. Abbasi, A. A. & Rudman, D. (1994). Undernutrition in the nursing home: prevalence, consequences, causes and prevention. *Nutrition Review*, 52, (4), 113–122.
184. Gore, J. M., Brophy, C. J. & Greenstone, M. A. (2000). How well do we care for patients with end stage chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)? A comparison of palliative care and quality of life in COPD and lung cancer. *Thorax*, 55, (12), 1000–1006.
185. McCann, R. M., Hall, W. J. & Groth-Juncker, A. (1994). Comfort care for terminally ill patients: the appropriate use of nutrition and hydration. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 272, (16), 1263–1266.
186. Byock, I. R. (1995). Patient refusal of nutrition and hydration: walking the ever-finer line. *American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 12, (2), 8–13.
187. Morley, J. E. & Silver, A. J. (1995). Nutritional issues in nursing home care. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 123, (11), 850–859.
188. Mitchell, S. L., Tetroe, J. & O'Connor, A. M. (2001). A decision aid for long-term tube feeding in cognitively impaired older persons. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 49, (3), 313–316.
189. Twycross, G. R. (1994). Attention to detail in palliative care. *Progress in Palliative Care*, 2, (6), 222–227.
190. Printz, L. A. (1992). Terminal dehydration, a compassionate treatment. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 152, 697–700.
191. Ganzini, L., Goy, E. R., Miller, L. L., Harvath, T. A., Jackson, A. & Delorit, M. A. (2003). Nurses' experiences with hospice patients who refuse food and fluids to hasten death. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 349, (4), 359.
192. Buckler, D. A., Kelber, S. T. & Goodwin, J. S. (1994). The use of dietary restrictions in malnourished nursing home patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 42, 1100–1102.

193. Morley, J. E., Thomas, D. R. & Kamel, H. K. (2004). Detection and diagnosis. In, *Nutritional deficiencies in long-term care: supplement to Annals of Long-term Care* (pp. 1–7). USA: MultiMedia HealthCare.
194. Bruera, E. (1997). ABC of palliative care: anorexia, cachexia, and nutrition. *British Medical Journal*, 315, (7117), 1219–1222.
195. Finucane, T. E., Christmas, C. & Travis, K. (1999). Tube feeding in patients with advanced dementia: a review of the evidence. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 282, (14), 1365–1370.
196. Avenell, A. & Handoll, H. H. G. (2004). Nutritional supplementation for hip fracture aftercare in the elderly. In, *The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews 2004*, Issue 1. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
197. Potter, J., Langhorne, P. & Roberts, M. (1998). Routine protein energy supplementation in adults: systematic review. *British Medical Journal*, 317, (7157), 495–501.
198. Bruera, E. & Pereira, J. (2003). *Clinical assessment and decision making in cachexia and anorexia*. In D. Doyle, G. W. C. Hanks, N. Cherny, & K. Calman (Eds.), Oxford textbook of palliative medicine (3rd ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
199. Sullivan, R. (1993). Accepting death without artificial nutrition or hydration. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 8, 220–224.
200. Fiatarone, M. A., O'Neill, E. F., Ryan, N. D., Solanes, G. R., Nelson, M. E. & Roberts, S. B. (1994). Exercise training and nutritional supplementation for physical frailty in very elderly people. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 330, (25), 1769–1775.
201. Ellershaw, J. E., Sutcliffe, J. M. & Saunders, C. M. (1995). Dehydration and the dying patient. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 10, (3), 192–197.
202. Hodgkinson, B., Evans, D. & Wood, J. (2001). Maintaining oral hydration in older people (systematic review number 12). In The Joanna Briggs Institute for Evidence Based Nursing and Midwifery (Ed.). Adelaide, SA: Joanna Briggs Institute.
203. Morita, T., Tei, Y., Tsunoda, J., Inoue, S. & Chihara, S. (2001). Determinants of the Sensation of thirst in terminally ill cancer patients. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 9, (3), 177–186.
204. Viola, R., Wells, G. & Peterson, J. (1997). The effects of fluid status and fluid therapy on the dying: a systematic review. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 13, (4), 41–52.
205. Jenkins, C. A., Schulz, M., Hanson, J. & Bruera, E. (2000). Demographic, symptom, and medication profiles of cancer patients seen by a palliative care consult team in a tertiary referral hospital. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 19, (3), 174–184.
206. Andrews, M., Bell, E. R., Smith, S. A., Tischler, J. F. & Veglia, J. M. (1993). Dehydration in terminally ill patients: is it appropriate palliative care? *Postgraduate Medicine*, 93, (1), 201–208.
207. Billings, J. A. (1985). *Outpatient management of advanced cancer: symptom control, support, and hospice-in-the home*. London: J. B. Lippincott Co.

208. Robinson, S. B. & Rosher, R. B. (2002). Can a beverage cart help improve hydration? *Geriatric Nursing*, 23, (4), 208–211.
209. Simmons, S. F., Alessi, C. & Schnelle, J. F. (2001). An intervention to increase fluid intake in nursing home residents: prompting and preference compliance. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 49, (7), 926–933.
210. Dunphy, K., Finlay, I., Rathbone, G., Gilbert, J. & Hicks, F. (1995). Rehydration in palliative and terminal care: if not, why not? *Palliative Medicine*, 9, (3), 221–228.
211. Parkash, R. & Burge, F. (1997). The family's perspective on issues of hydration in terminal care. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 13, (4), 23–27.
212. Yeh, S. S., Wu, S. Y., Lee, T. P., Olson, J. S., Stevens, M. R., Dixon, T., Porcelli, R. J. & Schuster, M. W. (2000). Improvement in quality-of-life measures and stimulation of weight gain after treatment with megestrol acetate oral suspension in geriatric cachexia: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 48, (5), 485–492.
213. Allan, S. G. (1998). Nausea and vomiting. In D. Doyle, G. W. C. Hanks, & N. MacDonald (Eds.), *Oxford textbook of palliative medicine* (2nd ed.) (pp. 282–291). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
214. Mannix, K. (1998). Palliation of nausea and vomiting. In D. Doyle, G. W. C. Hanks, & N. MacDonald (Eds.), *Oxford textbook of palliative medicine* (2nd ed.) (pp. 489–499). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
215. Glare, P., Periera, G., Kristjanson, L. J., Stockler, M. & Tattersall, M. (2004). Systematic review of antiemetics in the treatment of nausea in patients with far advanced cancer. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 12, (6), 432–440.
216. Kayser-Jones, J. & Pengilly, K. (1999). Dysphagia among nursing home residents. *Geriatric Nursing*, 20, (2), 77–82.
217. Boyle, S. (1992). Assessing mouth care. *Nursing Times*, 88, (15), 44–46.
218. Simons, D., Kidd, E. A. M. & Beighton, D. (1999). Oral health of elderly occupants in residential homes. *Lancet*, 353, (9166), 1761.
219. Holmes, S. (1996). Nursing management of oral care in older patients. *Nursing Times*, 92, (9), 37–39.
220. Clarkson, J. E., Worthington, H. V. & Eden, O. B. (2003). Interventions for preventing oral mucositis for patients with cancer receiving treatment. *The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews*, Issue, 4.
221. Holmes, S. & Mountain, E. (1993). Assessment of oral status: evaluation of three oral assessment guides. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 2, 35–40.
222. Jones, J. A., Spiro, A., Miller, D. R., Garcia, R. I. & Kressin, N. R. (2002). Need for dental care in older veterans: assessment of patient-based measures. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50, (1), 163–168.

223. Bush, L. A., Horenkamp, N., Morley, J. E. & Spiro, A. I. (1996). D-E-N-T-A-L: a rapid self-administered screening instrument to promote referrals for further evaluation in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 44, (8), 979.
224. Atchison, K. A. & Dolan, T. A. (1990). Development of the Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index. *Journal of Dental Education*, 54, (11), 680–687.
225. Dodd, M. J., Larson, P. J., Dibble, S. L., Miaskowski, C., Greenspan, D., MacPhail, L., Hauck, W. W., Paul, S. M., Ignoffo, R. & Shiba, G. (1996). Randomized clinical trial of chlorhexidine versus placebo for prevention of oral mucositis in patients receiving chemotherapy. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 23, (6), 921–927.
226. Cullum, N., McInnes, E., Bell-Syer, S. E. M. & Legood, R. (2004). Support surfaces for pressure ulcer prevention. *The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews*, Issue, 4, (3).
227. Jolley, D. J., Wright, R., McGowan, S., Hickey, M. B., Campbell, D. A., Sinclair, R. D. & Montgomery, K. C. (2004). Preventing pressure ulcers with the Australian Medical Sheepskin: an open-label randomised controlled trial. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 180, 324–327.
228. Pearson, A., Francis, K., Hodgkinson, B. & Curry, G. (2000). Prevalence and treatment of pressure ulcers in northern New South Wales. *Australian Journal of Rural Health*, 8, 103–110.
229. Montgomery, K. C., Hickey, M. B., Gordon, P. G. & McGowan, S. (2001). *Australian woolskin products: fibres naturally aligned in space*. Paper presented at the meeting of the CSIRO Textile and Fibre Technology, Melbourne, Victoria.
230. Paris, M. & McLeod, A. (1999). General nursing options. In M. Paris & A. McLeod (Eds.), *Consider the options: end stage clinical care for chronic degenerative disorders* (pp. 25–30). Adelaide, South Australia: Resthaven Incorporated.
231. Australian Wound Management Association. (2002). *Standards for wound management* (1st ed.). Perth, Western Australia: Author.
232. Flemming, K. & Cullum, N. (2000). Therapeutic ultrasound for pressure sores. *The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews*, Issue, 4.
233. Flemming, K. & Cullum, N. (2000). Electromagnetic therapy for treating pressure sores. *The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews*, Issue, 4.
234. Cadd, A., Keatinge, D., Henssen, M., O'Brien, L., Parker, D., Rohr, Y., Schneider, J. & Thompson, J. (2000). Assessment and documentation of bowel care management in palliative care: incorporating patient preferences into the care regimen. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 9, (2), 228–235.
235. Petticrew, M., Watt, I. & Sheldon, T. (1997). Systematic review of the effectiveness of laxatives in the elderly. *Health Technology Assessment*, 1, (13), 1–52.
236. Joanna Briggs. (2000). Management of constipation in older adults. *Best Practice: Evidence Based Practice Information Sheet for Health Periodicals*, 3, (1), 6.

237. Brocklehurst, J., Dickinson, E. & Windsor, J. (1999). Laxatives and faecal incontinence in long-term care. *Nursing Standard*, 13, (52), 32–36.
238. Schnelle, J. F., Kapur, K., Alessi, C., Osterweil, D., Beck, J. G., Al-Samarrai, N. R. & Ouslander, J. G. (2003). Does an exercise and incontinence intervention save healthcare costs in a nursing home population? *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 51, (2), 161–168.
239. Bredin, M. (1999). Multicentre randomised controlled trial of nursing intervention for breathlessness in patients with lung cancer. *British Medical Journal*, 318, 901–904.
240. Edmonds, P., Higginson, I., Altmann, D., Sen-Gupta, G. & McDonnell, M. (2000). Is the presence of dyspnea a risk factor for morbidity in cancer patients? *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 19, (1), 15–22.
241. Tarzian, A. J. (2000). Caring for dying patients who have air hunger. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 32, (2), 137–143.
242. Jennings, A. L., Davies, A. N., Higgins, J. P. T., Gibbs, J. S. R. & Broadley, K. E. (2002). A systematic review of the use of opioids in the management of dyspnoea. *Thorax*, 57, (11), 939–944.
243. Davis, C. L. (1997). ABC of palliative care: breathlessness, cough, and other respiratory problems. *British Medical Journal*, 315, (7113), 931.
244. Corner, J., Plant, H., A'Hern, R. & Bailey, C. (1996). Non-pharmacological intervention for breathlessness in lung cancer. *Palliative Medicine*, 10, (4), 299–305.
245. National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine. (2003). *What is complementary and alternative medicine (CAM)?* Retrieved August 23, 2003, from National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) Web site: <http://nccam.nih.gov/health/whatiscam/#2>
246. Pan, C. X., Morrison, S., Ness, J., Fugh-Berman, A. & Leipzig, R. M. (2000). Complementary and alternative medicine in the management of pain, dyspnea, and nausea and vomiting near the end of life: a systematic review. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 20, (5), 374–387.
247. Thorgrimsen, L., Spector, A., Wiles, A. & Orrell, M. (2003). Aroma therapy for dementia (Cochrane Review). In, *The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews*, Issue 3, 2003. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
248. Ballard, C. G., O'Brien, J. T., Reichelt, K. & Perry, E. K. (2002). Aromatherapy as a safe and effective treatment for the management of agitation in severe dementia: the results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with Melissa. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 63, (7), 553–558.
249. Smallwood, J., Brown, R., Coulter, F., Irvine, E. & Copland, C. (2001). Aromatherapy and behaviour disturbances in dementia: a randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 16, (10), 1010–1013.
250. Wilkinson, S., Aldridge, J., Salmon, I., Cain, E. & Wilson, B. (1999). An evaluation of aromatherapy massage in palliative care. *Palliative Medicine*, 13, (5), 409–417.

251. Opie, J., Rosewarne, R. & O'Connor, D. W. (1999). The efficacy of psychosocial approaches to behaviour disorders in dementia: a systematic literature review. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 33, 780–799.
252. Denney, A. (1997). Quiet music: an intervention for mealtime agitation? *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 21, 39–45.
253. Goddaer, J. & Arbraham, I. L. (1994). Effects of relaxing music on agitation during meals among nursing home residents with severe cognitive impairment. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*, 8, (3), 150–158.
254. Clark, M. E., Lipe, A. W. & Bilbrey, M. (1998). Use of music to decrease aggressive behaviors in people with dementia. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 24, (7), 10–17.
255. Foster, D. F., Phillips, R. S., Hamel, M. B. & Eisenberg, D. M. (2000). Alternative medicine use in older Americans. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 48, (12), 1560–1565.
256. Spoelhof, G. D. & Foerst, L. F. (2002). Herbs to magnets: managing alternative therapies in the nursing home. *Annals of Long Term Care*, 10, (12), 51–57.
257. Ernst, E. (1998). Harmless herbs? A review of the recent literature. *American Journal of Medicine*, 104, (2), 170–178.
258. van Dongen, M. C., van Rossum, E., Kessels, A. G., Sielhorst, H. J. & Knipschild, P. G. (2000). The efficacy of ginkgo for elderly people with dementia and age-associated memory impairment: new results of a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 48, (10), 1183–1194.
259. Spector, A., Thorgrimsen, L., Woods, B., Royan, L., Daview, S., Butterworth, M. & Orrell, M. (2003). Efficacy of an evidence-based cognitive stimulation therapy programme for people with dementia: randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 183, 248–254.
260. Schultz, A. A. & Winstead-Fry, P. (2001). Predictors of quality of life in rural patients with cancer. *Cancer Nursing*, 24, (1), 12–19.
261. Watts, S. C., Bhutani, G. E., Stout, I. H., Ducker, G. M., Cleator, P. J., McGarry, J. & Day, M. (2002). Mental health in older adult recipients of primary care services: is depression the key issue? Identification, treatment and the general practitioner. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 17, (5), 427–437.
262. Schleifer, S. J. & Keller, S. E. (1991). Psychoneuroimmunologic and behavioral issues in populations at risk for AIDS. *Psychiatric Medicine*, 9, (3), 395–408.
263. Lloyd-Williams, M. (2002). Is it appropriate to screen palliative care patients for depression? *American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 19, (2), 112–114.
264. Lloyd-Williams, M. & Payne, S. (2002). Can multidisciplinary guidelines improve the palliation of symptoms in the terminal phase of dementia? *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 8, (8), 370–375.

265. Barraclough, J. (1997). ABC of palliative care: depression, anxiety, and confusion. *British Medical Journal*, 315, (7119), 1365–1368.
266. Bishara, E., Loew, F., Forest, M., Fabre, O. & Rapin, C. H. (1997). Is there a relationship between psychological well being a patient–carers consensus? A clinical pilot study. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 13, (4), 14–22.
267. Bottorff, J. L., Steele, R., Davies, B., Garossino, C., Porterfield, P. & Shaw, M. (1998). Striving for balance: Palliative care patients’ experiences of making everyday choices. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 14, (1), 7–17.
268. Evers, M. M., Samuels, S. C., Lantz, M., Khan, K., Brickman, A. M. & Marin, D. B. (2002). The prevalence, diagnosis and treatment of depression in dementia patients in chronic care facilities in the last six months of life. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 17, 464–472.
269. Brodaty, H., Draper, B., Saab, D., Low, L., Richards, V., Paton, H. & Lie, D. (2001). Psychosis, depression and behavioural disturbances in Sydney nursing home residents: prevalence and predictors. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 16, (5), 505–512.
270. Fleming, J. I., Tonti-Filippini, N. & Pike, G. K. (2001). *An ethics handbook for aged care facilities*. Adelaide, South Australia: South Australia Network for Research on Ageing.
271. Chochinov, H., Wilson, K., Enns, M. & Lander, S. (1995). “Are you depressed?” Screening for depression in the terminally ill. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, (5), 674–676.
272. Byrne, G. J. A. & Raphael, B. (1999). Depressive symptoms and depressive episodes in recently widowed older men. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 11, (1), 67–74.
273. American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
274. Pomeroy, I. M., Clark, C. R. & Philp, I. (2001). The effectiveness of very short scales for depression screening in elderly medical patients. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 16, (3), 321–326.
275. Berwick, D. M., Murphy, J. M., Goldman, P. A., Ware, J. E., Barsky, A. J. & Weinstein, M. C. (1991). Performance of a five-item mental health screening test. *Medical Care*, 29, (2), 169–176.
276. Yesavage, J. A., Brink, R. L., Rose, T. L., Lum, O., Huang, V. & Adey, M. (1983). Development and validation of a geriatric depression scale: a preliminary report. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 17, 37–49.
277. Sheikh, J. A. & Yesavage, J. A. (1986). Geriatric depression scale (GDS): recent findings and development of a shorter version. In T. L. Brink (Ed.), *Clinical gerontology: a guide to assessment and intervention*. New York: Howarth Press.
278. Soon, J. A. & Levine, M. (2002). Screening for depression in patients in long-term care facilities: a randomised controlled trial of physician response. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50, 1092–1099.

279. de Craen, A. J. M., Heeren, T. J. & Gussekloo, J. (2003). Accuracy of the 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) in a community sample of the oldest old. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 18, 63–66.
280. Alexopoulos, G. S., Abrams, R. C. & Young, R. C. (1988). Cornell scale for depression in dementia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 23, 271–284.
281. Camus, V., Schmitt, L. & Ousset, P. J. (1993). A comparative study of Hamilton, Cornell, and Sunderland Depression Rating scales in dementia. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 41, (Suppl. 10), SA42.
282. Harwood, D., Ownby, R., Barker, W. & Duara, R. (1998). The factor structure of the Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia among probable Alzheimer's disease patients. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 6, (3), 212–220.
283. Martin, A. C. & Jackson II, K. C. (2000). Depression in palliative care patients. In A. G. Lipman, K. C. Jackson II, & L. S. Tyler (Eds.), *Evidence based symptom control in palliative care: systematic reviews and validated clinical practice guidelines for 15 common problems in patients with life limiting disease* (pp. 71–90). New York: Pharmaceutical Products Press.
284. Macleod, A. D. (1998). Methylphenidate in terminal depression. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 16, (3), 193–198.
285. Roth, A. J. & Breitbart, W. (1996). Psychiatric emergencies in terminally ill cancer patients. *Hematology–Oncology Clinics of North America*, 10, (1), 235–259.
286. Wetherell, J. L., Gatz, M. & Pedersen, N. L. (2001). A longitudinal analysis of anxiety and depressive symptoms. *Psychology & Aging*, 1, (2), 187–195.
287. Holtom, N. & Barraclough, J. (2000). Is the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) useful in assessing depression in palliative care? *Palliative Medicine*, 14, (3), 219–220.
288. Lees, N. & Lloyd-Williams, M. (1999). Assessing depression in palliative care patients using the visual analogue scales: a pilot study. *European Journal of Cancer Care*, 8, (4), 220–223.
289. Matt, G. E., Dean, A., Wang, B. & Wood, P. (1992). Identifying clinical syndromes in a community sample of elderly persons. *Psychological Assessment*, 4, (2), 174–184.
290. Sansone, P. & Schmitt, L. (2000). Providing tender touch massage to elderly nursing home residents: a demonstration project. *Geriatric Nursing*, 21, (6), 303–308.
291. Inouye, S. K., van Dyck, C. H., Alessi, C. A., Balkin, S., Siegel, A. P. & Horwitz, R. I. (1990). Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 113, (12), 941–948.
292. Cacchione, P. Z. (2002). Four acute confusion assessment instruments: reliability and validity for use in long-term care facilities. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 28, (1), 12–19.
293. Howe, A. L. & Kung, F. (2003). Does assessment make a difference for people with dementia? The effectiveness of the Aged Care Assessment Teams in Australia. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 18, 205–210.
294. McCusker, J., Cole, P. H. M., Dendukuri, N., Han, L. & Betzile, E. (2003). The course of delirium in older medical inpatients: a prospective study. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 18, 696–704.

295. Brown, T. M. & Boyle, M. F. (2002). Delirium. *British Medical Journal*, 325, (7365), 644–647.
296. Cole, M. G., Primeau, F. & McCusker, J. (1996). Effectiveness of interventions to prevent delirium in hospitalized patients: a systematic review. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 155, (9), 1263–1268.
297. Rowles, G. D. & High, D. M. (1996). Individualised care — family roles in nursing home decision-making. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 22, (3), 20–25.
298. Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E. & McHugh, P. R. (1975). Mini-mental state: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 12, (3), 189–198.
299. Mozley, C. G., Huxley, P., Sutcliffe, C., Bagley, H., Burns, A., Challis, D. & Cordingley, L. (1999). ‘Not knowing where I am doesn’t mean I don’t know what I like’: cognitive impairment and quality of life responses in elderly people. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 14, (9), 776–783.
300. Hall-Lord, M. L., Larsson, G. & Steen, B. (1999). Chronic pain and distress in older people: a cluster analysis. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 5, (2), 78–85.
301. Miller, R. D. & Walsh, T. D. (1991). Psychosocial aspects of palliative care in advanced cancer. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 6, (1), 24–29.
302. Palliative Care Australia. (1999). *State of the nation 1998: report of the national census of palliative care services for 1998*. Yarralumla, Australian Capital Territory: Author.
303. Canadian Palliative Care Association. (1998). *Standards for Palliative Care Provision*. Retrieved September 15, 2003, from the Canadian Palliative Care Association Web site: <http://www.chpca.net/home.htm>
304. Haley, W. E., La Monde, L. A., Han, B., Narramore, S. & Schonwetter, R. (2001). Family caregiving in hospice: effects on psychological and health functioning among spousal caregivers of hospice patients with lung cancer or dementia. *Hospice Journal*, 15, (4), 1–18.
305. Duncan, M. T. & Morgan, D. L. (1994). Sharing the caring: family caregivers’ views of their relationships with nursing home staff. *The Gerontologist*, 34, (2), 235–244.
306. Eriksson, E., Somer, S. & Lauri, S. (2001). How relatives adjust after death of a patient with cancer in hospice. *Cancer Nursing*, 24, (6), 346–345.
307. Clumpus, L. & Hill, A. (1999). Exploring the views of carers of cancer patients in an inner city locality. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 5, (3), 116–123.
308. Kristjanson, L. J., Sloan, J. A., Dudgeon, D. & Adaskin, E. (1996). Family member’s perceptions of palliative cancer care: predictors of family functioning and family members’ health. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 12, (4), 10–20.
309. Rutman, D. & Parke, B. (1992). Palliative care needs of residents, families, and staff in long-term care facilities. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 8, (2), 23–29.
310. Davies, B., Reimer, J. C. & Martens, N. (1994). Family functioning and its implications for palliative care. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 10, (1), 29–36.

311. Johnson, M. A., Morton, M. K. & Knox, S. M. (1992). The transition to a nursing home: meeting the family's needs. *Geriatric Nursing*, 13, (6), 299–302.
312. Grbich, C., Parker, D. & Maddocks, I. (2001). The emotions and coping strategies of caregivers of family members with a terminal cancer. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 17, (1), 30–36.
313. Kellett, U. M. (2000). Bound within the limits: facing constraints to family caring in nursing homes. *International Journal of Nurse Practitioner*, 6, (6), 317–323.
314. Sumaya-Smith, I. (1995). Caregiver/resident relationships: surrogate family bonds and surrogate grieving in a skilled nursing facility. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 21, (3), 447–451.
315. Parkes, C. M. (1999). Coping with loss: consequences and implications for care. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 5, (5), 250–254.
316. Atkinson, A., Tilse, C. & Schlecht, N. (2000). Moving to a hostel: the perceptions of older people who move from hospital to hostel ('low level') care. *Australian Social Work*, 53, (1), 9–13.
317. Bartlett, M. C. (1994). Married widows: the wives of men in long-term care. *Journal of Women & Aging*, 6, (1/2), 91–106.
318. Rosenthal, C. J. & Dawson, P. (1991). Wives of institutionalised elderly men: the first stage of the transition to quasi-widowhood. *Journal of Aging & Health*, 3, (3), 315–334.
319. Anderson, K. H., Hobson, A., Steiner, P. & Rodel, B. (1992). Patients with dementia— involving families to maximise nursing care. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 18, (7), 19–25.
320. Hockley, J. (2000). Psychosocial aspects in palliative care: communicating with the patient and family. *Acta Oncologica*, 39, (8), 905–910.
321. Bonnel, W. B. (1996). Not gone and not forgotten: a spouse's experience of late-stage Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Psychosocial Nursing & Mental Health Services*, 34, (8), 23–7.
322. Dempsay, N. P. & Pruchno, R. A. (1993). The family's role in the nursing home: predictors of technical and non-technical assistance. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*, 21, (1/2), 127–145.
323. Sheldon, F. M. (2000). Dimensions of the role of the social worker in palliative care. *Palliative Medicine*, 14, (6), 491–498.
324. Carnelley, K., Wortman, C. B. & Kessler, R. C. (1999). The impact of widowhood on depression: findings from a prospective survey. *Psychological Medicine*, 29, 1111–1123.
325. Waltrowicz, W., Ames, D., McKenzie, S. & Flicker, L. (1996). Burden and stress on relatives (informal carers) of dementia sufferers in psychogeriatric nursing homes. *Australian Journal on Ageing*, 15, (3), 115–118.
326. Grau, L., Teresi, J. & Chandler, B. (1993). Demoralisation among sons, daughters, spouses, and other relatives of nursing home residents. *Research on Aging*, 15, (3), 324–345.
327. Fick, D. & Foreman, M. (2000). Consequences of not recognizing delirium superimposed on dementia in hospitalized elderly individuals. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 26, (1), 30–40.

328. Kristjanson, L. J., Leis, A., Koop, P. M., Carriere, K. C. & Mueller, B. (1997). Family members' care expectations, care perceptions, and satisfaction with advanced cancer care: results of a multi-site pilot study. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 13, (4), 5–13.
329. Kurtz, M. E., Kurtz, J. C., Given, C. W. & Given, B. (1997). Predictors of postbereavement depression symptomatology among family caregivers of cancer patients. *Supportive Care Cancer*, 5, 53–60.
330. Hermann, C. P. & Looney, S. (2001). The effectiveness of symptom management in hospice patients during the last seven days of life. *Journal of Hospice & Palliative Nursing*, 3, (3), 88–96.
331. Stewart, M., Craig, D., MacPherson, K. & Alexander, S. (2001). Promoting positive affect and diminishing loneliness of widowed seniors through a support intervention. *Public Health Nursing*, 18, (1), 54–63.
332. Thompson, M. G. & Heller, K. (1990). Facets of support related to well-being: quantitative social isolation and perceived family support in a sample of elderly women. *Psychology & Aging*, 5, (4), 535–544.
333. Fratiglioni, L., Wang, H. X., Ericsson, K., Maytan, M. & Winblad, B. (2000). Influence of social network on occurrence of dementia: a community-based longitudinal study. *Lancet*, 355, (9212), 1315–1319.
334. Liossi, C., Hatira, P. & Mystakidou, K. (1997). The use of the genogram in palliative care. *Palliative Medicine*, 11, (6), 455–461.
335. World Health Organization. (2002). *WHO draft working definition, October 2002*. Retrieved September 23, 2003, from Web site: <http://www.who.int/reproductive-health/gender/glossary.html>
336. Nay, R. (1992). Sexuality and aged women in nursing homes. *Geriatric Nursing*, 13, (6), 312–314.
337. Gibson, M. C., Bol, N., Woodbury, M. G., Beaton, C. & Janke, C. (1999). Comparison of caregivers', residents', and community-dwelling spouses' opinion about expressing sexuality in the institutional setting. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 25, (4), 30–39.
338. Butts, J. B. (2001). Outcomes of comfort touch in institutionalized elderly female residents. *Geriatric Nursing*, 22, (4), 180–184.
339. Walker, B. L. & Ephross, P. H. (1999). Knowledge and attitudes toward sexuality of a group of elderly. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*, 31, (1/2), 86–107.
340. Aizenberg, D., Weizman, A. & Barak, Y. (2002). Attitudes toward sexuality among nursing home residents. *Sexuality & Disability*, 20, (3), 185–189.
341. Bauer, M. (1999). Their only privacy is between their sheets. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 25, (8), 37–41.
342. Annon, J. S. (1976). The PLISSIT model: a proposed conceptual scheme for the behavioral treatment of sexual problems. *Journal of Sex Education & Therapy*, 2, (2), 1–15.

343. Wallace, M. (2001). Sexuality. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 27, (2), 10–11.
344. McInnes, R. (2003). Chronic illness and sexuality. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 179, (5), 263–266.
345. Weerakoon, P. (2001). Sexuality and the Patient with a Stoma. *Sexuality & Disability*, 19, (2), 121–129.
346. Davies, H. D., Zeiss, A. M., Shea, E. A. & Tinklenberg, J. R. (1998). Sexuality and Intimacy in Alzheimer's Patients and Their Partners. *Sexuality & Disability*, 16, (3), 193–203.
347. Stausmire, J. M. (2004). Sexuality at the end of life. *American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Medicine*, 21, (1), 33–39.
348. Harrison, J. (1997). Social policy and Aboriginal people. In A. Borowski, S. Encel, & E. Ozanne (Eds.), *Ageing and social policy in Australia* (pp. 119–135). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
349. Willis, J. (1999). Dying in country: implications of culture in the delivery of palliative care in Indigenous Australian communities. *Anthropology & Medicine*, 6, (3), 423–435.
350. Ramanathan, S. & Dunn, P. (1998). Terminal illness in rural Aboriginal communities. *Aboriginal & Islander Health Worker Journal*, 22, (5), 23–26.
351. Eckerman, A., Dowd, T., Martin, M., Nixon, L., Grav, R. & Chong, E. (1992). *Binangoonj: bridging cultures in Aboriginal health*. Armidale, New South Wales: University of New England Press.
352. Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2001). *Australian social trends: population characteristics: ancestry of Australia's population*. Retrieved 2003, July 17, from Author Web site: <http://www.abs.gov.au>
353. Australian Institute for Health & Welfare. (2003). *Residential aged care in Australia 2001–02: a statistical overview*. (Aged Care Statistics series no. 13, AIHW cat. no. AGE 29). Canberra, Australian Capital Territory: Author.
354. Die-Trill, M. & Holland, J. C. (1993). Cross-cultural differences in the care of patients with cancer: a review. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 15, (1), 21–30.
355. Kristjanson, L. J. & Aschroft, T. (1994). The family's cancer journey: a literature review. *Cancer Nursing*, 17, (1), 1–17.
356. McNamara, B., Martin, K., Waddell, C. & Yuen, K. (1997). Palliative care in a multicultural society: perceptions of health care professionals. *Palliative Medicine*, 11, (5), 359–367.
357. Gerrish, K. A. (2000). Individualised care: its conceptualization and practice within a multiethnic society. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 32, (1), 91–99.
358. Hall, P., Stone, G. & Fiset, V. J. (1998). Palliative care: How can we meet the needs of our multicultural communities? *Journal of Palliative Care*, 14, (2), 46–49.
359. Critchley, P., Jadad, A. R., Taniguchi, A., Woods, A., Stevens, R., Reyno, L. & Whelan, T. J. (1999). Are some palliative care delivery systems more effective and efficient than others? A systematic review of comparative studies. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 15, (4), 40–47.

360. McGrath, P., Vun, M. & McLeod, L. (2001). Needs and experiences of non-English speaking hospice patients and families in an English speaking country. *American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 18, (5), 305–312.
361. Crawley, L. M., Marshall, P. A., Lo, B. & Koenig, B. A. (2002). Strategies for culturally effective end-of-life care. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 136, 673–679.
362. Tong, K. (1994). The Chinese palliative patient and family in North America: a cultural perspective. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 10, (1), 26–28.
363. Lo, R. S. K., Woo, J., Zhoc, K. C. H., Li, C. Y. P., Yeo, W., Johnson, P., Mak, Y. & Lee, J. (2001). Cross-cultural validation of the McGill quality of life questionnaire in Hong Kong Chinese. *Palliative Medicine*, 15, (1), 387–397.
364. Dein, S. & Stygall, J. (1997). Does being religious help or hinder coping with chronic illness? A critical literature review. *Palliative Medicine*, 11, (4), 291–8.
365. Grey, A. (1994). The spiritual component of palliative care. *Palliative Medicine*, 8, (2), 215–221.
366. Mueller, P. S., Plevak, D. J. & Rummans, T. A. (2001). Religious involvement, spirituality, and medicine: implications for clinical practice. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 76, (12), 1225–1235.
367. Hermann, C. P. (2001). Spiritual needs of dying patients: a qualitative study. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 28, (1), 67–72.
368. Daaleman, T. & Vandecreek, L. (2000). Placing religion and spirituality in end-of-life care. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 284, (19), 2514–2517.
369. Thomson, J. E. (2000). The place of spiritual well-being in hospice patient's overall quality of life. *Hospice Journal*, 15, (2), 13–27.
370. McClain, C. S., Rosenfeld, B. & Breitbart, W. (2003). Effect of spiritual well-being on end-of-life despair in terminally-ill cancer patients. *Lancet*, 361, (9369), 1603–1607.
371. Koenig, H. G., Weiner, D. K., Peterson, B. L., Meador, K. G. & Keefe, F. J. (1997). Religious coping in the nursing home: a biopsychosocial model. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 27, (4), 365–376.
372. Ehman, J. W., Ott, B. B., Short, T. H., Ciampa, R. C. & Hansen-Flaschen, J. (1999). Do patients want physicians to inquire about their spiritual or religious beliefs if they become gravely ill? *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 159, (15), 1803–1806.
373. Wilson, S. A. & Daley, B. J. (1999). Family perspectives on dying in long-term care settings. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 25, (11), 19–25.
374. Kash, K. M., Holland, J. C., Passik, S., Lederberg, M. S., Sison, A. C. & Gronert, M. K. (1995). The Systems of Belief Inventory (SBI): a scale to measure spiritual and religious beliefs in quality of life and coping research. *Psychometric Medicine*, 67, 62.
375. Holland, J. C., Kash, K. M., Passik, S., Gronert, M. K., Sison, A., Lederberg, M., Russak, S. M., Baider, L. & Fox, B. (1998). A brief spiritual beliefs inventory for use in quality of life research in life threatening illness. *Psycho-Oncology*, 7, (6), 460–469.

376. Grulke, N., Bailer, H., Blaser, G., Geyer, M., Brähler, E. & Albani, C. (2003). Measuring religious attitudes: reliability and validity of the German version of the Systems of Belief Inventory (SBI-15R-D) in a representative sample. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 6, (3), 203–213.
377. Hartog, K. & Gow, K. M. (2005). Religious attributions pertaining to the causes and cures of mental illness. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 1–17.
378. Baider, L., Holland, J. C., Russak, S. M. & De-Nour, A. K. (2001). The system of belief inventory (SBI-15): a validation study in Israel. *Psycho-Oncology*, 10, (6), 534–540.
379. Hicks, T. J. J. (1999). Spirituality and the elderly: nursing implications with nursing home residents. *Geriatric Nursing*, 20, (3), 144–146.
380. Orchard, H. & Clark, D. (2001). Tending the soul as well as the body: spiritual care in nursing and residential homes. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 7, (11), 541–546.
381. Walsh, K., King, M., Jones, L., Tookman, A. & Blizard, R. (2002). Spiritual beliefs may affect outcome of bereavement: prospective study. *British Medical Journal*, 324, (7353), 1551.
382. McGrath, P., Yates, P., Clinton, M. & Hart, G. (1999). “What should I say?” Qualitative findings on dilemmas in palliative care nursing. *Hospice Journal*, 14, (2), 17–33.
383. Brazil, K. & Thomas, D. (1995). The role of volunteers in a hospital-based palliative care service. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 11, (3), 40–43.
384. Fusco-Karmann, C. & Tamburini, M. (1994). Volunteers in hospital and home care: a precious resource. *Tumori*, 80, (4), 269–272.
385. Mount, B. M. (1992). Volunteer support services, a key component of palliative care. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 8, (1), 59–64.
386. Davidhizar, R. & Bowen, M. (1995). Recruitment and orientation of older volunteers in extended care. *Nursing Management*, 26, (12), 42–44.
387. Franks, A. L., Geary, M. & Smith, I. (1997). Hospice in the United Kingdom. A sitting/companionship service for palliative care patients: Leicestershire, England. *American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 14, (4), 162–165.
388. Payne, S. (2001). The role of volunteers in hospice bereavement support in New Zealand. *Palliative Medicine*, 15, (2), 107–115.
389. Osborne, C. (1999). The ABCs of bereavement volunteers: acquire, build, cherish. *American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 16, (1), 380–385.
390. Roessler, A., Carter, H., Campbell, L. & MacLeod, R. (1999). Diversity among hospice volunteers: a challenge for the development of a responsive volunteer program. *American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 16, (5), 656–664.
391. Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing. (2004). *Volunteers contributing to a palliative approach in aged care: a model and toolkit for “helping out” — the final report*. Retrieved, from Web site: <http://www.palliativecare.gov.au>

392. Saunders, C. M. & Baines, M. J. (1989). *Living with dying: the management of terminal disease* (2nd ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
393. Ellershaw, J. & Wilkinson, S. (Eds.). (2003). *Care for the dying: a pathway to excellence*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
394. Centre for Policy on Ageing. (1999). *The future of health and care of older people: the best is yet to come*. London: Age Concern.
395. Yedidia, M. J. & MacGregor, B. (2001). Confronting the prospect of dying: reports of terminally ill patients. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 22, (4), 807–819.
396. Prigerson, H. G. (1992). Socialization to dying: social determinants of death acknowledgment and treatment among terminally ill geriatric patients. *Journal of Health & Social Behavior*, 33, (4), 378–395.
397. Lloyd-Williams, M. (Ed.). (2003). *Psychosocial issues in palliative care*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
398. Hall, P., Schroder, C. & Weaver, L. (2002). The last 48 hours of life in long-term care: a focused chart audit. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50, (3), 501–506.
399. Katz, J. S., Sidell, M. & Komaromy, C. (2001). Dying in long-term care facilities: support needs of other residents, relatives, and staff. *American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 18, (5), 321–326.
400. Australian Pharmaceutical Advisory Council. (2002). *Guidelines for medication management in residential aged care facilities* (3rd ed.). Canberra, Australian Capital Territory: Commonwealth of Australia.
401. Ferris, F. D., von Gunten, C. F. & Emanuel, E. E. (2003). Competency in end-of-life care: last hours of life. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 6, (4), 605–613.
402. Glare, P. (2003). In J. Ellershaw & C. L. Wilkinson (Eds.), *Care for the dying: a pathway to excellence*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
403. Travis, S. S., Conway, J., Daly, M. & Larsen, P. (2001). Terminal restlessness in the nursing facility: assessment, palliation, and symptom management. *Geriatric Nursing*, 22, (6), 308–312.
404. Inouye, S. K., Bogardus, S. T., Charpentier, P. A., Leo-Summers, L., Acampora, D., Holford, T. R. & Cooney, L. M., Jr. (1999). A multicomponent intervention to prevent delirium in hospitalized older patients. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 340, (9), 669–676.
405. Muller-Busch, H. C., Andres, I. & Jehser, T. (2003). Sedation in palliative care — a critical analysis of 7 years experience. *BMC Palliative Care*, 2, (2), Retrieved September 13, 2003, from <http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1472-684X-2-2.pdf>.
406. Rousseau, P. (2000). The ethical validity and clinical experience of palliative sedation. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 75, (10), 1064–1070.
407. Ellershaw, J., Smith, C., Overill, S., Walker, S. E. & Aldridge, J. (2001). Care of the dying: setting standards for symptom control in the last 48 hours of life. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*, 21, (1), 12–17.

408. Robinson, J. P. (2000). Managing urinary incontinence in the nursing home: residents' perspectives. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 31, (1), 68–77.
409. Albinsson, L. & Strang, P. (2002). A palliative approach to existential issues and death in end-stage dementia care. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 18, (3), 168–174.
410. Kemp, C. (1995). *Terminal illness: a guide to nursing care*. Philadelphia, PA: J. B. Lippincott Company.
411. Horowitz, M. J., Siegel, B., Holen, A., Bonanno, G. A., Milbrath, C. & Stinson, C. H. (1997). Diagnostic criteria for complicated grief disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, (7), 904–910.
412. Stroebe, M., van Son, M., Stroebe, W., Kleber, R., Schut, H. & van den Bout, J. (2000). On the classification and diagnosis of pathological grief. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 20, (1), 57–75.
413. Kissane, D. W., Bloch, S. & McKenzie, D. P. (1997). Family coping bereavement outcome. *Palliative Medicine*, 11, (3), 191–201.
414. Kelly, B., Edwards, P., Synott, R., Neil, C., Baillie, R. & Battistutta, D. (1999). Predictors of bereavement outcome for family carers of cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, 8, (3), 237–249.
415. Payne, S. & Relf, M. (1994). The assessment of need for bereavement follow-up in palliative and hospice care. *Palliative Medicine*, 8, (4), 291–297.
416. Matsushima, T., Akabayashi, A. & Nishitaten, K. (2002). The current status of bereavement follow-up in hospice and palliative care in Japan. *Palliative Medicine*, 16, (2), 151–158.
417. Fauri, D. P., Ettner, B. & Kovacs, P. J. (2000). Bereavement services in acute care settings. *Death Studies*, 24, (1), 51–64.
418. Ransford, H. E. & Smith, M. L. (1991). Grief resolution among the bereaved in hospice and hospital wards. *Social Science & Medicine*, 32, (3), 295–304.
419. Kellehear, A. (2002). Editorial: Grief and loss: past, present and future. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 177, 176–7.
420. Csikai, E. L. & Rayner, M. (2003). *The social work end-of-life care education project: An assessment of educational needs*. Retrieved October 6, 2003, from Web site: http://www.nhpco.org/files/public/InsightsIssue2_2003Social_Worker_pp8-9.pdf
421. Wilkes, L. M. & Beale, B. B. (2001). Palliative care at home: stress for nurses in urban and rural New South Wales, Australia. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 7, 301–313.
422. Dunne, P., Patterson, C., Kilmartin, M. & Sladden, M. (1994). Health service provision in rural and remote areas: a needs analysis. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 161, (2), 160–162.
423. Wilker, H. I. & Lowell, B. (1996). Bereavement services development in a rural setting... co-published simultaneously in Bereavement: Client Adaptation and Hospice Services (ed: Donna Lind Infeld, and Nadine Reimer Penner). *Hospice Journal*, 11, (4), 25–39.

424. Rawlings, D. & Glynn, T. (2002). The development of a palliative care-led memorial service in an acute hospital setting. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 8, (1), 40–47.
425. Urbancek, A. (1994). Have you ever thought of a memorial service? Families and residents of a long-term care facility say good-bye. *Geriatric Nursing*, 15, (2), 100–101.
426. DeForge, S. (1999). In memory of loved ones who have enriched our lives: Helping staff create and hold memorial services in nursing homes. *Journal of Health Care Chaplaincy*, 8, (1-2), 109–116.
427. Komaromy, C. & Hockey, J. (2001). ‘Naturalizing’ death among older adults in residential care. In J. Hockey, J. Katz, & N. Small (Eds.), *Grief, mourning and death ritual* (pp. 73–81). Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.
428. Sheldon, F. (1998). ABC of palliative care: bereavement. *British Medical Journal*, 316, (7129), 456–458.
429. Katz, J., Sidell, M. & Komaromy, C. (2000). Death in homes: bereavement needs of residents, relatives and staff. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 6, (6), 274–279.
430. Chan, A. & Woodruff, R. K. (1999). Comparison of palliative care needs of English and non-English speaking patients. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 15, (1), 26–30.
431. Elkington, J. (2000). *Managing loss and grief in the aged-care industry*. Sydney, New South Wales: WorkCover New South Wales.
432. Sheard, T. (1990). “In memoriam”. *Focus on Critical Care*, 17, (3), 238–239.
433. Foulstone, S., Harvey, B., Wright, J., Jay, M., Owen, F. & Cole, R. (1993). Bereavement support: evaluation of a palliative care memorial service. *Palliative Medicine*, 7, (4), 307–311.
434. Murphy, K., Handrahan, P. & Luchins, D. (1997). A survey of grief and bereavement in nursing homes. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 45, 1104–1107.
435. Hutchinson, S. M. W. (1995). Evaluation of bereavement anniversary cards. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 11, (3), 32–34.
436. Payne, S., Hillier, R., Langley-Evans, A. & Roberts, T. (1996). Impact of witnessing death on hospice patients. *Social Science & Medicine*, 43, (12), 1785–1794.
437. Thompson, M., Rose, C., Wainwright, W., Mattar, L. & Scanlan, M. (2001). Activities of counsellors in a hospice/palliative care environment. *Journal of Palliative Care*, 17, (4), 229–235.
438. Vickio, C. J. & Cavanaugh, J. C. (1985). Relationships about death anxiety, attitudes toward aging, and experience with death in nursing home employees. *Journal of Gerontology*, 40, (3), 347–349.
439. Volicer, L. (1997). Hospice care for dementia patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 45, (9), 1147–1148.
440. Benbow, S. M. & Quinn, A. (1990). Dementia, grief and dying. *Palliative Medicine*, 4, (2), 87–92.

441. Davidson, K. M., Tang, J. H.-C. & Titler, M. G. (2003). Evidence-based protocol: family bereavement support before and after the death of a nursing home resident. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 29, (1), 10–18.
442. Hegge, M. & Fisher, C. (2000). Grief responses of senior and elderly widows: Practice implications. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 26, (2), 35–43.
443. Turvey, C. L., Carney, C., Arndt, S., Wallace, R. B. & Herzog, R. (1999). Conjugal loss and syndromal depression in a sample of elders aged 70 years and older. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 156, (10), 1596–1601.
444. van Baarsen, B., Smit, J. H., Snijders, T. A. B. & Knipscheer, K. P. M. (1999). Do personal conditions and circumstances surrounding partner loss explain loneliness in newly bereaved older adults? *Aging & Society*, 19, 441–469.
445. Vachon, M. L. S. (1995). Staff stress in hospice / palliative care: a review. *Palliative Medicine*, 9, (2), 91–122.
446. Norris, F. H. & Murrell, S. A. (1990). Social support, life events, and stress as modifiers of adjustment to bereavement by older adults. *Psychology & Aging*, 5, (3), 429–436.
447. Wagner, C., van der Wal, G., Groenewegen, P. & de Bakker, D. (2001). The effectiveness of quality systems in nursing homes: a review. *Quality in Health Care*, 10, 211–217.
448. Froggatt, K., Hoult, L. & Poole, K. (2001). *Community work with nursing and residential care homes: a survey study of clinical nurse specialists in palliative care*. London, UK: MacMillan Cancer Relief.
449. Tuch, H. & Parrish, P. (2002). *Integrating palliative care into nursing homes*. Retrieved September 16, 2002, from Innovations in end-of-life care, 4(2)
Web site: <http://www2.edc.org/lastacts/archives/archivesMarch02/featureinn.asp>
450. Parker, D. & De Bellis, A. (1999). A profile of dying residents in South Australian nursing homes. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 5, (4), 162–170.
451. Kitson, A. (2001). Nursing leadership: bringing caring back to the future. *Quality in Health Care*, 10, (Suppl. 2), 79–84.
452. Locock, L. & Dopson, S. (2001). Commissioning: when push comes to shove. *Health Service Journal*, 111, (5737), 28–29.
453. Manley, K. (2000). Organisational culture and consultant nurse outcomes: part 1. Organisational culture. *Nursing Standard*, 14, (36), 34–38.
454. Rycroft-Malone, J., Harvey, G., Kitson, A., McCormack, B., Seers, K. & Titchen, A. (2002). Getting evidence into practice: ingredients for change. *Nursing Standard*, 16, 38–43.
455. Commonwealth Department of Health & Ageing. (2002). *Residential care manual*. Retrieved January 17, 2003, from the Australian Government Department of Health & Ageing Web site: <http://www.health.gov.au/acc>
456. Commonwealth of Australia. (2002). *Draft national model care documentation system for residential aged care*. Canberra, Australian Capital Territory: Commonwealth Department of Health & Ageing.

Glossary



acetaminophen

Acetaminophen is a non-opioid derivative analgesic for mild pain, which is more commonly known in Australia as paracetamol. Because acetaminophen refers to paracetamol, this term does not include other non-opioids such as Aspirin, Salicylates, or Naproxen.

advance care plans

Advance care plans are written documents that explain to aged care team members what a resident has decided about how they want to face their own death. They are called advance care plans, because the resident lets people know his / her wishes in advance. Ideally an advance care plan involves an ongoing discussion with the resident, family, doctor and facility to ensure that the resident's and / or family's wishes are current.

advance directives

Advance directives are formal, legally endorsed documents (usually termed 'living wills') that state instructions for care to be implemented in the event of future decisional incapacity.

advanced dementia

Advanced dementia is a neurologic disease characterised by severe cognitive decline of an irreversible nature that is associated with poor prognostic factors such as swallowing disturbance, weight loss, dysphagia, anorexia, bowel and bladder incontinence, and often being bedridden.[83] This definition therefore, would include many of the residents in RACFs because of their complete dependence on others for their activities of daily living.

aged care team

The term 'aged care team' includes non-professional workers in RACFs, such as care assistants, and professional workers, such as RNs, GPs and allied health practitioners (social workers, physiotherapists, diversional therapists, podiatrists, chaplains/pastoral care workers, music therapists, etc). Volunteers may also provide aspects of care or play a role in supporting residents and / or their families and as such volunteers or the coordinator of volunteers are considered part of the aged care team.

bereavement

Bereavement is the total reaction to a loss and includes the process of 'recovery' or healing from the loss. Although there are similarities in people's responses, there are also marked differences. Each person will grieve and 'recover' in her / his own way.

cachexia

Cachexia is usually associated with a serious illness, such as cancer. The symptoms include general ill health and malnutrition, marked by weakness and emaciation.

care assistants

When the term 'care assistant' is used in the guidelines, it refers to the wide range of non-professional workers in RACFs undertaking the direct care of residents. These workers have many titles such as 'assistant in nursing' (AIN), 'personal care assistant' (PCA) or 'aged person carer', depending on the State or Territory in Australia in which they are employed.

care plans

Care plans are dynamic documents that comprise a statement of the resident's care needs determined during assessment, with the addition of resident-centred goals, together with strategies, interventions or actions that are intended to help the resident achieve or maintain those goals.^[455]

carers

Carers are usually family members and sometimes friends. Their work is based on a pre-existing relationship and is unpaid and often unrecognised. The primary carer is the person who has provided the most informal assistance to the resident in relation to self-care, mobility and communication. When the word 'family' is used in the guidelines, it also includes carers.

chaplain / pastoral care worker

A chaplain / pastoral care worker is a person who works within a holistic approach to health to enable individuals and groups to respond to spiritual and emotional needs, and to the experiences of life and death, illness and injury, in the context of a faith or belief system. It is considered best practice for such a person to be incorporated into the management of residents.

chronic illness

A chronic illness has a sudden or slow onset that is characterised by significant exacerbations and a long, drawn-out duration.

cognitive behavioural intervention / therapy

Cognitive behavioural intervention is a psychological therapy (often known as CBT) that is focused on changing particular thoughts or behaviour patterns, or acquiring specific coping strategies. Included in this category is muscle relaxation training, hypnotherapy, systematic desensitisation, biofeedback, and behaviour modification or reinforcement.

continuity of care

Continuity of care refers to the aged care team member's maintenance of knowledge about the resident and his / her family through consistent palliative practices to ensure that optimal staff, resident and family outcomes are achieved.

coordinator of volunteers

A coordinator of volunteers is a person who is responsible for the recruitment, training, placement and ongoing support of volunteers. The coordinator of volunteers also liaises with aged care team members to ensure that volunteer roles are clearly defined and meet the needs of residents. (See also 'volunteers'.)

coping

Coping is the extent to which a resident is able to deal with the stress of daily life activities and unusual challenges presented by chronic disease, disability, pain, frailty or other issues brought about by the ageing process.

coping style

A coping style is the particular manner in which a person deals with stress, as is evidenced by their behaviour, thoughts and feelings.

counselling

Counselling is a generic term that is used to cover the number of processes of interviewing, testing, guiding, advising, which are designed to help an individual solve problems, plan for the future, etc. There are differing levels of expertise depending on the practitioner's training and experience.

depression

Depression is a pervasive and sustained lowering of a person's mood and demeanour. Clinically, it is a cluster of symptoms which include tearfulness, guilt, irritability, loss of interest in life, loss of energy, poor concentration, poor sleep and either a gain or loss in weight.

dysphagia

Dysphagia is medical term for an inability to swallow food and / or liquids. Dysphagia is associated with a large number of neurological conditions, such as cerebral vascular accidents, Parkinson's disease, dementia, multiple sclerosis and motor neurone disease.^[104] The risk factors identified include neurological conditions, an altered state of consciousness, decreased cognitive ability, decreased alertness and attention span, increased impulsiveness or agitation, some medications, and advanced age.^[104] Dysphagia should be managed in accordance with the agreed goals of care as determined in collaboration with the resident, their family and carers.

dyspnoea

Dyspnoea is an awareness of uncomfortable breathing that can seriously affect quality of life and is frequently associated with the end stage of life.^[160] The experience of dyspnoea comes from multiple physiological, psychological, social and environmental factors that can result in secondary physiological and behavioural responses.^[239] Dyspnoea directly affects all aspects of a resident's activities of daily living, limits mobility, increases anxiety, and can leave residents feeling fearful and socially isolated.^[239] Dyspnoea can also be a sign of a deteriorating condition in residents receiving a palliative approach.

emotional adjustment

Emotional adjustment is the extent that a resident and / or her / his family has adapted to the resident's current circumstances, such as the process of ageing or living in an RACF, and whether they have developed the resilience required to enable them to cope with this adjustment. Emotional adjustment includes the resident's and / or his / her family's moods, fears, anxieties, depression, self-esteem, sense of control, satisfaction with care, other attitudes, personality traits, and various types of emotions or distress.

empathy

Empathy is the ability to acknowledge and understand another person's feelings, needs, experiences, and suffering.

end-of-life (terminal) care

End-of-life (terminal) care is a form of palliative care that is appropriate when the resident is in his / her final days or weeks of life. End-of-life care requires that the resident's care decisions are reviewed more frequently and that the goals of care are more sharply focused on the resident's physical, emotional and spiritual comfort needs, and support for the family.

family

Family can be considered as any person who is part of the central core in the support network of an individual, including carers. A definition of family is those individual's who are closest to the resident in knowledge, care, and affection. This definition, therefore, includes the biological family, the family of acquisition (related by marriage / contract), and the family of choice and friends (not related biologically nor by marriage / contract). Based on this definition, family could include carers, friends, neighbours, or the aged care team and extends the boundaries beyond biological and legal relationships. When 'family' is used in the guidelines, it encompasses all the previously mentioned people.

genogram

A Genogram is a visual representation of who a resident considers they are close to and which people are the resident's more distant supports. A Genogram helps the aged care team to determine a resident's prior social history, which can be helpful in understanding the resident's social networks.

geriatrician

A geriatrician is a specialist doctor who deals mainly with the physical aspects of a resident's condition, including function, cognition, and the social context. Geriatricians can assist with symptom control for the resident who is dying, though a palliative specialist may have more specialised knowledge in some circumstances. Involving Geriatricians as part of the care team is most appropriate for those residents who are delirious, or who have a myriad of medical problems.

geropsychologist

A geropsychologist is a psychologist who specialises in the assessment and management of older persons. Geropsychologists provide assessment, consultation and intervention services related to psychological adaptations in later life (e.g. adapting to age-related changes, bereavement), psychopathology (e.g. affective disorders, dementias), behavioural problems (e.g. wandering, aggressive behaviour, behavioural aspects of incontinence); problems in daily living (e.g. marital and family problems, coping with acute and chronic stressors); medical and legal decision-making capacity (e.g. legal guardianship); independent living arrangements; behavioural competencies (e.g. driving, management of medications, self-care skills, financial management); and socio-cultural and socioeconomic factors influencing the experience and expression of psychological problems. Distinctive interventions include reminiscence and life review therapies, grief therapy, expressive therapies and psycho-educational programs for family caregivers.

graseby pump

A Graseby pump is a battery-powered syringe driver designed to administer a pre-determined dose of injectable medication, usually opioids, over a specified period.

informed consent

In Australia, no medical services can be provided without the consent of the person concerned. This consent is considered informed consent when the person agrees to a treatment without duress, voluntarily gives their consent after a reasonable time, and has been provided with adequate information. The only exception to this is when the person's capacity to consent is impaired by a serious mental illness, in which case the relevant State Mental Health Act can be invoked. If a family member has been granted a legal right to make decisions for the resident, then the form stating this must be sighted by a member of the aged care team and its powers and limitations noted on the resident's record. The aged care team can then be directed by this document as to how much information they are able to share regarding the resident. As the legislation may vary regarding the responsibilities of people who are authorised 'in advance' by the resident as 'Enduring Power of Attorney' (financial or medical) or 'Enduring Power of Guardianship', it is incumbent upon each RACF to check legal requirements.

medical power of attorney

Medical power of attorney is the title given to a person who is legally appointed to make decisions relating to the medical care of another. Managers of RACFs are directed to seek independent legal advice regarding medical power of attorney.

multidisciplinary team

Multidisciplinary teams consist of a mix of aged care, health and social welfare disciplines. Team members share common goals, collaborate, and work interdependently in planning, problem-solving, decision-making, implementation and evaluation. Members of a multidisciplinary team could include GPs, surgeons, medical or radiation oncologists, Aboriginal health workers, nurses, care assistants, coordinators of volunteers, dentists, optometrists, psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, physiotherapists, chaplains / pastoral care workers, volunteers, pharmacists, occupational or speech pathologists, or palliative care specialists.

National Consultative Information-Sharing Network (NCISN)

The National Consultative Information-Sharing Network (NCISN) was a network of people who provided services in the aged care or palliative industry and who indicated that they were willing to be involved in the APRAC Project. NCISN members elected to contribute online their experiences and thoughts regarding a palliative approach for residents in RACFs. To participate in the NCISN, individuals completed an online registration at www.apracproject.org.

non-pharmacological interventions

Non-pharmacological interventions are treatments that do not use drugs to alleviate symptoms of the disease. Examples of non-pharmacological interventions include music or art therapy, exercise, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), massage, aromatherapy and support groups.

nurses

In Australia there are currently two levels of nurses, the Enrolled Nurse (EN —trained through the vocational education and training sector) and the Registered Nurse (RN — university trained). The development of a higher level within the RN group, the nurse practitioner, is also at varying stages of development depending on the State or Territory. Its distinctive traditions, skills, knowledge, values and qualities define nursing practice. The use of the title 'nurse' is legally protected in all states and territories. When the term 'nurse' is used in this document, it refers to anyone appropriately qualified as a nurse, such as the following groups (this list is not exhaustive):

- Nurse practitioners, nurse managers, nurse educators
- RNs (general, specialist, Div 2), and
- ENs (RN Div1 in VIC).

oncologist

An oncologist is the specialist title of a doctor who treats cancer.

opioids

Opioid is a specific term used to describe drugs (natural and semi-synthetic) that are derived from the juice of the opium poppy.

palliative approach

A palliative approach aims to improve the quality of life for individuals with a life-limiting illness or who are dying due to the ageing process, and their families, by reducing their suffering through early identification, assessment and holistic treatment of pain, physical, psychological, social, cultural, and spiritual needs. A palliative approach is not delayed until the end stages of an illness or the ageing process. Instead, a palliative approach provides a focus on active comfort care and a positive approach to reducing an individual's symptoms and distress, which facilitates residents and their families understanding that they are being actively supported through this process. Underlying the philosophy of a palliative approach is a positive and open attitude towards death and dying.

palliative care

The World Health Organization's (2003)^[1] most recent definition of palliative care describes this type of care as a palliative approach.

pharmacological interventions

Pharmacological interventions are treatments that involve the administration of drugs to alleviate symptoms.

problem-solving technique

Problem-solving technique is a strategy that involves developing a sequence of alternatives leading to an intended goal or solution to a problem.

psychiatric disorders

Psychiatric disorders are mental disorders diagnosed by a psychologist or psychiatrist, according to the Diagnostic Statistician's Manual (DSM).

psycho-geriatrician

A psycho-geriatrician is a specialist doctor who deals mainly with the psychiatric aspects of a resident's condition. This would be particularly important when medication formed part of a psychosocial intervention such as for a resident with clinical depression and the management of disruptive behaviour secondary to dementia.

psychosocial needs / support

Psychosocial needs / support are the culturally sensitive provision of psychological, social, and spiritual care.

qualitative studies

Qualitative studies are usually descriptive and their aim is provide a context for people's experience and behaviours through analysis that is detailed, 'rich' and integrative. Examples of qualitative studies include observational or case study methods that explore comparisons within a group to describe and explain a particular phenomenon (e.g. comparative case studies with multiple communities).

quality of life

Quality of life is defined as an individual's perception of his / her position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which he / she live, and in relation to her / his goals, expectations, standards and concerns. It is a broad-ranging concept, incorporating in a complex way the person's physical health and psychological state, level of independence, social relationships, personal beliefs and relationship to salient features of the environment.

quantitative studies

Quantitative studies generally use random assignment to compare the effect of an intervention between otherwise equivalent groups (for example, comparing a randomly assigned group of aged care team members who took part in a palliative approach training program with those who did not). However, quasi-experimental studies, which are also quantitative studies, make comparisons between groups that are not equal or make comparisons within a group over a period of time (such as an interrupted time series involving a pre-test and post-test).

randomised controlled trials (RCTs)

Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) are trials that are conducted using participants selected in such a way that all known selective or biasing factors have been eliminated. The trial involves the comparison of an experimental group with another group of participants, equal in all respects, who do not undergo the treatment being trialled.

residential aged care facilities (RACFs)

Residential aged care is for older persons who, for various reasons, can no longer live at home. Residential aged care facilities (RACFs) are owned and operated by organisations which have approval from the government to provide the personal and nursing care that a person requires in accordance with their aged care assessment and the relevant legislations.

self-esteem / self-regard

Self-esteem or self-regard is how people perceive themselves. This self-evaluation is generally thought to influence an individual's thoughts, feelings and behaviours.

specialised palliative team

A specialised palliative team is trained in the provision of a palliative approach. The individuals work as a multidisciplinary team, providing specialist advice, education and support to residents requiring a palliative approach and / or aged care team members providing this care.

support groups

Support groups are groups composed of people with similar problems or illnesses. A formally trained, professional leader may lead these groups; however, depending on the purpose of the group, this may not always be the case.

support network

A support network is a group of people who the resident considers provides for his / her emotional, psychological and practical care needs. A support network usually includes family members and carers (see glossary definitions for 'family' and 'carers' for further explanation).

therapeutic diets

Therapeutic diets are diets ordered by a doctor as part of a resident's treatment to:

- Eliminate or decrease certain substances in the diet (e.g. sodium);
- Increase certain substances in the diet (e.g. potassium); or
- Provide food that the resident is able to eat (e.g. a mechanically altered diet).

Examples include diabetic, low salt, low cholesterol, and renal diets.^[178]

volunteers

Volunteers are people who provide practical and emotional support for residents and their families. They undergo recruitment, orientation and training and may fulfil such roles as making personal visits to the resident, listening, providing companionship and a supportive presence, and general support. (See also 'coordinator of volunteers'.)

Xerostomia

Xerostomia is an abnormal dryness of the mouth resulting from decreased secretion of saliva. Xerostomia can be caused by a number of things, including rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, kidney failure, infection with HIV (the virus that causes AIDS), drugs used to treat depression, and radiation treatment for mouth or throat cancer.

APPENDIX A:

Working Party of the Australian Palliative Residential Aged Care Project



LEAD CHIEF INVESTIGATOR

Professor Linda Kristjanson	The Cancer Council of Western Australia Chair of Palliative Care Edith Cowan University
-----------------------------	--

CHIEF INVESTIGATORS

Professor David Currow	Chair of Palliative and Supportive Services Flinders University
------------------------	--

Associate Professor Paul Glare	Head, Palliative Care Central Sydney Area Health Service
--------------------------------	---

Professor Judith Parker AM	Head, School of Nursing University of Melbourne
----------------------------	--

Associate Professor Kate White	Head, School of Nursing & Public Health Edith Cowan University
--------------------------------	---

ASSOCIATE INVESTIGATORS

Professor Judith Clare	Professor of Nursing School of Nursing and Midwifery Flinders University of South Australia
------------------------	---

Ms Elizabeth Douglas	Director Sir James McCusker Training Foundation
----------------------	--

Mr Paul Cook	Chief Executive Officer HN McLean Memorial Retirement Village (Currently working in residential aged care)
--------------	---

Ms Karen Glaetzer	Nursing Coordinator Community Palliative Care Service Southern Adelaide Palliative Services
-------------------	---

Ms Pamela Harris	Coordinator of Volunteers Melbourne CityMission Palliative Care
------------------	--

Dr Peter Hudson	Head, Centre for Palliative Care Academic Nursing Unit University of Melbourne
-----------------	---

Ms Yasmin Naglazas	Director, Residential Care Churches of Christ Homes and Community Service (Currently working in residential aged care)
--------------------	---

Ms Ellen Nightingale	Palliative Care Consultant Western Australia
----------------------	---

Ms Debbie Parker	PhD Candidate School of Medicine Flinders University of South Australia
------------------	---

Dr Jeff Rowland	Director of Aged Care Liverpool Hospital
-----------------	---

Ms Wendy Shiels	Dementia Consultant Victoria (Currently working in residential aged care)
Dr Jane Sims	Senior Lecturer in Primary Care Department of General Practice University of Melbourne
Dr Christine Toye	Postdoctoral Research Fellow in Palliative Care WA Centre for Cancer & Palliative Care Edith Cowan University
Ms Helen Walker	Manager, Palliative Care Education Centre/Volunteer Service Development The Cancer Council of Western Australia

RURAL AND REMOTE PORTFOLIO

Associate Professor Ann Larson	Director Combined Universities for Rural Health
--------------------------------	--

TAFE PORTFOLIO

Ms Eugenie Jelly	
Ms Diana Purcell	Central TAFE, Western Australia (Currently working in residential aged care)

PROJECT MANAGER

Mrs Jayne Walton	WA Centre for Cancer & Palliative Care Edith Cowan University
------------------	--

PROJECT STAFF

Dr Margaret Behrndt	Project Coordinator (Jul–Oct 2002) WA Centre for Cancer & Palliative Care Edith Cowan University
Mrs Ros Casey	Secretary to the Project Team WA Centre for Cancer & Palliative Care Edith Cowan University
Ms Penny Connellan	Research Officer, Literature Review Southern Adelaide Palliative Services Flinders University
Dr Karen Cooper	Research Associate Competency Development Consultant New South Wales

PROJECT OFFICERS

Ms Jennifer Cooper (Aug–Nov 2002)	Southern Adelaide Palliative Services Flinders University
Ms Rhonda Devine (Sep–Dec 2002)	Medical Psychology Research Unit University of Sydney
Ms Kris Dwyer	Combined Universities for Rural Health Western Australia
Associate Professor Rosalie Hudson	Aged Care Consultant Victoria
Dr Elizabeth Lobb (Sep–Dec 2002)	Medical Psychology Research Unit University of Sydney
Ms Jennifer Tieman (Nov 2002 onwards)	Southern Adelaide Palliative Services Flinders University

RESEARCH ASSISTANTS

Ms Lara Andrews

WA Centre for Cancer & Palliative Care
Edith Cowan University

Ms Tara Blanchard

Ms Eva Cooper

Ms Natalie D'Abrew

Ms Address Malata

Ms Aurora Popescu

Ms Karen Rooksby

APPENDIX B

The Australian Government Project Reference Group — Terms of Reference and Membership



TERMS OF REFERENCE

The project reference group will assist the project to achieve its objectives by:

1. Providing comment and input to the overall project plan
2. Providing appropriate guidance and support for the project by ensuring the needs of their sectors are being addressed
3. Providing comment on reports and other deliverables.

MEMBERSHIP

Dr Joanne Ramadge (Chair)	Advisor, Ageing and Aged Care Division Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
Ms Maria Bohan	Executive Director Carers Victoria
Mr Michael Darragh	Representing Australian Nursing Homes and Extended Care Association
Ms Rita Evans	Director, Palliative Care Section Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
Associate Professor Sally Garratt	Representing Royal College of Nursing Australia — Aged Care Nurses
Emeritus Professor Ian Maddocks	Flinders University of South Australia
Professor Margaret O'Connor	Representing Royal College of Nursing Australia — Palliative Care Nurses
Ms Jill Pretty	Manager, Policy and Consultancy Aged Care Services Australia
Ms Alma Quick	Director, Strategic Support Section Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
Mr Ian Reed	Representing Palliative Care Intergovernmental Forum

ADDITIONAL CONTRIBUTORS

Mr Chris Forsey	Assistant Director (2004) Palliative Care Section Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
Ms Jeanne Mansbridge	Assistant Director (2003) Palliative Care Section Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
Ms Chris Pusell	Assistant Director Strategic Support Section Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing

SECRETARIAT

Ms Wendy Cook	Project Officer Palliative Care Section Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
---------------	---

An evaluation tool for quantitative studies



APRAC GUIDELINES: EVIDENCE EVALUATION

Quantitative Study

Article No. _____

Aim of the study: _____

Study design: _____

Level of evidence: _____

- I systematic review of all relevant RCTs
- II at least one properly designed RCT
- III-1 well-designed pseudo-RCTs
- III-2 comparative studies with concurrent controls and allocation not randomised, case-control studies or interrupted time series with a control group
- III-3 comparative studies with historical control, two or more single-arm studies, or interrupted time series without a parallel control group
- IV case series, either post-test or pre-test and post-test

Quality of methods used: _____

- 4 excellent level of scientific merit and rigor
- 3 good level of scientific merit and rigor
- 2 fair level of scientific merit and rigor
- 1 poor level of scientific merit and rigor

Strength of evidence: _____

- 4 very high
- 3 high
- 2 low
- 1 very low

Relevance to APRAC project: _____

- 4 very relevant
- 3 relevant
- 2 of some relevance
- 1 of little or no relevance

Evaluator/s:

APRAC GUIDELINES: EVIDENCE EVALUATION

Quantitative Study

Inclusion criteria:

- Evidence based
- Published in a peer-review journal, book chapters, government and non-government reports, therapeutic guidelines, standards of care and other guidelines
- Published between January 1990 and September 2004
- Published in English language
- Originating in country with comparative health system to Australia
- Originating in country with social or cultural similarities to Australia
- Originating in a country where the residential care facilities fit with those in Australia

Exclusion criteria:

- Articles not meeting the inclusion criteria above
- Articles relating to community based programs rather than residential, aged or long term care situations

Scoring:

Each article will be assigned a Level from I–IV as in the first section of the evaluation sheet.

The article will be rated regarding the quality and strength of the evidence as it relates to the particular study cited — quality and strength will each be given a score out of 4.

The article will have a final rating score out of 4 according to its relevance to the Australian Palliative Residential Aged Care project.

References:

Critical Appraisal Skills Program: 10 questions to help you make sense of Qualitative Research
www.public-health.org.uk/casp/qualitative.html

National Health and Medical Research Council (2000) *How to use the evidence: assessment and application of scientific evidence*, Biotext, Canberra, Australia

The General Practice Section and Program Evaluation Unit (2000) The relative effectiveness of population health interventions in the general practice setting: Appendix C: Literature Review Summary Appraisal, Department of General Practice & Public Health, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

An evaluation tool for qualitative studies



APRAC GUIDELINES: EVIDENCE EVALUATION Qualitative Study

Article No.

Yes = 1 No = 0

Aim of the study: Is the aim clear?

Paradigm: Is the paradigm appropriate for the aim:

Quality of evidence:

Methodology: Is the methodology appropriate for the paradigm?

Methods: Are the methods used appropriate for the methodology?

Checking methods: Did checking methods establish rigor?

Sample: Did the sampling strategy address the aim?

Data Analysis: Was the data analysis appropriately rigorous?

Findings: Are the findings clearly stated and relevant to the aim?

Strength of evidence:

4 very high

3 high

2 low

1 very low

Relevance to APRAC project:

4 very relevant

3 relevant

2 of some relevance

1 of little or no relevance

Evaluator/s:

APRAC GUIDELINES: EVIDENCE EVALUATION

Qualitative Study

Inclusion criteria:

- Published in a peer-review journal, book chapters, government and non-government reports, therapeutic guidelines, standards of care and other guidelines
- Published between January 1990 and September 2004
- Published in English language
- Originating in country with comparative health system to Australia
- Originating in country with social or cultural similarities to Australia
- Originating in a country where the residential care facilities fit with those in Australia

Exclusion criteria:

- Articles not meeting the inclusion criteria above
- Articles relating to community based programs rather than residential, aged or long-term care situations

Scoring:

The article will be rated regarding the quality of the evidence as it relates to the particular study cited — it will be given a score out of 8.

The article will have a strength and relevance (to the APRAC project) rating score, each of which will be out of 4.

References:

Butler, T. (2002) personal communication, July.

Clare, J. (2002) personal communication, August.

Critical Appraisal Skills Program: 10 questions to help you make sense of Qualitative Research, www.public-health.org.uk/casp/qualitative.html

National Health and Medical Research Council (2000) *How to use the evidence: assessment and application of scientific evidence*, Biotext, Canberra, Australia.

The General Practice Section & Program Evaluation Unit (2000) The relative effectiveness of population health interventions in the general practice setting: Appendix C: Literature Review Summary Appraisal, Department of General Practice & Public Health, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

APPENDIX E:

Summary of the stakeholder participant groups



EMPLOYMENT CATEGORY	AGED CARE	PALLIATIVE CARE	BOTH	TOTAL
Aged Care (group submission)	12	-	-	12
Aged Care Representative	13	-	-	13
Care Assistant	8	-	-	8
Chaplain / Pastoral Care Worker	10	-	-	10
Clinical Nurse Consultant	3	10	5	18
Complementary Therapist	4	-	-	4
Consumer	6	2	-	8
Coordinator of Volunteers	5	9	1	15
Dementia Consultant	3	-	-	3
Director of Nursing / Care Manager	77	-	-	77
Diversional Therapist	4	-	-	4
Domiciliary Care Nurses	-	-	2	2
Educator / Trainer	4	-	2	6
Enrolled Nurses	11	-	-	11
Executive Officer / Senior Administrator	20	1	1	22
General Practitioner	-	-	8	8
Geriatrician	1	-	-	1
Government Department Representative	4	1	5	10
Medical Practitioner	-	7	6	13
Multicultural Specific Service	3	1	-	4
Nurse Educator	-	2	3	5
Nutrition Consultant	-	-	2	2
Peak Body (group submission)	3	-	2	5
Peak Body Representative	8	1	2	11
Policy / Project Officer	1	2	7	10
Registered Nurse	23	2	3	28
Registered Training Organisation	1	-	4	5
Volunteer	4	2	-	6
Wound Care Consultant	-	-	2	2
Not Specified	6	-	-	6
Total	234	40	55	329

APPENDIX F:

Summary of the frequency with which stakeholder groups participated in the guideline refinement process



EMPLOYMENT CATEGORY	FOCUS GROUP(A)	#1 WEB FEEDBACK(B)	USEABILITY TRIAL(C)	NEWSPAPER FEEDBACK(D)	#2 WEB FEEDBACK(E)	TOTAL NUMBER
Aged Care (Group Submission)	-	4	-	2	6	12
Aged Care Representative	8	2	-	-	2	13
Care Assistant	3	-	10	-	-	13
Chaplain / Pastoral Care Worker	5	1	2	1	2	11
Clinical Nurse Consultant	14	1	-	-	3	18
Complementary Therapist	4	-	-	-	-	4
Consumer	4	3	-	-	1	8
Coordinator of Volunteers	8	2	1	-	4	15
Dementia Consultant	3	-	-	-	1	4
Director of Nursing / Care Manager	42	21	3	-	11	77
Diversional Therapist	2	-	2	-	-	4
Domiciliary Care Nurses	2	-	-	-	-	2
Educator / Trainer	4	1	-	1	1	7
Enrolled Nurses	4	-	6	-	1	11
Executive Officer / Senior Administrator	14	3	1	3	1	22
General Practitioner	7	1	-	-	-	8
Geriatrician	-	1	-	-	-	1
Government Department Representative	8	2	-	-	-	10
Medical Practitioner	10	1	-	-	2	13
Multicultural Specific Service	3	1	-	-	-	4
Nurse Educator	4	-	-	1	-	5
Nutrition Consultant	-	-	-	-	2	2
Peak Body (Group Submission)	-	3	-	1	1	5
Peak Body Representative	5	1	-	-	5	11
Policy / Project Officer	6	2	-	-	2	10
Registered Nurse	12	2	9	1	4	28
Registered Training Organisation	-	-	-	-	5	5
Volunteer	4	-	2	-	-	6
Wound Care Consultant	-	1	-	-	1	2
Not Specified	-	1	-	2	3	6
TOTAL:	176	55	36	12	58	337

- (a) FOCUS GROUP Face-to-face meetings with stakeholder groups were conducted in all states and territories. Rural and Remote stakeholder participation was obtained via teleconferences.
- (b) WEB FEEDBACK Responses that were received from stakeholders when the Guidelines were first posted on the Project's Website.
- (c) USEABILITY TRIAL Three RACFs were specifically chosen to include facilities from metropolitan or rural and remote areas and which offered either high or low care and ageing in place.
- (d) NEWSPAPER FEEDBACK As part of the NHMRC approval process, the APRAC Project team was required to advise the public of the availability of the Guidelines and to request their feedback on this document.
- (e) #2 WEB FEEDBACK Responses that were received from stakeholders when the Guidelines were posted on the Project's Website for the second and final time.

APPENDIX G:

An example of how the guidelines were presented to focus group participants



GUIDELINES FOR THE PROVISION OF PSYCHOSOCIAL SUPPORT

Preamble: Palliative care focuses on the psychological, social and physical wellbeing of the individual. There is evidence indicating that people with significant levels of physical impairment experience a clinically important depression with the prevalence being between 25% and 45% being reported [261,263]. There is also evidence that clinical depression among the terminally ill may be under-treated because of beliefs that: all terminally ill patients are depressed, depression is “understandable” and therefore not pathological, and treating depression in the terminally ill is ineffective or may lead to intolerable side effects [64,261].

GUIDELINE	GENERALLY SUPPORT	SUPPORT WITH REVISION	NO SUPPORT	OTHER COMMENTS
Providing for the psychosocial needs of people receiving palliative care promotes improved quality of life [263,271].				
Psychosocial counselling and psychiatric interventions can improve the psychological wellbeing of individuals in the palliative care phase of their illness [263,283].				
Assessment and treatment of depression and anxiety is an essential component of palliative care [58,63,64].				
The provision of personalised end of life care in aged care facilities can lead to improved psychological care for the resident [271,299,300].				

Useability trial — participant questions



Guideline 1: Families of residents with cognitive impairment need to be involved in care planning and provided with the opportunity to participate in decisions about their family member.

Please indicate your response to the above proposed guideline by ticking yes, no, or not sure (only chose one) for each of the following questions (you may also provide a comment should you wish):

NO.	QUESTION	YES	NO	NOT SURE	COMMENTS
1	Is the guideline clearly written?				
2	Can you easily understand the guideline?				
3	Is the guideline complete?				
4	Does the guideline need any changes before it is introduced? (If so, what do you suggest?)				
5	Is there anything that would stop this guideline from being applied where you work?				
6	Does your facility already have systems/programs in place that would make it easier to introduce this guideline?				
7	Could you begin to apply this guideline to your work in the next 12 months?				
8	Would you need further education/training before you could apply this guideline to your work?				
9	Would other staff need further education/training before they could apply this guideline to their work?				
10	Would management need to change before this guideline could be introduced at work?				

APPENDIX I:

Resource list

PALLIATIVE CARE SERVICES

National Office of Palliative Care Australia
Suite 2, 37 Geils Court
DEAKIN ACT 2600
(02) 6232 4433
pcainc@pallcare.org.au
www.pallcare.org.au

AGED CARE SERVICES

Aged and Community Services Australia
Level One, 36 Albert Road
South Melbourne VIC 3205
(03) 9686 3460
info@agedcare.org.au

Australian Nursing Homes and Extended Care Association (ANHECA) Limited
Level 1, 25 Napier Close
Deakin ACT 2600
(02) 6285 2615
office@anheca.com.au
www.anheca.com.au

PUBLICATIONS

Palliative Care

Commonwealth of Australia. (2000). *National palliative care strategy. A national framework for palliative care service development*. Retrieved August 28, 2002, from the Australian Government Department of Health & Ageing Web site: <http://www.mentalhealth.gov.au/programs/palliative/strategy.htm>

O'Connor, M., & Aranda, S. (2003). *Palliative care nursing: A guide to practice* (2nd ed.). Melbourne, VIC: Ausmed Publications, www.ausmed.com.au.

Palliative Care Australia (2004). *Palliative care national directory 2004: palliative care services and hospices in Australia*, www.pallcare.org.au.

Bereavement risk

Aranda, S., & Milne, D. (2000). *Guidelines for the assessment of bereavement risk in family members of people receiving palliative care*. Melbourne: Centre for Palliative Care.

Multicultural

Die-Trill, M. & Holland, J.C. (1993). Cross-cultural differences in the care of patients with cancer: a review. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 15(1), 21–30.

Hall, P., Stone, G., & Fiset, V.J. (1998). Palliative care: How can we meet the needs of our multicultural communities? *Journal of Palliative Care*, 14(2), 46–49.

McNamara, B., Martin, K., Waddell, C., & Yuen, K. (1997). Palliative care in a multicultural society: perceptions of health care professionals. *Palliative Medicine*, 11(5), 359–67.

Spiritual

Hermann, C.P. (2001). Spiritual needs of dying patients: a qualitative study. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 28(1), 67–72.

Hume, S. K. (1991). Support in a time of need. The team approach at Mercy Medical Hospice addresses medical, emotional, and spiritual needs. *Health Progress*, 72(7), 40–5, 54.

Thomson, J.E. (2000). The place of spiritual wellbeing in hospice patient's overall quality of life. *Hospice Journal*, 15(2), 13–27.

Resources for both palliative care and aged care facilities

Hockley, J. & Clark, D. (Eds.) (2002). *Palliative care for older people in care homes*. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press (enquiries@openup.co.uk, www.openup.co.uk).

Paris, M., & McLeod, A. (1999). *Consider the options: end stage clinical care for chronic degenerative disorders*. Resthaven Incorporated (PO Box 327, Unley SA 5061, (08) 8373 0211).

Indigenous

Sullivan, K., Johnston, L., Colyer, C., Beale, J., Willis, J., Harrison, J. & Welsh, K. (2003). *Indigenous palliative care needs study — final report*. Canberra, ACT: Commonwealth of Australia.

Willis, J. (1999). Dying in country: implications of culture in the delivery of palliative care in Indigenous Australian communities. *Anthropology & Medicine*, 6(3), 423–35.

Multicultural

Palliative Care Australia. (1999). *Multicultural palliative care guidelines*. (Suite 2, 37 Geils Court, Deakin ACT 2600, (02) 6232 4433, www.pallcare.org.au)

Crawley, L.M., Marshall, P.A., Lo, B., & Koenig, B.A. (2002) Strategies for culturally effective end-of-life care. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 136, 673–79.

Jewish customs and practices, contact Mrs Vera Link, Vera_link@hotmail.com.

Volunteering

Volunteering Australia. (2001). *National standards for involving volunteers in not for profit organisation* (2nd ed.). Author. (Suite 2, Level 3, 11 Queens Road, Melbourne VIC 3004, (03) 9820 4100, www.volunteeringaustralia.org, volaus@volunteeringaustralia.org)

Resources specific to aged care facilities

Outside looking in: A resource kit for aged care facilities. Carers Victoria (Level 5, 130 Little Collins Street, Melbourne VIC 3000, (03) 9650 9966)

Ethics

Code of ethical standards for Catholic health and aged care services in Australia. Catholic Health Australia (PO Box 330, Deakin West ACT 2600).

Department of Health and Ageing (2001) Code of ethics and guide to ethical conduct for residential aged care. Commonwealth Available from www.health.gov.au.

Fleming, J.I., Tonti-Filippini, N. & Pike, G.K. (2001) *An ethics handbook for aged care facilities*. Adelaide, SA: South Australia Network for Research on Ageing.

Nutrition

Bartl, R., & Bunney, C. (2004). Best practice food and nutrition manual for aged care facilities. Nutrition Department, Central Coast Health (PO Box 361, Gosford NSW 2250, (02) 4320 3691).

Pain management

Australian Pain Society. (2004). *APS Residential aged care pain management guidelines*. Crows Nest, New South Wales: Australian Pain Society.

Psychological

Challenge Depression—resource kit for meeting the challenge of depression in residential aged care.
Hammond Care Group (Sydney NSW, (02) 9825 5081).

NSW Health. (2000). *Best practice model for the use of psychotropic medication in residential aged care facilities and guidelines on the management of challenging behaviour in residential aged care facilities in New South Wales*. Available from www.health.nsw.gov.au.

Spiritual

Ronaldson, S. (Ed.) (1997). *Spirituality: the heart of nursing*. Ausmed Publications ((03) 9375 7311, ausmed@ausmed.com.au).

Hicks, T.J.J. (1999). Spirituality and the elderly: Nursing implications with nursing home residents. *Geriatric Nursing*, 20(3), 144–146.

MacKinlay, E. (2001) Health, healing and wholeness in frail elderly people. *Journal of Religious Gerontology*, 13(2), 25–34.

Orchard, H., & Clark, D. (2001). Tending the soul as well as the body: spiritual care in nursing and residential homes. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing*, 7(11), 541–546.

Wound care

Guidelines for Wound Care. Australian Wound Management Association ((08) 9431 2605, www.awma.com.au, prentice@cyllene.uwa.edu.au).

PUBLISHERS

A series of books covering various topics on palliative care:

Oxford University Press
GPO Box 2784Y
Melbourne VIC 3001
1300 605 616
www.oup.com.au

Source National Breast Cancer Centre
PO Box 572
Kings Cross NSW 1340
Fax: (02) 9326 9329
www.health.gov.au/pq/cancer/nbcc.htm

Palliative Supportive Care
Cambridge University Press
Print ISSN: 1478-9515
Electronic ISSN: 1478-9523
www.cambridge.org

Insite: The Aged Care Industry Newspaper
DPS Publishing
433 Goodwood Road
Westbourne Park SA 5041
(08) 8272 5554

St Luke's Innovative Resources
137 McCrae Street
Bendigo VIC 3550
www.stlukes.org.au

ENDURING POWER OF ATTORNEY AND GUARDIANSHIP

AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

Office of the Community Advocate
PO Box 1001, Civic Square
Canberra ACT 2608
(02) 6207 0707
oca@act.gov.au
www.oca.act.gov.au

NEW SOUTH WALES

Office of the Public Guardian
Level 15, Piccadilly Tower
133 Castlereagh St
Sydney NSW 2000
(02) 9265 3184
www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au
informationsupport@opg.nsw.gov.au

QUEENSLAND

Department of Justice and Attorney General
(07) 3239 3520
mailbox@justice.qld.gov.au
www.justice.qld.gov.au/guardian

TASMANIA

Tasmania Department of Justice
The Guardianship and Administration Board is located at:
99 Bathurst St
Hobart TAS 7000
(03) 6233 3085
guardianship@justice.tas.gov.au
www.justice.tas.gov.au

VICTORIA

Office of the Public Advocate
5th Floor, 436 Lonsdale Street
Melbourne VIC 3000
(03) 9603 9500

WESTERN AUSTRALIA

Department of Justice
Office of the Public Advocate
1st floor, 30 Terrace Road
East Perth WA 6004
(08) 9278 7300 or 1800 807 437
www.justice.wa.gov.au

APPENDIX J:

Examples of advance directive documents currently used in various states



NOTE: These are examples only — please refer to your own State or Territory legislation.

THE ‘GOOD PALLIATIVE CARE ORDER FORM’^[456]

Include the names of family members and staff members who have been involved in discussions regarding the patient’s condition and future care plan.

Under the Guardianship and Administration Act 1993 family members are able to make medical decisions should there not be a guardian or medical agent. A family member includes spouse, official de facto, parent, child over eighteen and sibling over eighteen.

Describe the patient’s condition and likely prognosis.

Identify if the patient is competent, that is, able to make decisions regarding their medical care.

Under the Consent to Medical Treatment and Palliative Care Act, patients can also write down their wishes regarding their future medical care which come into effect only if they are not able to make decisions regarding that care. Once sighted, these documents, called anticipatory directions or advance directives, must be followed.

Select the option which best conforms to the patient’s, or delegate’s, desire for future medical treatment. Should none of the three written options be appropriate, provision is made for specific instruction under point four.

Identify how long you wish this document to be in force for and when you believe that it should be reviewed.

Date the document, sign it and clearly print your name. This document should be signed by a medical practitioner. It is expected that the care team will be consulted as part of its completion.

If using the accompanying sticker to indicate the existence of the Order in the notes, affix it to the front of the case notes and note the date the Order is completed.

Good Palliative Care Order Form

Anticipatory Direction

I have discussed with patient

or with their medical agent

(Please ensure the medical power of attorney, authorising agent, is sighted.)

and/or family members or attending persons

and with staff members

the patient's current condition, which can be described as

The patient is competent

Incompetent

Circle one of the options:

We have agreed that in the event of further deterioration in the patient's condition:

1. Full cardiopulmonary resuscitation with total body support as required will be undertaken
2. Intensive medical support will be undertaken, but cardiopulmonary resuscitation will not be initiated, and no long-term support measures, including ventilation or dialysis, will be undertaken
3. The emphasis of management will be on Good Palliative Care, highlighting the relief of symptoms and discomforts. No artificial measures designed to supplant or support bodily function will be undertaken
4. Other. Please specify:

This form will be in force for:

1 week

1 month

3 months

12 months

indefinitely

or until revoked by the patient

Date:

Signed:

Print name of legally qualified medical practitioner

MEDICAL POWER OF ATTORNEY

CONSENT TO MEDICAL TREATMENT AND PALLIATIVE CARE ACT 1995

1. I, _____

(insert name, address and occupation)

appoint the following person(s) to be my medical agent(s):

a. _____

b. _____

c. _____

(Set out name, address and occupation of the agent. If 2 or more agents are appointed, the order of appointment must be indicated by placing the numbers 1,2,3...beside each name. This indicates that, if the first is not available the second is to be consulted, if the first and second are not available, the third is to be consulted and so on. It should be noted that a medical power of attorney cannot provide for the joint exercise of power. (See Section 8 (6) of the Consent to Medical Treatment and Palliative Care Act 1995)

2. I authorise my medical agent to make decisions about my medical treatment if I should be unable to do so myself.

3. I require my agent to observe the following conditions and directions in exercising, or in relation to the exercise of, the powers conferred by this power of attorney:

(here set out any conditions to which the power is subject and any directions to the agent)

4. This is an enduring power of attorney made under the:

Consent to Medical Treatment and Palliative Care Act 1995

(Signature of the person appointing the agent)

DATED the _____ day of _____ 20____

Acceptance of Power of Attorney

1. I, _____

2. I, _____

3. I, _____

(here set out name(s), address(es) and occupation(s) of medical agents(s))

accept appointment as a medical agent under this medical power of attorney and undertake to exercise the powers conferred honestly, in accordance with the conditions and directions set out above, and, subject to that, in what I genuinely believe to be my principal's best interests.

(Signature(s) of the medical agent(s))

Witness's certificate

I, _____

(here set out name and address of the witness and the qualifications of authorised witness)

certify:


(a) that the grantor and grantee of the power of attorney signed it freely and voluntarily in my presence; and

(b) both appeared to understand the effect of the power

(Signature of JP, solicitor, member of clergy, pharmacist; or proclaimed bank manager, postal manager or police officer.)

DATED the _____ day of _____ 20____

An example of a Genogram




Key to symbols

- | | | | |
|-----|---|----|--------------------|
| ■ | Affected male | □ | Unaffected male |
| ● | Affected female | ○ | Unaffected female |
| △ | One person, sex unknown | | |
| ◻/◻ | Dead | | |
| ◻◻ | Identical twins | ◯◯ | Nonidentical twins |
| ◉◉ | Minimally or unaffected carrier or proven transmitter | | |
| ◯◻ | No offspring, sterility, sterilization or reproductive period ended | | |
| SB | Stillborn | | |
| ID | Infant death | | |

An example of an Ecomap



KEY:
 — thick line = strong connection
 — thin, broken line = tenuous connection
 〰 jagged line = stressful connection



- Considerations:**
- who is in the network?
 - proximity
 - what they do/could do
 - frequency of contact
 - quality of relationship
 - satisfaction with help
 - changes in network

- Family supports**
- immediate family— spouse, parents, children
 - extended family— siblings, cousins, nephews/nieces, in-laws, former partners, grandchildren, etc

- Non-family informal supports**
- friends, neighbours
 - work colleagues
 - community groups

- Formal supports**
- For example community, medical, private, volunteer, residential.

INDEX

- Abbey Pain Scale 64, 71, 78
- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders
13, 73, 143–145
- acupressure 11, 115, 86–87
- acupuncture 11, 112, 115
- acute care 40, 56
- advance care planning 8, 56–60
- advance directives 56, 247
- advocacy 140
- Aged Care Act 1997* 15
- aged care assessment teams 123–124
- Alzheimer's disease 3
- analgesics 63, 73, 74, 79, 81
- anorexia 69, 96
- antibiotics 65
- antidepressants 81, 121
- antipyretics 65
- anxiety 12, 38, 48, 117, 122–123, 127, 178
- APRAC project *see* Australian Palliative
Residential Aged Care project
- aromatherapy 112, 115
- artificial nutrition *see* enteral nutrition
- Assessment of Discomfort in Dementia
protocol 64
- attitude to death 4, 51, 119
- Australian Medical Sheepskins 104
- Australian Medicines Handbook 80
- Australian Medicines Handbook Drug Choice
Companion: Aged Care* 80
- Australian Palliative Residential Aged Care
project 1, 5
- Australian Palliative Residential Aged Care
project — Reference Group 32, 33, 34,
229
- Australian Palliative Residential Aged Care
project — Working Party 32, 34,
225–227
- behavioural disturbances 112, 126
- beliefs 143, 145
- bereavement support 4, 173–181
- bowel care 10, 106–108
- bowel problems 69, 70
- breakthrough pain *see* pain, breakthrough
- breathing difficulties *see* dyspnoea
- Brief Pain Inventory 77
- cachexia 10, 96–97
- CAM *see* complementary therapies
- Campbell Collaboration 6, 20, 22
- cancer 4, 42, 86, 162, 163
- care planning 43
- care plans 39
- carers 38
- catheterization 167
- cerebrovascular accident 3
- Certification of Death 170
- chaplains 154, 155
- Cheyne-Stokes breathing 167
- chronic obstructive lung disease 3
- cleanliness 38
- Cochrane Collaboration 6, 19, 20, 22
- Code of Ethics 55
- codes of professional conduct 52

cognitive ability 49
 cognitive behaviour therapy 86
 cognitive impairment 113
 cognitive stimulation therapy 113–114
 communication 7, 12, 37, 47, 55, 57, 65, 117, 130
 communication difficulties 4
 communication skills 70, 122, 149
 communication, transcultural 148
 Community Services and Health Industry Skills Council 34
 co-morbidities 4, 38, 98
 complementary therapies 11, 111–115
 confidentiality 52, 140
 conflict 41
 confusion 4, 117, 123–124
 constipation 69, 106, 107, 108
 continence 47
 continence *see also* incontinence
 coping mechanisms 151
 Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia 120
 corticosteroids 97
 counselling 121
 CPOD *see* chronic obstructive lung disease
 CSDD *see* Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia
 CSHIC *see* Community Services and Health Industry Skills Council
 cultural diversity 13
 cultural issues 4, 13, 73, 144, 145, 147–150
 death 169, 170, 177
 decision making 40, 41, 55, 58
 dehydration 9, 93–96
 see also rehydration
 delirium 12, 123, 124–125, 127, 165
 dementia 4, 8, 12, 57, 69, 91, 123, 124, 125, 126–127, 162, 163
 assessment 62, 67
 management 65–66
 prevalence 61
 pain 62–64, 73, 78
 symptoms 126
 dementia, advanced 61–67
 D-E-N-T-A-L questionnaire 102
 depression 11, 38, 51, 70, 88, 117, 118–123, 127
 assessment 119–121
 management 121–122
 prevalence 118
 symptoms 118, 119
 desire to die 51, 119
 diet 90
 dignity 7, 49, 53, 169
 see also dying with dignity
 Dignity-Conserving Care Model 47
 disabilities 37
 Discomfort Scale for Dementia of the Alzheimer's Type 63, 64
 distress 9, 12, 48, 71, 88, 117, 127
 dying with dignity 42, 47
 dysphagia 10, 66, 70, 99–101
 dyspnoea 11, 38, 69, 70, 109–111, 167
 eating difficulties 66
 ecomap 131, 253
 Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale 71
 end-of-life care 8, 14, 38, 56, 57, 65, 161–171
 enduring health advocate 58
 enduring power of attorney 52, 58
 enduring power of guardianship 52
 enteral feeding 9, 59, 60, 88, 90, 91–92, 93
 ESAS *see* Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale

evidence levels 5, 6, 20, 21, 22
evidence-based guidelines 4, 15
exercise 86
Expert Opinion 23
FACES Scale 78
families 12, 57, 59, 66, 88, 96, 129–133, 150, 179
family conferences 12, 14, 130, 132, 167–168, 171
fatigue 9, 38, 69, 70, 85–87
feedback 33
feeding techniques 10, 100, 101
feeding techniques *see also* enteral feeding
fluid therapy 9, 94, 96
focus groups 28, 30, 31, 32, 239
food refusal *see* refusal of food
Freedom of Information Act 1986 52
Functional Incidental Training 108
Functional Pain Scale 77
GDS *see* Geriatric Depression Scale
genogram 131, 135, 251
Geriatric Depression Scale 11, 120
Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index 102
gingko 11, 115
GOHAI *see* Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index
grief 14, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178, 179
Guide to Ethical Conduct for Residential Aged Care 55
Guidelines for Decision Making at the End of Life 55
Guidelines for Medication Management in Residential Aged Care Facilities 165
Guidelines on Privacy in the Private Health Sector 52
herbal remedies 113
Hopkins Symptoms Checklist 122
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale 122
hydration 9, 94
see also dehydration and rehydration
hypnosis 11, 112, 115
illness, acute 65
imagery 112
incident pain *see* pain, incident
incontinence 38, 108, 167
independence 49
Indigenous Australians *see* Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders
infections 65, 67
informed consent 91, 92, 148
insomnia 70
intermittent pain *see* pain, breakthrough
interpersonal skills 41
interpreter services 148, 150
interventions 38, 88, 100, 121, 125, 163
intimacy 13, 136–141
intravenous fluids 88
Joanna Briggs Institute 19
language 148
laxatives 10, 107, 108
levels of evidence *see* evidence levels
link nurse 45
literature review 15–25, 231–234
living will 58
loneliness 38
loss of appetite 38
malnutrition 87–93
management roles 183–184
massage 11, 12, 13, 112, 115, 123, 141
mattresses 104
McGill Pain Questionnaire 77
medication 164–165
memorial services 177, 181
Mental Health Inventory 119–120

- Mini Mental State Examination 123, 124, 126
- Mini Nutritional Assessment 90
- morphine 11, 73, 110, 111
- mouth care 10, 60, 101–103
- mucositis 69
- multiculturalism 147
- multidisciplinary team 43, 44
- music therapy 112–113
- nasogastric feeding *see* enteral feeding
- National Consultative Information-Sharing Network 27, 30, 31
- National Health and Medical Research Council 5, 6, 26–27, 35, 40
- National Palliative Care Strategy 1
- nausea 70, 89–99
- NCSIN *see* National Consultative Information-Sharing Network
- NHMRC *see* National Health and Medical Research Council
- non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs 81, 82
- NSAIDS *see* non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
- Numerical Rating Scales 77
- nurse practitioner 45
- nutrition 87–93
- nutritional problems 70
- nutritional supplements 91
- oedema of limbs 105
- opioids 81, 82, 83, 107, 110
- oral health *see* mouth care
- oral hygiene *see* mouth care
- organ failure 162, 163
- oxygen 110, 167
- pain 38, 69, 72, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79
- pain assessment 8, 9, 62–64, 77, 78
- Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia Scale 63, 64, 78
- Pain Descriptive Scales 78
- pain management 7, 8, 9, 11, 63, 69, 71–74, 79–84, 112, 114
 - family concerns 39, 130
- pain rating scales 73
- PAINAD *see* Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia Scale
- palliative approach 3, 4, 21
 - in rural areas 42
 - management's role 14, 183–184
 - when to implement 7, 37, 40, 41
 - where to implement 7, 41–42, 43
 - who can provide 7, 43, 45
- palliative care 3, 37
- palliative care guidelines 25–26, 29, 32, 33, 34, 35, 241
- palliative services 38
- paracetamol 81
- pastoral care workers 154, 155
- PEG feeding *see* enteral feeding
- Piper Fatigue Scale 85–86, 87
- PLISSIT model 137–138
- pneumonia 65
- power of attorney *see* enduring power of attorney
- pride 49
- privacy 50, 52, 139
- Privacy Amendment Act (Private Sector) 2000* 52
- privacy legislation 52
- prognosis 40
- psychological support 117–133
- psychostimulants 121
- qualitative studies 21
- quality of life 3, 7, 9, 12, 47, 50, 53, 89, 112, 151

randomized controlled trials 5, 21
 RCT *see* randomized controlled trials
 rebreathing 11
 refusal of food 59–60, 87, 89
 refusal of treatment 55, 56
 Registration Acts 52
 rehydration 94, 95
 see also dehydration
 relationships 135, 180
 relaxation 11, 112, 115
 religion 151
Residential Aged Care Pain Management Guidelines 63
 resilience 49
 respiratory congestion 166
 restlessness — in end-of life phase 165
 restraints 8, 66, 67
 rural areas 31, 32, 42, 127, 130, 177
 rural stakeholders *see* stakeholders
 SBI *see* System of Belief Inventory
 sedation 165
 self-reporting 73, 74, 77, 85
 sexuality 13, 136–141
 side effects of drugs 82, 83, 88, 98
 skin integrity 10, 104–106
 social support 12, 14, 50, 135–136, 141
 spiritual support 13, 49, 151–154
 spouses 133, 180
 staff skills 44
 stakeholders 26, 31, 32, 33, 235, 237–8
 standards 15
 strength training 84
 suicide 11, 51
 support groups 180
 swallowing difficulties 39
 Symptom Assessment Scale 71
 symptoms, management of 8, 41, 69–71
 System of Belief Inventory 151
 TENS 11, 84, 112, 115
 terminal care *see* end-of-life care
Therapeutic Guidelines: Palliative Care 69, 74, 84, 165
 transfers 42, 56, 58, 59, 130, 168
 treatment options 56–57
 ulcers 10, 69, 104, 106
 unconsciousness 39
 urine retention 167
 Verbal Rating Scale *see* Pain Descriptive Scale
 Visual Analogue Scale for Acute Confusion 123
 Visual Analogue Scales 78, 122
 volunteers 14, 157–159
 vomiting 98–99
 weakness 39
 weight loss 10, 39
 WHO Analgesic Ladder 80, 81
 workshops 34
 wound care 105–106

Guidelines for a Palliative Approach in Residential Aged Care

Feedback sheet

Please complete the following information and detach the sheet, fold as indicated and mail to the address which is at the back of this form.

Name (optional)

Organisation title

Contact details (optional):

Address

Phone	Fax
-------	-----

Email

Type of organisation (tick box):

Residential Aged Care Facility	<input type="checkbox"/>	General health service provider/organisation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Educational Facility	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>

How do you plan to use/have you used the Guidelines for a Palliative Approach? (tick box):

Personal use	<input type="checkbox"/>	Research/study	<input type="checkbox"/>
Training	<input type="checkbox"/>	Policy development	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>		

How can the Guidelines for a Palliative Approach be improved for future editions (consider layout, structure, content etc)?

If you are aware of research that needs to be included in the next edition please provide details below:

Author

Year	Title
------	-------

Publication Details

Thank you

Affix Stamp Here

Palliative Care Australia
PO Box 24
DEAKIN WEST ACT 2600